



# Air leaks: leave well enough alone

Gabrielle Drevet, François Tronc

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Lung and Heart-Lung Transplantation, Louis Pradel Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

Correspondence to: Dr. Gabrielle Drevet. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Lung and Heart-Lung Transplantation, Louis Pradel Hospital, 28 avenue du Doyen Jean Lépine, F-69677 Lyon, France. Email: gabrielle.drevet@chu-lyon.fr.

Comment on: Suzuki T, Asakura K, Egawa T, *et al.* Double stapling method for closure of intraoperative alveolar air leakage adjacent to the staple line: a randomized experimental study on *ex vivo* porcine lungs. *J Thorac Dis* 2022;14:2045-52.

Submitted Jun 10, 2022. Accepted for publication Jun 20, 2022.

doi: 10.21037/jtd-22-811

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-22-811>

Air leakage during and after surgery is a frequent problem encountered by the thoracic surgeon. The incidence of such a complication depends in part on the surgery performed. The incidence of prolonged air leaks is higher following lung volume reduction surgery (24–46% incidence) when compared with lobectomies (8.3%) and wedge resections (3.3%) (1,2). As it is associated with prolonged hospital stay and increased morbidity (3), many solutions have been developed.

Intra operative air leaks reflecting the duration of post-operative air leak (4), it is important to be able to minimize the leakage during the same intra operative time. Precisely, Suzuki *et al.* (5) have described a novel intraoperative closure method. The authors conducted an interesting *in vitro* experiment, maybe a preamble to a larger *in vivo* study. The double stapling method seems to be effective in *ex vivo* porcine lungs in terms of intra operative leakage. However, concerns that emerge from this technique are the following. First, additional stapling may, in itself, aggravates the air leaks by multiplying the risks of visceral pleura tears beyond the second stapling line, which will be subjected to greater tension. Moreover, Pan *et al.* have shown that postoperative air leaks were directly correlated to the stapling length (6). Secondly, this experiment only studied intraoperative air leakage. So, long-term results are not available. It would be interesting to know if this method, already increasing the local tension, is resistant to coughing efforts asked to the patients during postoperative period. Ishibashi *et al.* showed that just with extubation-related cough, about 67% of patients developed air leaks (7). Larger scale *in vivo* study would be needed to clarify this point. Third, the use of stapling

has long been criticized as it can plicate lung parenchyma, resulting in loss of functional parenchyma and preventing lung re-expansion. This has been an issue particularly in segmentectomies. Several studies have compared the division of the intersegmental plane with the electrocautery versus stapling. The two methods seem similar in terms of postoperative complications and respiratory function (8,9), but if stapling allows a reduction in the hospital length of stay, it generates a defect of pulmonary re-expansion in 2.5% of cases at 1 month (10). At last, the method described by Suzuki *et al.* (5) is not applicable to pleural defect related to dissection or made outside the stapling area. It is therefore not a unique method but a useful complement to methods already described.

Several techniques have been developed in recent years, but none of them can completely eliminate all air leaks. Unfortunately, no solution is miraculous. Perhaps the best-known solution, Tachosil<sup>®</sup>, allows a reduction in intraoperative air leaks with a tendency to a reduction in postoperative leaks and a reduction in chest drainage time (11). This solution seems particularly suitable for minimally invasive surgery, which is not the case for the double stapling method. Another technique using Tachosil<sup>®</sup> has also been described. This is a technique where a Tachosil<sup>®</sup> patch is sutured in a different way depending on the type of air leaks observed intraoperatively (12). This technique would allow an arrest of air leaks in the worst case on the third day post operatively but with a hospital length of stay that remains important to reach a median of 6.5 days. Moreover, the use of sealant remains controversial with two prospective randomized studies concluding the opposite of each other

(13,14). One study concluded that there was a significant reduction in postoperative air leaks and in the mean length of hospital stay, while the other study concluded in an increase of post-operative air leaks and did not recommend this technique. At last, benefits of buttressing staplers with different materials remain controversial. Recently, a prospective randomized controlled study showed that bioabsorbable reinforcement sleeves were ineffective in reducing air leak duration after lobectomy for malignancy (15). To summarize, there are many techniques in the hands of the thoracic surgeon but all with limited success. The thoracic surgeon must therefore know all these techniques and choose the one best adapted to his patient, keeping in mind not to do worse.

