

# Proton beam therapy as a rising option in treating intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma

## Jae Woo Lee<sup>1,2</sup>, Sang Hyub Lee<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine and Liver Research Institute, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea; <sup>2</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea

Correspondence to: Sang Hyub Lee, MD, PhD. Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University Hospital, 101 Daehak-ro, Jongno-gu, Seoul 03080, Korea. Email: gidoctor@snuh.org.

*Comment on:* Hong TS, Wo JY, Yeap BY, *et al.* Multi-Institutional Phase II Study of High-Dose Hypofractionated Proton Beam Therapy in Patients With Localized, Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:460-8.

Submitted Jan 04, 2017. Accepted for publication Jan 13, 2017. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.02.38 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.02.38

Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC) comprises about 10–15% of primary liver cancers, and the incidence is increasing (1,2). The only curative option is surgical resection, and the resectability rate at the time of diagnosis varies from 19% to 74% (3-6). Recent study showed the recurrence rate of 71% after curative resection of ICC, and 59.8% of them had local recurrence only (7). Unresectable ICC has a poor survival which the median overall survival (OS) is around 3 months when untreated (8) and palliative chemotherapy has shown survival benefit. ABC-02 trial showed biliary tract cancer treated with gemcitabine plus cisplatin had better survival than those treated with gemcitabine only (9), and now considered as the first choice chemotherapy in the treatment of unresectable or recurred ICC.

Hepatic artery based therapy such as hepatic arterial infusion (HAI), transarterial chemoembolization (TACE), drug-eluting bead TACE (DEB-TACE) and transarterial radioembolization (TARE) is performed to deliver high dose of systemic agents (or radiologic agents) to the target lesion while minimizing systemic adverse events. A metaanalysis showed cumulative median OS of 22.8 months with HAI, 12.4 months with TACE, 12.3 months with DEB-TACE and 13.9 months with TARE (10). Recent study by Konstantinidis *et al.* showed improved median OS upto 30.8 months in unresectable ICC patients treated with HAI plus systemic chemotherapy (11). These results on HAI are strikingly good compared to the median OS of 11.7 months in ABC-02 trial. However, most of the studies of HAI have retrospective nature and do not include the information of vascularity of the tumor. Another weakness of HAI is the invasive nature which includes surgical implantation of the infusion pump.

As for non-invasive modality, radiation therapy has been used in unresectable ICC cases. External beam radiotherapy (EBRT) was reported to have tumor response around 30%, offering a survival advantage. However, complications such as gastrointestinal symptoms and radiation induced hepatitis were also reported. Stereotactic body radio therapy (SBRT) has more precise targeting, enabling larger doses of radiation. Survival after SBRT was comparable to EBRT, yet having more significant adverse events such as gastrointestinal bleeding, hepatic failure (12,13). Proton-beam therapy (PBT) has been used to reduce radiation exposure to adjacent organs achieving concentrated dose to the target tumor, and a study of PBT including small group of ICC patients reported similar outcomes compared to SBRT, yet not giving a clear conclusion due to the small size of subjects (14).

In the current study published in *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Hong *et al.* evaluated the efficacy and safety of PBT in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and ICC (15). A total of 43 patients with biopsy proven unresectable or locally recurrent ICC patients were included in this study and 39 were analyzed. Median tumor volume was 133.7 mL and median dose of 58.0 GyE in 15 fractions was delivered. Two-year local control rate was 94.1% in ICC patients, resulting in median progression free survival (PFS) of 8.4 months and median OS of 22.5 months.

#### Translational Cancer Research, Vol 6, Suppl 1 February 2017

The 1-year and 2-year OS rates were 69.7% and 46.5%, respectively. Three patients (7.7%) experienced grade 3 radiation-related toxicity, which was liver failure, gastric ulcer, and elevated bilirubin. There were no grade-4 or grade-5 radiation-related toxicities.

Survival results are encouraging, as median OS of 22.5 months is remotely better than that of the current standard treatment gemcitabine plus cisplatin (9), and rather close to the median OS of 27 months in patients with resected ICCs (16). As comparison with radiation therapy, studies of SBRT reported median OS shorter than 12 months (12,13) which is nearly half of that of PBT. However survival comparison should be interpreted with caution since the current study excluded subjects with extrahepatic disease while ABC-02 trial and two SBRT studies included such subjects with a lack of subgroup survival analysis. Only HAI has a comparable survival to the current study in unresectable ICC patients, with a cumulative median OS with 22.8 months in a meta-analysis (10) and 30.8 months for HAI plus systemic chemotherapy in liver confined ICC patients in a recent study (11).

However, survival results with PBT on cholangiocarcinoma are inconsistent in multiple studies. Makita *et al.* reported PBT for mixed etiology of cholangiocarcinoma (including six ICCs) had median OS of 12 months with a median dose of 68.2 GyE (14). Another study conducted by Ohkawa *et al.* included 20 unresectable ICC patients and reported to have median OS of 27.5 months in curative intent group (n=12), and 9.6 months in incurative intent group (n=8) using a median dose of 72.6 GyE (17). Patient selection criteria differs in these three studies including the current one, and this could be an explanation to the substantial differences in survival time and further investigation is warranted to establish the indications of PBT.

