### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Materials and Methods	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	Clinical samples and immunohistochemistry; Western	
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	Materials and Methods	
Provide accession number in repository OR	Cell culture and RPL6 knockdown	
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		NA
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		NA
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		NA
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		NA
in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b> RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		NA
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		NA
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Materials and Methods	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number	Clinical samples and immunohistochemistry	
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Materials and Methods	
obtained from study participants.	Clinical samples and immunohistochemistry	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		NA

# <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		NA
Laboratory protocol Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step- by-step protocols are available.	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) Materials and Methods: Clinical samples and immunohistochemistry; Cell culture and RPL6 knockdown; RT-qPCR assay; Western blotting; Cell viability and colony formation; Cell apoptosis; Transwell assay	n/a
Experimental study design (statistics details) State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Sample size determination Randomisation Blinding Inclusion/exclusion criteria		NA NA NA NA
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a NA
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates		NA
Ethics Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph) Materials and Methods Clinical samples and immunohistochemistry	n/a
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		NA
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Materials and Methods Clinical samples and immunohistochemistry	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a NA

# <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		NA
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		
Statistics		
	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Statistical analysis	
tests.		
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		NA
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		NA
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		NA
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		NA
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		NA
If code is publicly available, provide accession		NA
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication	

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-22-116