To conclude, the authors should be thanked for adding a new method to our resources when intraoperative leakage along the staple line is observed. Though we agree with the authors that effective methods fighting per operative air leaks are needed, these techniques are to be used cautiously and conservative attitude should also be considered in order to preserve lung expansion.

### Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Journal of Thoracic Disease*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-22-811/coif>). GD reports personal fees from ASTRA ZENECA outside the submitted work. FT has no conflict of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with

the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

### References

1. DeCamp MM, Blackstone EH, Naunheim KS, et al. Patient and surgical factors influencing air leak after lung volume reduction surgery: lessons learned from the National Emphysema Treatment Trial. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2006;82:197-206; discussion 206-7.
2. Rivera C, Bernard A, Falcoz PE, et al. Characterization and prediction of prolonged air leak after pulmonary resection: a nationwide study setting up the index of prolonged air leak. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;92:1062-8; discussion 1068.
3. Pompili C, Falcoz PE, Salati M, et al. A risk score to predict the incidence of prolonged air leak after video-assisted thoracoscopic lobectomy: An analysis from the European Society of Thoracic Surgeons database. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2017;153:957-65.
4. Brunelli A, Salati M, Pompili C, et al. Intraoperative air leak measured after lobectomy is associated with postoperative duration of air leak. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2017;52:963-8.
5. Suzuki T, Asakura K, Egawa T, et al. Double stapling method for closure of intraoperative alveolar air leakage adjacent to the staple line: a randomized experimental study on ex vivo porcine lungs. *J Thorac Dis* 2022;14:2045-52.
6. Pan H, Chang R, Zhou Y, et al. Risk factors associated with prolonged air leak after video-assisted thoracic surgery pulmonary resection: a predictive model and meta-analysis. *Ann Transl Med* 2019;7:103.
7. Ishibashi H, Kobayashi M, Takasaki C, et al. Efficacy of Supraglottic Airway for Preventing Lung Injury Associated with Coughing at Extubation after Pulmonary Lobectomy. *World J Surg* 2016;40:1892-8.
8. Tao H, Tanaka T, Hayashi T, et al. Influence of stapling the intersegmental planes on lung volume and function after segmentectomy. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2016;23:548-52.
9. Chen X, Jin R, Xiang J, et al. Methods for Dissecting Intersegmental Planes in Segmentectomy: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2020;110:258-64.
10. Ojanguren A, Gossot D, Seguin-Givelet A. Division of the intersegmental plane during thoracoscopic segmentectomy:

- is stapling an issue? *J Thorac Dis* 2016;8:2158-64.
11. Anegg U, Lindenmann J, Matzi V, et al. Efficiency of fleece-bound sealing (TachoSil) of air leaks in lung surgery: a prospective randomised trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2007;31:198-202.
  12. Nishida T, Mikami I, Fujii Y. New technique to prevent prolonged air leak: use of 'Tachosuture' technique. *Gen Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2017;65:133-6.
  13. Tan C, Utley M, Paschalides C, et al. A prospective randomized controlled study to assess the effectiveness of CoSeal® to seal air leaks in lung surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2011;40:304-8.
  14. Lequaglie C, Giudice G, Marasco R, et al. Use of a sealant to prevent prolonged air leaks after lung resection: a prospective randomized study. *J Cardiothorac Surg* 2012;7:106.
  15. Alifano M, Jayle C, Bertin F, et al. Medical and Economic Evaluation of FOREseal Bioabsorbable Reinforcement Sleeves Compared With Current Standard of Care for Reducing Air Leakage Duration After Lung Resection for Malignancy: A Randomized Trial. *Ann Surg* 2017;265:45-53.

**Cite this article as:** Drevet G, Tronc F. Air leaks: leave well enough alone. *J Thorac Dis* 2022;14(9):3119-3121. doi: 10.21037/jtd-22-811