PBT looks quite tolerable in the current study with a 7.7% rate of grade 3 radiation-related toxicity. Since SBRT has been reported to have 7% grade 3 toxicity with a reference value of 17% (13), PBT protocol in current study seems to be at least as safe as SBRT. Previous study using PBT for ICCs reported 13% events (grade 3 or higher) per patient with a median dose of 72.6 GyE, and the other study reported 29% events per patients with a median dose of 68.2 GyE. A percentage of 29% events per patients is quite high and is in range of that of HAI with 35% events per patients with a 95% confidential interval of 0.22 to 0.48 (10). Grade 3 or higher events per patient is 0.077 in current study, which is significantly lower than the previous studies. This could be a result of dose-related nature of radiation toxicity and regarding three studies, the upper margin of the optimal dose of PBT is likely to be under 70 GyE.

Recent studies elucidates the effectiveness of PBT in the treatment of ICCs and this well designed trial conducted by Hong *et al.* shows a surprising survival benefit in selected patients with ICC. Yet the recent version [2, 2016] of NCCN practice categorizes as locoregional therapy with a category 2B recommendation. ESMO guideline also states radiotherapy as a "may be considered" treatment for localized disease (18). We expect further accumulation of evidence regarding PBT for ICCs and looking forward to the following phase III trial.

#### **Acknowledgments**

Funding: None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Gang Wang (Department of Pancreatic and Biliary Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, Heilongjiang, China).

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2017.02.38). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

#### References

1. Howlader N, Noone AM, Krapcho M, et al. SEER Cancer

#### Lee and Lee. Proton beam therapy as a rising option in treating ICC

Statistics Review (CSR) 1975-2013. Available online: https://seer.cancer.gov/csr/1975\_2013/

- Patel T. Increasing incidence and mortality of primary intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma in the United States. Hepatology 2001;33:1353-7.
- Madariaga JR, Iwatsuki S, Todo S, et al. Liver resection for hilar and peripheral cholangiocarcinomas: a study of 62 cases. Ann Surg 1998;227:70-9.
- 4. Yamanaka N, Okamoto E, Ando T, et al. Clinicopathologic spectrum of resected extraductal mass-forming intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Cancer 1995;76:2449-56.
- Lieser MJ, Barry MK, Rowland C, et al. Surgical management of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a 31year experience. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 1998;5:41-7.
- Lang H, Sotiropoulos GC, Frühauf NR, et al. Extended hepatectomy for intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma (ICC): when is it worthwhile? Single center experience with 27 resections in 50 patients over a 5-year period. Ann Surg 2005;241:134-43.
- Spolverato G, Kim Y, Alexandrescu S, et al. Management and Outcomes of Patients with Recurrent Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma Following Previous Curative-Intent Surgical Resection. Ann Surg Oncol 2016;23:235-43.
- Park J, Kim MH, Kim KP, et al. Natural History and Prognostic Factors of Advanced Cholangiocarcinoma without Surgery, Chemotherapy, or Radiotherapy: A Large-Scale Observational Study. Gut Liver 2009;3:298-305.
- Valle J, Wasan H, Palmer DH, et al. Cisplatin plus gemcitabine versus gemcitabine for biliary tract cancer. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1273-81.
- Boehm LM, Jayakrishnan TT, Miura JT, et al. Comparative effectiveness of hepatic artery based therapies

**Cite this article as:** Lee JW, Lee SH. Proton beam therapy as a rising option in treating intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. Transl Cancer Res 2017;6(Suppl 1):S96-S98. doi: 10.21037/ tcr.2017.02.38 for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J Surg Oncol 2015;111:213-20.

- Konstantinidis IT, Do RK, Gultekin DH, et al. Regional chemotherapy for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: a potential role for dynamic magnetic resonance imaging as an imaging biomarker and a survival update from two prospective clinical trials. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:2675-83.
- Kopek N, Holt MI, Hansen AT, et al. Stereotactic body radiotherapy for unresectable cholangiocarcinoma. Radiother Oncol 2010;94:47-52.
- Ibarra RA, Rojas D, Snyder L, et al. Multicenter results of stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) for non-resectable primary liver tumors. Acta Oncol 2012;51:575-83.
- 14. Makita C, Nakamura T, Takada A, et al. Clinical outcomes and toxicity of proton beam therapy for advanced cholangiocarcinoma. Radiat Oncol 2014;9:26.
- 15. Hong TS, Wo JY, Yeap BY, et al. Multi-Institutional Phase II Study of High-Dose Hypofractionated Proton Beam Therapy in Patients With Localized, Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma and Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:460-8.
- de Jong MC, Nathan H, Sotiropoulos GC, et al. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: an international multiinstitutional analysis of prognostic factors and lymph node assessment. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:3140-5.
- Ohkawa A, Mizumoto M, Ishikawa H, et al. Proton beam therapy for unresectable intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015;30:957-63.
- Valle JW, Borbath I, Khan SA, et al. Biliary cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Ann Oncol 2016;27:v28-v37.