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Abstract: The 331 million people of the United States are served by a complex and expensive healthcare system that accounts for nearly 18% of the country’s gross domestic product. Over 90% of patients are insured by private or government-funded plans, but despite high coverage and unusually high healthcare spending, vast disparities exist within the United States population based on demographics in terms of diagnosis, treatment, and outcomes of disease. Thoracic surgeons in the United States are trained to treat patients with diseases of the chest in the operative and perioperative settings, and can accomplish this training through multiple highly competitive pathways. Thoracic surgeons perform an average of 135 operations each year which address diseases of the lungs, trachea, esophagus, chest wall, mediastinum, and diaphragm. Video assisted thoracoscopic surgeries are the most commonly performed procedures, which are primarily completed to treat lung cancer. Lung cancer is the deadliest and second most prevalent malignancy in the United States, with over 200,000 new cases expected this year. In addition to encouragement of smoking cessation and more attention to air pollutants, increased access to lung cancer screening has significantly expedited diagnosis and reduced mortality from lung cancer in the last several years. Thoracic surgeons in the United States are tasked with treating common yet highly morbid diseases of the chest in a patient population that is diverse in terms of race, socioeconomic status, and healthcare insurance coverage. As the population ages and a shortage of thoracic surgeons looms, the importance of early diagnosis, skillful surgical management, and attention to the disparities that exist in our system cannot be overstated.
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Introduction

The United States of America is a country of 331 million people (1). It is comprised of 50 states that stretch across 3.8 million square miles (2) representing a highly diverse population measured by race and ethnicity, socioeconomics, and local resources. Given the vast nature of the country and the complex healthcare delivery systems, there is significant diversity within thoracic surgery in the United States (U.S.). This review describes a general framework of how thoracic surgery is practiced in the U.S. within its complex healthcare system, including how one becomes a thoracic surgeon capable of providing such care. We also discuss areas of strength and weaknesses within the field, and provide a general overview of the most commonly treated disease by thoracic surgeons in the U.S.—lung cancer.

Lung cancer is the second most prevalent cancer in both men and women in the U.S. and the number one cause of cancer-related mortality (3). There will be an estimated 235,760 new diagnoses of lung cancer in the U.S. in 2021 (4). Of new cases, 17.8% are diagnosed with local disease, 22% are diagnosed at the regional stage, and 56% are diagnosed...
with distant disease (4). The majority of patients undergo surgical therapy including 76.7% of those with stage I disease, and 83.8% of those with stage II disease (5).

**Overview of healthcare in the U.S.**

It is impossible to provide a review of any medical specialty or medical condition without an appreciation of the healthcare environment within which such care is being delivered. The modern U.S. healthcare system evolved throughout the 20th century, mainly within the private sector without substantial government collaboration. This led to the development of several separate healthcare delivery structures that sometimes work in partnership (6). Overall, local institutions administer healthcare services through private practices, for-profit hospitals and institutions, non-profit hospitals, and government-funded programs (7).

Payment for healthcare services in the U.S. is uniquely complicated and expensive. In 2019, the U.S. spent 17.7% of its gross domestic product (approximately 3.8 trillion U.S. dollars) on healthcare (8). This is one of the highest healthcare expenditures (compared to a nation’s gross domestic product) of any nation throughout the globe (6). Health insurers pay for most of these healthcare expenses (73%), the majority of which goes directly to clinical care—31% for hospital care and 20% for physician and clinical services (9).

Health insurance in the U.S. consists of a mix of privately funded and government-funded programs (10). Ninety-two percent of the U.S. population has some form of health insurance. The most common health insurance coverage is privately funded employer-based insurance (56.4%). An individual may buy other private coverage through a federal or state marketplace (10.2%) or the uniformed services (2.6%). There is a public option for health insurance coverage, utilized by 34% of the population. The public option is administered through Medicare (for those age 65 and older or on long-term disability), Medicaid (for those under 65 who fall below a poverty threshold), or a public insurance for children called Children's Health Insurance Program. Lastly, the government also funds a separate insurance program for veterans of the U.S. military (10).

Approximately 8% of the nation’s population, 29 million people, are uninsured (10). This percentage decreased from 20% partially through codification of the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (10). The legislation allowed for the creation of state-based health insurance exchanges or federal government-based marketplaces to permit direct-to-consumer purchase of private insurance. It also provided the option for states to expand Medicare to those under 65 years of age who also earned below 133% of the federal poverty level (11).

