

How do the QUARTZ trial results inform future research for patients with brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer?

Matthew Nankivell¹, Ruth E. Langley¹, Rachael Barton², Corinne Faivre-Finn³, Paula Wilson⁴, Elaine McColl⁵, Barbara Moore⁶, Iona Brisbane⁷, David Ardron⁸, Benjamin Sydes¹, Richard Stephens¹, Mahesh Parmar¹, Paula Mulvenna⁹

¹Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London, London, UK; ²Queen's Centre for Oncology and Haematology, Castle Hill Hospital, Hull, UK; ³Radiotherapy Related Research, Division of Cancer Sciences, University of Manchester, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, The Christie NHS Foundation Trust UK, Manchester, UK; ⁴Bristol Haematology and Oncology Centre, Bristol, UK; ⁵Newcastle Clinical Trials Unit and Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK; ⁶Wales Cancer Research Network, Cardiff UK; ⁷The Beatson West of Scotland Cancer Centre, Greater Glasgow Health Board and Clyde, Glasgow, UK; ⁸Patient representative, Manchester, UK; ⁹Northern Centre for Cancer Care, Newcastle Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

Correspondence to: Matthew Nankivell. Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London, London, UK. Email: m.nankivell@ucl.ac.uk.

Response to: Tsao MN. Should optimal supportive care alone be the standard of care for brain metastases patients from non-small cell lung cancer, who are not eligible for radiosurgery or surgery? Transl Cancer Res 2016;5:S1320-S1322.

Submitted Feb 24, 2017. Accepted for publication Feb 28, 2017. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.03.08 **View this article at:** http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.03.08

We read with interest the editorial by Tsao (1), and thank the author for this well considered response to our recent paper describing the results of the QUARTZ trial of whole brain radiotherapy for patients with inoperable brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer (2). We continue to be encouraged by the amount of discussion taking place surrounding treatment options for these patients.

Tsao rightly highlights that it was very challenging to recruit patients into QUARTZ. Diagnoses such as inoperable brain metastases are clearly very distressing and present a difficult setting in which to conduct a clinical trial. We would like to express our sincere thanks and admiration for the patients and clinicians who persevered with the trial and made it a success. We largely agree with the author that the lack of clinical equipoise in individual cases was a major reason for the slower than expected recruitment rate. We collected screening logs during the trial, and they highlighted that the most common reason for not entering the trial was that the clinician and/or the patient wanted to either receive or avoid whole brain radiotherapy. Whilst we were unable to record any characteristics of these patients it does point to a lack of clinical equipoise, and it would be reasonable to think that patients with better prognoses were being selected for WBRT, and those with poorer prognoses were avoiding WBRT.

One of the unusual steps undertaken in QUARTZ was to release interim trial data to investigators (3,4). We believed that the lack of existing data was one of the main reasons for the lack of clinical equipoise, and having access to some data might make clinicians and patients more comfortable with the trial randomisation. It was interesting to note that after the presentation of these data to investigators, the rate at which poor performance status patients (KPS <70) were randomised into the trial dropped slightly (from 2.9 patients per month to 2.2 per month), whereas the rate that good performance status patients were randomised increased significantly (from 3.2 patients per month to 5.3 per month). This perhaps suggests that having viewed the interim data and seen the small size of any potential benefit, clinicians/patients became more comfortable with the possibility of omitting WBRT.

This links to another important point raised by Tsao, that patients often have misconceptions about the intentions and potential outcomes of treatment. This was something described in this specific patient population by Dorman *et al.* (5), who interviewed nine

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 6, Suppl 2 March 2017

QUARTZ patients from a single centre, several of whom demonstrated a misunderstanding of both the practical requirements of WBRT and their likely prognosis. In order for patients and clinicians to make fully informed treatment decisions, they need access to accurate estimates of likely treatment effects, and trials such as QUARTZ are the best source of this information.

The author also notes the emergence of several targeted agents during the life of QUARTZ. This is an important point, and these agents appear to be good options for patients with the appropriate molecular make-up (6). However at present only a small percentage of patients have a driver mutation targetable with approved treatment (in the UK approximately 10% of NSCLC patients have an EGFR mutation and 5% an ALK-rearrangement). Nonetheless it seems reasonable to believe that this will increase as our knowledge increases and more targets are identified.

Two important outcomes from QUARTZ are: firstly that it is possible to conduct trials in this patient group; and secondly, that for the majority of patients, future trials of systemic agents can be conducted without also having to include WBRT. We agree with Tsao's closing statement that the future of brain metastases research and treatment is promising, with increasing options and hopefully more opportunities for well conducted clinical trials.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Shaohua Cui (Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Shanghai Chest Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China).

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2017.03.08). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Tsao MN. Should optimal supportive care alone be the standard of care for brain metastases patients from nonsmall cell lung cancer, who are not eligible for radiosurgery or surgery? Transl Cancer Res 2016;5:S1320-S1322.
- Mulvenna P, Nankivell M, Barton R, et al. Dexamethasone and supportive care with or without whole brain radiotherapy in treating patients with non-small cell lung cancer with brain metastases unsuitable for resection or stereotactic radiotherapy (QUARTZ): results from a phase 3, non-inferiority, randomised trial. Lancet 2016;388:2004-14.
- Langley RE, Stephens RJ, Nankivell M, et al. Interim data from the Medical Research Council QUARTZ Trial: does whole brain radiotherapy affect the survival and quality of life of patients with brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer? Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol) 2013;25:e23-30.
- Stephens RJ, Langley RE, Mulvenna P, et al. Interim results in clinical trials: do we need to keep all interim randomised clinical trial results confidential? Lung Cancer 2014;85:116-8.
- Dorman S, Hayes J, Pease N. What do patients with brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer want from their treatment? Palliat Med 2009;23:594-600.
- Khalifa J, Amini A, Popat S, et al. Brain Metastases from NSCLC: Radiation Therapy in the Era of Targeted Therapies. J Thorac Oncol 2016;11:1627-43.

Cite this article as: Nankivell M, Langley RE, Barton R, Faivre-Finn C, Wilson P, McColl E, Moore B, Brisbane I, Ardron D, Sydes B, Stephens R, Parmar M, Mulvenna P. How do the QUARTZ trial results inform future research for patients with brain metastases from non-small cell lung cancer? Transl Cancer Res 2017;6(Suppl 2):S446-S447. doi: 10.21037/ tcr.2017.03.08