Research and reporting methodology

Revised Standards for QUality Improvement Reporting Excellence (SQUIRE 2.0) publication guidelines

Notes to authors

- ► The SQUIRE guidelines provide a framework for reporting new knowledge about how to improve healthcare.
- ► The SQUIRE guidelines are intended for reports that describe system level work to improve the quality, safety and value of healthcare, and used methods to establish that observed outcomes were due to the intervention(s).
- ► A range of approaches exists for improving healthcare. SQUIRE may be adapted for reporting any of these.
- ► Authors should consider every SQUIRE item, but it may be inappropriate or unnecessary to include every SQUIRE element in a particular manuscript.
- ► The SQUIRE glossary contains definitions of many of the key words in SQUIRE.
- ► The explanation and elaboration document provides specific examples of well-written SQUIRE items and an in-depth explanation of each item.
- ▶ Please cite SQUIRE when it is used to write a manuscript.

Text section and item name	Page/line no(s).
	info is located
Title and abstract	
1. Title	
Indicate that the manuscript concerns an initiative to improve healthcare (broadly defined to include the quality, safety, effectiveness, patient-centredness, timeliness, cost, efficiency and equity of healthcare).	Page 1 Lines 1-
2. Abstract	
a. Provide adequate information to aid in searching and indexing.	Page 3 Line 75
b. Summarise all key information from various sections of the text using the abstract format of the intended publication or a structured summary such as: background, local problem, methods, interventions, results, conclusions.	Page 2 Lines 49-73
Introduction: Why did you start?	
3. Problem description - Nature and significance of the local problem.	Page 6 lines 108-110
4. Available knowledge - Summary of what is currently known about the problem, including relevant previous studies.	Page 5 lines 79- 97)
5. Rationale - Informal or formal frameworks, models, concepts and/or theories used to explain the problem, any reasons or assumptions that were used to develop the intervention(s) and reasons why the intervention(s) was expected to work	Page 5 lines 89-97
6. Specific aims - Purpose of the project and of this report.	Page 6 110-112
Methods: What did you do?	
7. Context - Contextual elements considered important at the outset of introducing the intervention(s).	Page 8-11 lines 158-225

8. Intervention(s)	
a. Description of the intervention(s) in sufficient detail that others could reproduce it.	
	Page 7-8 lines
b. Specifics of the team involved in the work.	148-169
9. Study of the intervention(s)	
a. Approach chosen for assessing the impact of the intervention(s).	n/a
b. Approach used to establish whether the observed outcomes were due to the	- /-
intervention(s).	n/a
10. Measures	
a. Measures chosen for studying processes and outcomes of the intervention(s), including rationale for choosing them, their operational definitions and their validity and reliability.	n/a
b. Description of the approach to the ongoing assessment of contextual elements that contributed to the success, failure, efficiency and cost.	Page 10 lines 214-225
c. Methods employed for assessing completeness and accuracy of data.	n/a
11. Analysis	
	Page 6 lines
a. Qualitative and quantitative methods used to draw inferences from the data.	114-116
b. Methods for understanding variation within the data, including the effects of time as a	
variable.	n/a
12. Ethical considerations - Ethical aspects of implementing and studying the intervention(s)	Daga 6 lina 120
and how they were addressed, including, but not limited to, formal ethics review and potential conflict(s) of interest.	Page 6 line 120-
Results: What did you find?	
13. Results	
a. Initial steps of the intervention(s) and their evolution over time (eg, time-line diagram,	Page 10 lines
flow chart or table), including modifications made to the intervention during the project.	214-247
now chart of table), including modifications made to the intervention during the project.	Page 11 lines
b. Details of the process measures and outcomes.	236-258
·	Page 12 lines
c. Contextual elements that interacted with the intervention(s).	261-283
d. Observed associations between outcomes, interventions and relevant contextual	
elements.	n/a
e. Unintended consequences such as unexpected benefits, problems, failures or costs associated with the intervention(s).	Page 12 261- 307
f. Details about missing data.	n/a
Discussion: What does it mean?	
14. Summary	
	Page 15 lines
a. Key findings, including relevance to the rationale and specific aims.	329-335
h. Deutieuleu duran eth e of the musical	Page 17 lines
b. Particular strengths of the project.	373-377
15. Interpretation	
a. Nature of the association between the intervention(s) and the outcomes.	n/a
	Page 15 lines
b. Comparison of results with findings from other publications.	337-351

	Page 16 lines
c. Impact of the project on people and systems.	353-372
d. Reasons for any differences between observed and anticipated outcomes, including the influence of context.	n/a
e. Costs and strategic trade-offs, including opportunity costs.	Page 16 lines 352-371
16. Limitations	
a. Limits to the generalisability of the work.	Page 17 lines 377-383
b. Factors that might have limited internal validity such as confounding, bias or imprecision in the design, methods, measurement or analysis.	Page 17 lines 377-383
c. Efforts made to minimise and adjust for limitations.	Page 17 lines 377-383
Conclusions	
a. Usefulness of the work.	Page 17 lines 385-386
b. Sustainability.	Page 17 lines 386-387
c. Potential for spread to other contexts.	Page 18 lines 388-390
d. Implications for practice and for further study in the field.	Page 18 lines 388-390
e. Suggested next steps.	Page 18 lines 390-392
Other information	
18. Funding - Sources of funding that supported this work. Role, if any, of the funding organisation in the design, implementation, interpretation and reporting.	Page 18 lines 405-408

Ogrinc G, et al. BMJ Qual Saf 2015;0:1–7. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2015-004411 Downloaded from http://qualitysafety.bmj.com/ on January 2, 2017

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-22-518

^{*}As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version.