Despite the U.S.’ heavy investment in healthcare, life expectancy in the U.S. is lower than many other industrialized countries (10). In fact, in 2020, life expectancy fell by 1.5 to 77.3 years (74% of this decline is secondary to COVID-19) (12). Of note, 27 of 29 examined countries across Europe and the Americas demonstrated a decrease in life expectancy in 2020. However, the U.S. demonstrated the largest decrease in life expectancy from 2019 to 2020 of all examined countries (13). Historically the top 10 causes of death in the U.S. are heart disease, malignancy, accidents, chronic lower respiratory diseases, cerebrovascular disease, Alzheimer’s disease, diabetes mellitus, influenza/pneumonia, kidney disease, and suicide (14). Within the malignancy category, the deadliest cancer in the U.S. is lung cancer, accounting for an estimated 131,880 deaths in 2021 alone (3).

**General overview of thoracic surgery in the U.S.**

Thoracic surgeons in the U.S. are responsible for the operative and perioperative care of those with surgical diseases of the chest (15). This includes surgery for emphysema, swallowing difficulties, gastroesophageal reflux disease, tumors (lung, esophagus, chest wall, mediastinum), tracheal anomalies, diaphragm disease, end stage heart or lung disease requiring transplantation, and benign chest wall abnormalities (15,16). Benign chest wall conditions such as pectus excavatum are most commonly treated in adolescence by pediatric surgeons in the U.S. Thoracic surgeons are involved when adults with pectus excavatum require revision for recurrence or for the small percentage that require primary repair (16). Those that require revision for recurrence are best served with a modified Ravitch repair while adults undergoing primary repair are able to undergo a modified Nuss repair (16,17).

Approximately 530,000 general thoracic surgery cases are performed yearly in the U.S. by around 4,000 cardiothoracic surgeons (18). Most of these surgeons are male (92%), with an average age of 56 years (19). It is estimated that the average thoracic surgeon performs 135 cases yearly (18). The most common procedures performed are video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) lobectomy, followed by VATS wedge resection, VATS decortication, laparoscopic paraesophageal hernia repair, Ivor-Lewis Esophagectomy (open or minimally invasive), mediastinoscopy with or
without biopsy, and laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication (20). Most thoracic surgeons perform these procedures through a hospital-based practice (44.8%) or academic/university-based practice (33.6%). The remaining surgeons are in private practice or employed by the government (19).

Throughout the U.S., approximately 50% of thoracic surgery is performed by general surgeons (21). Thoracic surgeons perform the majority of complex thoracic surgery procedures at academic and university-based practices, while general surgeons perform most of the thoracic surgery cases in community hospitals (21). As examined by Schipper et al. (22), in any clinical setting, patients who undergo pneumonectomy, lobectomy, limited lung resection, or decortication performed by thoracic surgeons have significantly lower mortality as compared to general surgeons or cardiothoracic surgeons that mainly perform cardiac surgery. However, when adjusted by surgeon volume, this advantage disappears for those undergoing pneumonectomy or decortication. The advantage is still present for those undergoing lobectomy and limited lung resection. Thoracic surgeons also have lower morbidity overall and on adjusted analysis (22). This may be associated with the fact that thoracic surgeons are more likely than other surgeons to perform lung procedures using minimally invasive techniques (odds ratio 1.57, 95% CI: 1.36–1.81) (23). Specifically, VATS lobectomy and VATS segmentectomy are associated with fewer complications, lower risk of 30-day mortality, and shorter length of stay as compared to open lobectomy or segmentectomy (23).

**Thoracic surgery training in the U.S.**

Unlike many other countries, the U.S. has combined training for cardiac and thoracic surgery for the specialty of Cardiothoracic Surgery governed by the American Board of Thoracic Surgery (ABTS). There are three main pathways to becoming a thoracic surgeon in the U.S. (24). In the Traditional Pathway, medical school graduates complete five clinical years of general surgery training before entering a 2–3-year fellowship to specialize in cardiothoracic surgery. These physicians may be certified in general surgery by the American Board of Surgery and in thoracic surgery by the ABTS. In the Integrated (I-6) Pathway, trainees complete six years of cardiothoracic surgery immediately after medical school. They are only eligible for certification in thoracic surgery through the ABTS. In the third pathway (4+3), medical school graduates complete four clinical years of general surgery followed by three years of cardiothoracic surgery residency, all within the same institution. These physicians are also eligible for board certification in both general surgery and thoracic surgery (24). Several residents also consider additional dedicated research time (commonly 2 years) during their training. Within I-6 training programs, 60% of residents may choose to take optional dedicated research time, 18% are required to take research time, and 17% have no option for dedicated research time. Among the traditional pathway trainees, approximately 69% performed some type of research during their general surgery training (25). Regardless of research time and across all three training paradigms, residents must complete requisite case numbers for either a cardiac or thoracic track weighted towards their anticipated field of practice.

ABTS certification confers the ability for diplomates to then perform either cardiac surgery, thoracic surgery, or both according to their local scope of practice. 30–42% of cardiothoracic surgeons are estimated to practice thoracic surgery exclusively with another 31–38% practicing both cardiac and thoracic surgery (26-28). Among academic medical centers, the majority of cardiothoracic faculty will have a clinical practice dedicated solely to either cardiac or thoracic surgery.

