Section/item	ltem No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	2/44-48	Abstract and Methods
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2-3/50-56	Abstract
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3-4/63-79	Introduction/1-3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3-4/76-79	Introduction/3
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4-5/81-87	Methods/1
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	4-5/106-111	Methods/1
Participants	6	 (a) <i>Cohort study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i>—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 	4-5/114-124	Methods/2
		(b) Cohort study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N/A	Not a matched analysis
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	4-5/126-131	Methods/3
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	4-5/133-137	Methods/4
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	4-5/126-131	Methods/3
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	4-5/106-112	Methods/1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	4-5/133-137	Methods/4

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

			1
12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	4-5/133-137	Methods/4
	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	4-5/133-137	Methods/4
	(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	4-5/133-137	Methods/4
	(d) Cohort study —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	4-5/101-106	Methods/1
	(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	4-5/133-137	Methods/4
13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	5-6/140-148	Results/1
	(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	5-6/140-148	Results/1
	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	Figure 1	Figures
14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	5-6 and table	Results + Figures
	(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	5-6 and Figure 1	Results + Figures
	(c) Cohort study-Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	5-6/140-148 (also	Results/2
15*	Cohort study – Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	5-6/150-168	Results/3-5
	Case-control study-Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	NA	Cohort study
	Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	NA	Cohort study
16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	5-6/150-168	Results/3-5
	(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	5-6/150-168	Results/3-5
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	Not relevant	
17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	No subgroup analysis done	
		-	
18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	6-7/170-176	Discussion/1
19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	6-7/193-200	Discussion/4
	13* 14* 15* 16 17 18	 (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) 15[*] Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures orer time Case-control study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses 18 Summarise key results with reference to study objectives 19 Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision, Discuss both direction 	10 Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions 4-5/133-137 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed 4-5/133-137 (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed 4-5/133-137 (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed 4-5/133-137 (e) Explain how missing data were addressed 4-5/133-137 (f) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed 4-5/133-137 (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses 4-5/133-137 (f) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage 5-6/140-148 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram Figure 1 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (ag demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders 5-6 and Figure 1 (c) Cohort study—Expont numbers of outcome events or summary measures or time 5-6/140-148 (a) Give characteristics of study participants (ag demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders 5-6 and Figure 1 (f) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest 5-6 and Figure 1 (g) Indicate number of outcome events or summary measures of exposure NA Cohort study —Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exp

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	6-7/193-200	Discussion/5				
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	6-7/193-200	Discussion/5				
Other information								
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Funding Statement	Funding Statement				

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-22-1589

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.