#### <u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

### **Materials**

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Methods /paragraph 6-7	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
<b>Cell lines:</b> Provide species information, strain.	Methods /paragraph 6	
Provide accession number in repository <b>OR</b>		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		n/a
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		n/a
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository <b>OR</b> supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, <b>OR</b> RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		n/a
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		n/a
in repository (where relevant) <b>OR</b> RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		n/a
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		n/a
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Methods /paragraph 1	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Methods /paragraph 1	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		n/a

# <u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/a
number <b>OR</b> cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		n/a
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Methods /paragraph 1	
Randomisation	Methods /paragraph 1	
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Methods /paragraph 1	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	Methods /paragraph 12	
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological		n/a
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Methods /paragraph 1	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		n/a
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if	Methods /paragraph 1	
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		n/a
state the authority granting approval and reference		
number for the regulatory approval		

### <u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.		n/a
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Methods /paragraph 12	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	10.6084/m9.figshare.21377976	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	10.6084/m9.figshare.21377976	
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	10.6084/m9.figshare.21377976	
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	10.6084/m9.figshare.21377976	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.	10.6084/m9.figshare.21377976	

# **Reporting**

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-23-395

# TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation

Section	Item		Checklist description	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract					
Title	1	D;V	Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted.	Page 1 /Line 1	Title/Paragraph 1
Abstract	2	D;V	Provide a summary of objectives, study design, setting, participants, sample size, predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions.	Page 2/Line 1-26	Abstract/Paragraph1-5
Introduction					
Background and objectives	3a	D;V	Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.	Page2/Line27-29;Page3 /Line1-10	Introduction/Paragraph 1
	3b	D;V	Specify the objectives, including whether the study describes the development or validation of the model or both.	Page3/Line11-21	Introduction/Paragraph 2
Methods					
Source of data	4a	D;V	Describe the study design or source of data (e.g., randomized trial, cohort, or registry data), separately for the development and validation data sets, ifapplicable.	Page 3/Line 18-32	Methods/Paragraph 1
	4b	D;V	Specify the key study dates, including start of accrual; end of accrual; and, if applicable, end of follow-up.	Page 3/Line 18-32	Methods/Paragraph 1
Participants	5a	D;V	Specify key elements of the study setting (e.g., primary care, secondary care, general population) including number and location of centres.	Page 4/Line 106-108	Methods/Paragraph 1
	5b	D;V	Describe eligibility criteria for participants.	Page 4/Line 106-107	Methods/Paragraph 1
	5c	D;V	Give details of treatments received, if relevant.	Page 4/Line 106	Methods/Paragraph 1
Outcome	6a	D;V	Clearly define the outcome that is predicted by the prediction model, including how and when assessed.	N/A	N/A
	6b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of the outcome to be predicted.	N/A	N/A
Predictors	7a	D;V	Clearly define all predictors used in developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including how and when they were measured.	Page 4/Line 136	Methods/Paragraph 3
	7b	D;V	Report any actions to blind assessment of predictors for the outcome and other predictors.	N/A	N/A
Sample size	8	D;V	Explain how the study size was arrived at.	Page 4/Line 13-34	Methods/Paragraph 3

Missing data	9	D;V	Describe how missing data were handled (e.g., complete-case analysis, single imputation, multiple imputation) with details of any imputation method.	Page 3/Line 97-102	Methods/Paragraph 1
Statistical analysis methods	10a	D	Describe how predictors were handled in the analyses.	Page 8/Line 1-3	Methods/Paragraph 12
	10b	D	Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation.	Page 4/Line 122-136	Methods/Paragraph 3
	10c	V	For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated.	Page 4/Line 106-108	Methods/Paragraph 1
	10d	D;V	Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models.	Page 4/Line 115-119	Methods/Paragraph 2
	10e	V	Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done.	N/A	N/A
Risk groups	11	D;V	Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done.	N/A	N/A
Development vs. validation	12	V	For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors.	Page 4/Line 129-132	Methods/Paragraph 3
Results		•	·		
Participants	13a	D;V	Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful.	Page 8/Line 254-257	Results/Paragraph 1
	13b	D;V	Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Page 8/Line 255-256	Results/Paragraph 1
	13c	V	For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors and outcome).	Page 8/Line 254-257	Results/Paragraph 1
Model	14a	D	Specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis.	Page 8/Line 255-256	Results/Paragraph 1
development	14b	D	If done, report the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and outcome.	N/A	N/A
Model specification	15a	D	Present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients, and model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point).	Page 8/Line 260-272	Results/Paragraph 2
	15b	D	Explain how to the use the prediction model.	Page 8/Line 260-272	Results/Paragraph 2
Model performance	16	D;V	Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model.	Page 8/Line 262-264	Results/Paragraph 2
Model-updating	17	V	If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	N/A	N/A
Discussion		1		I.	1
Limitations	18	D;V	Discuss any limitations of the study (such as nonrepresentative sample, few events per predictor, missing data).	Page 11 /Line 22-30	Conclusions/Paragraph 1

Interpretation	19a	V	For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data.	N/A	N/A
	19b	D;V	Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, and results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	Page11/Line22-30	Conclusions/Paragraph 1
Implications	20	D;V	Discuss the potential clinical use of the model and implications for future research.	Page11/Line22-30	Conclusions/Paragraph
Other information					
Supplementary information	21	D;V	Provide information about the availability of supplementary resources, such as study protocol, Web calculator, and data sets.	Page 3/Line 21-34	Methods/Paragraph 1
Funding	22	D;V	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study.	Page1;12/Line	Title page/Paragraph 4

\* Items relevant only to the development of a prediction model are denoted by D, items relating solely to a validation of a prediction model are denoted by V, and items relating to both are denoted D;V. We recommend using the TRIPOD Checklist in conjunction with the TRIPOD Explanation and Elaboration document.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-23-395

\*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.