Several studies have predicted a workforce shortage in cardiothoracic surgery in the U.S. by the year 2035. Moffatt-Bruce et al. projects a 61% increased annual demand for thoracic surgical procedures from 2010 to 2035 given our aging patient population that will likely require more interventions (18). At the same time, there has been a notable decrease in the number of cardiothoracic surgeons graduating from training programs combined with aging of our existing physician population creating a predictable supply deficit in the thoracic surgical workforce (18).

Despite concerns of declining applications to thoracic surgery training programs (29), U.S. thoracic surgery remains one of the most competitive training programs to enter. In 2021, 100% of the thoracic surgery fellowship positions were filled (91 positions). There were 154 graduating general surgery residents who applied for these 91 spots, leaving 40% of applicants without a position (30). For the I-6 programs in 2019, there were only 36 positions offered, with 209 medical school applicants vying for the positions (31).

The development of I-6 programs has led to an increase in the number of well-trained cardiothoracic surgeons joining the field (32). This almost accounts for the decline in the number of traditional pathway positions since the inception of I-6 programs, from 130 traditional pathway
positions in 2008 to 91 in 2021 (30,32). However, given the projected workforce shortage in cardiothoracic surgery, a significant focus has been placed on recruiting bright medical school and general surgery applicants through mentorship and programs to increase exposure (33,34). This is especially necessary given that operative cardiothoracic surgery experience has declined within general surgery residencies (35). Though continuing to increase the number of training positions would help address the workforce shortage, an emphasis also must be placed on attrition within the field. Twenty-six percent of surgeons considered leaving the field in 2018, many of whom cited that the job demanded too much of their time and that they felt significant stress (36). There were also concerns regarding inadequate mentoring and career advancement (36). It is clear that innovative solutions to recruit new surgeons and to improve the culture of support for mid-career surgeons are necessary to address the workforce shortage.

**Areas of strength**

The field of thoracic surgery in the U.S. has seen a push to incorporate new training paradigms and technologies. One training technique that the U.S. has embraced is that of simulation. The Thoracic Surgery Directors Association (TSDA), a collaboration of cardiothoracic surgery residency program directors, began offering boot camps for cardiothoracic surgery trainees in 2008 (37). The Society of Thoracic Surgeons now administers this program, which fulfills the simulation requirement dictated by the ABTS and allows one-on-one training in technical thoracic skills such as bronchoscopy, mediastinoscopy, open lobectomy and hilar dissection, and robotic surgery (37). Nationwide simulation courses and training in individual residency programs have demonstrated benefits. Participants have higher ABTS certification exam pass rates and perform better in true clinical scenarios (38).

Additionally, The Thoracic Surgery Residents Association (TSRA), developed in 1997 and overseen by the TSDA, has become a principal resource for cardiothoracic surgery trainees in North America (39). Over the past 10 years, this collection of residents has published six textbooks and five reference guides that communicate foundational concepts and surgical techniques. They also disseminate information from leaders in cardiothoracic surgery through their podcast, the TSRA Podcast Series. In the podcast, trainees and skillful academic attendings examine clinical topics and appropriate management strategies (39).

**Areas for improvement**

Despite our innovation and healthcare expenditures, there are significant healthcare disparities within the U.S. For example, life expectancy differs significantly across races. Life expectancy in the Hispanic community is 78.8 years; in the non-Hispanic White community, it is 77.6 years, while it is 71.8 years in the non-Hispanic Black community (12). Concerning the uninsured rate, in 2019, 16.7% of the Hispanic population was uninsured, 9.6% of the Black population was uninsured, 6.2% of the Asian population was uninsured, and 5.2% of the non-Hispanic White population was uninsured (10). Disparities in adhering to guideline-concordant surgical cancer care are present across all aspects of surgery. Racial minorities and those living in more rural areas are less likely to receive guideline-concordant care and have worse cancer-specific survival (40).

Specifically, within the thoracic surgery space, increased racial residential segregation is associated with higher lung cancer mortality for black patients (a 10% difference) (41). When adjusted for differences in stage at diagnosis, socioeconomic status, and marital status, there are still unexplained differences in lung cancer mortality along racial divides (42). Black patients with esophageal cancer are less likely to undergo surgery than their white counterparts despite resectable disease. Unfortunately, there is an associated demonstrated survival cost (43). If patients undergo esophagectomy, reduced survival is no longer associated with race on adjusted analysis but is closely linked with socioeconomic status (44). As stated earlier, because the U.S. healthcare system operates on an ill-defined framework mainly at the local level, it is difficult to enact systems-wide change to address such disparities.

