STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

Section/item	Item No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Title and abstract	Title and abstract
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	page 2	Abstract
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	line 77-88	1.1 Background
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	line 97-103	1.3 Objective
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	line 108-111	Participants and setting
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	line 108-111	Participants and setting
Participants	6	(a) ***Cohort study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up ***Case-control study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls ***Cross-sectional study***—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	line 108-111	Participants and setting
		(b) ***Cohort study***—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed ***Case-control study***—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N/A	N/A
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	line 154-160	Outcomre registration and redinition
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	line 161-175	Patient categorization
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	line 205-214	Statistics
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	line 108-111	Participant and setting
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	line 161-167	Patient categorization

Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	page 8	Statistics
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	page 8	Statistics
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	page 7	Patient categorization
		(d) ***Cohort study***—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed ***Case-control study***—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed ***Cross-sectional study***—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	line 154-160	Outcome registration and definitions
		(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	page 8	Statistics
Results				
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Line 217-232	Results
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Line 217-232	Results
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A	N/A
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	page 8 and table 1	Results, table 1
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Table 1	Table 1
		(c) ***Cohort study***—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	line 154-156	Outcomre registration
Outcome data	15*	***Cohort study***—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	line 233-239	Results
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	N/A	N/A
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	N/A	N/A
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	Table 3	Table 3
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	line 247-248 + 255-256	Results
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A	N/A
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	line 265-273	Sensitivity analysis
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	line 276-284	4.1 Key Findings
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	line 286-303	4.2 Strengts and limitations

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	line 304-323	4.3 Comparison with similar research
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	line 292-294	4.2 Strengts and limitations
Other information				
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	listed in e-system	listed in e-system

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-23-1292
*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.