

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study’s design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 1/ Lines 2-3	Title
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page 3/ Lines 38-58	Abstract/ Para 1-4
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 4/ Lines 62-82	Introduction/ Para 1-2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Pages 4-5/ Line 83-88	Introduction/ Para 3
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 5/ Line 92-93	Methods/ Para 1
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Pages 5-8/ Line 92-181	Methods/ Para 1-7
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up Case-control study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls Cross-sectional study —Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	Page 5/ Line 92-106	Methods/ Para 1
		(b) Cohort study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	Page 5/ Line 92-106 Page 9/ Line 191-207	Methods/ Para 1 Results/ Para 1
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Pages 5-8/ Line 108-181	Methods/ Para 2-7
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Pages 5-7/ Line 108-181	Methods/ Para 2-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Pages 7-8/ Line 155-188	Methods/ Para 7-8
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page 5/ Line 92-106	Methods/ Para 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Pages 8-9/ Line 184-188 Pages 7-8/ Line 155-181	Methods/ Para 8 Methods/ Para 7

Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Page 8/ Lines 184-188	Methods/ Para 8
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Page 8/ Lines 184-188	Methods/ Para 8
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Page 5/ Line 92-106	Methods/ Para 1
		(d) Cohort study —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	Page 5/ Line 92-106	Methods/ Para 1
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Page 8/ Lines 184-188	Methods/ Para 8
Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Pages 9-10/ Lines 191-239	Results/ Para 1-5
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Pages 9/ Lines 191-207	Results/ Para 1
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	NA The use of flow diagrams was avoided because it would be complicated.	NA The use of flow diagrams was avoided because it would be complicated.
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Pages 9/ Lines 191-207	Results/ Para 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	NA Data containing missing values are excluded.	NA Data containing missing values are excluded.
		(c) Cohort study —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	NA All have a 12-hour follow-up.	NA
Outcome data	15*	Cohort study —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	Pages 9-10/ Lines 191-239	Results/ Para 1-5
		Case-control study —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	NA Different research styles	NA Different research styles
		Cross-sectional study —Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	NA Different research styles	NA Different research styles
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95%confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Pages 9-10/ Lines 191-230	Results/ Para 1-5
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	NA We have not categorized our continuous variables.	NA We have not categorized our continuous variables.
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	NA We have not estimated relative risk.	NA We have not estimated relative risk.
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Pages 9-10/ Lines 231-239	Results/ Para 5
Discussion				

Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Pages 13/ Lines 306-310	Discussion/ Para 6
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 14/ Lines 311-318	Discussion/ Para 7

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Pages 13 Lines 306-310	Discussion/ Para 6
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 13-14/ Lines 306-318	Discussion/ Para 6-7
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 14/ Line 333	Funding

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/>, Annals of Internal Medicine at <http://www.annals.org/>, and Epidemiology at <http://www.epidem.com/>). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-22-325>

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.