**Lung cancer: the most commonly treated disease by thoracic surgeons in the U.S.**

There are an estimated 222,520 surgical operations for lung cancer annually (18). The following operative and perioperative outcomes for lung cancer surgery were examined specifically within the Medicare population (those 65 years of age and older) and were performed most commonly by thoracic surgeons that contribute data to the Society of Thoracic Surgeons database. These patients most commonly undergo lobectomy (68.2%) or wedge resection (18.1%) (45). These procedures are usually performed minimally invasively (VATS) and only 7% are performed robotically (45,46). The average operative time is 234–242 minutes (45,47). Postoperatively approximately
14–15% experience atrial arrhythmia, 8.5% require a blood transfusion, 4% develop pneumonia, 4% require reintubation, 3–4% require unanticipated reoperation, 3% experience delirium, and 1% experience acute kidney injury (45,47,48). There is a lower odds of morbidity for those that undergo anatomic lung resection for lung cancer if performed by cardiothoracic surgeons as compared to general surgeons (adjusted odds ratio 0.82, P=0.011) per the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program database (49). Length of stay for this index operation is 3–7 days, with an average of 5 days (45,47). There is an estimated 1.7% operative mortality (47). The overall cost of the hospitalization associated with this index operation is approximately $32,000 (45,47). Yet, even within these ranges, there is a wide degree of variability in clinical outcomes based on surgeon volume, center volume, access to care (e.g., tertiary hospitals, advanced imaging, post-treatment surveillance) and insurance status. For example, insurance status impacts the odds of a patient even receiving surgery for early stage lung cancer. Using the privately insured as the reference group, the medicare population and the uninsured population were both found to have a lower odds of undergoing surgery (0.53 and 0.50, respectively). This was associated with worse 5-year overall survival (50).

Lung cancer-specific 5-year survival in the U.S. is 59.8% for those with localized disease, 32.9% for those with regional disease, and 6.3% for those with distant metastases (4). Unfortunately, lung cancer-specific 5-year survival is only 21.7% when examined across all stages (4). An estimated 131,880 people will die from this disease in 2021 in the U.S. (3,4). Five-year survival is higher in some Asian countries (33% in Japan, 25% in the Republic of Korea) (51) potentially secondary to early adoption of inclusive screening guidelines (younger patients and those with shorter smoking histories are screened) (52). The U.S. recently updated screening guidelines that more closely reflect those countries’ guidelines.

Despite these grim statistics, mortality from lung cancer in the U.S. has been decreasing since 1991 (3,4,53). From a preventive health standpoint, some of this improvement is attributed to an increase in regulations to reduce air pollutants, smoking cessation programs, and the implementation of lung cancer screening programs (3,53). The U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) initially set forth lung cancer screening recommendations in 2013 (54-56). Per the National Lung Screening Trial, with 12.3 years of median follow-up examining the impact of low dose computed tomography (LDCT) screening, there is a 23.3% estimated false positive rate and a 3.1% overdiagnosis rate, but a 20% relative risk reduction in lung cancer mortality. The number needed to screen to prevent one death attributable to lung cancer was 303 (56). In the interim, studies examining the effectiveness of screening guidelines have found that these guidelines are associated with a false positive rate of 7.9–49.3%, and an overdiagnosis rate of 0–67.2%. There was no impact on quality of life or increase in anxiety (55).

The USPSTF currently recommends yearly LDCT for lung cancer screening. This recommendation applies to those aged 50–80 with a 20 pack-year smoking history who currently smoke or quit smoking less than 15 years ago (57). The guidelines reflect a recent change lowering the age for inclusion and number of pack years smoked as requirements to increase the number of eligible patients and thereby offer the benefits of screening to a larger population. Data from 2016, 3 years after the first USPSTF screening recommendation, demonstrated that as low as 2% of those eligible for screening participated (58). However, it was not until 2015 that Medicare consistently covered the costs of screening imaging (58). Six years later, in 2021, we are now seeing the impact of Medicare funded lung cancer screening. As patients become eligible for Medicare enrollment (reach age 65 years), there is a significant increase in the diagnosis of stage I lung cancer (from 8.9% to 19.1%) with a decrease in stage IV lung cancer diagnosis (54.9% to 41.4%). This is also associated with a 5-year disease-specific survival improvement (59). As the U.S. and thoracic surgeons continue to invest in and promote lung cancer screening together, we will likely see an even more drastic improvement in lung cancer mortality.

Conclusions

Thoracic Surgery in the U.S. operates within a complex and expensive healthcare delivery system with unfortunate healthcare disparities. Despite this, the field continues to innovate new training paradigms to prepare future leaders in the field to provide the best care possible to our patients. Thoracic surgeons are critical in treating the deadliest cancer in the U.S.—lung cancer—and overall have the best outcomes for this disease. We look forward to seeing mortality from this disease continue to decline as we look towards the future (Figure 1).
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