

# Long-term impact of staging breast magnetic resonance imaging—a risk for overtreatment?

## Anne M. Kuritzky, Marie Catherine Lee

Department of Breast Oncology, Comprehensive Breast Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL, USA *Correspondence to:* Marie Catherine Lee, MD, FACS. Department of Breast Oncology, Comprehensive Breast Program, H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, 10920 North McKinley Drive, Tampa, FL 33612, USA. Email: M.Catherine.Lee@moffitt.org. *Comment on:* Vapiwala N, Hwang WT, Kushner CJ, *et al.* No impact of breast magnetic resonance imaging on 15-year outcomes in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ or early-stage invasive breast cancer managed with breast conservation therapy. Cancer 2017;123:1324-32.

Submitted Mar 10, 2017. Accepted for publication Mar 24, 2017. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.03.79 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.03.79

Since the introduction of contrast enhanced breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) as an additional modality in breast imaging in 1989, there has been much debate regarding its role in clinical practice (1). Although it has an impressive sensitivity (reportedly 94–100%) for detecting breast lesions, this advantage has to be balanced with its markedly decreased specificity, ranging between 34–70% (2). The relatively high incidence of false positive findings and patient call backs coupled with the increased cost and the need for radiologists trained in breast MRI interpretation, have limited the application of this technology to date.

Given these barriers, MRI for breast cancer screening has been recommended only for certain high risk populations. The current American College of Radiology (ACR) recommendations state that MRI screening is indicated in women with a lifetime breast cancer risk of greater than 20–25%, individuals with known *BRCA* germline mutations or the untested first-degree relative of a *BRCA* carrier, and women that received chest wall radiation prior to age 30 years old (3). The role for MRI in follow-up of high risk lesions such as atypical ductal hyperplasia and lobular carcinoma in situ or women that have a personal history of breast cancer is still being debated. Meanwhile, it is categorically discouraged in patients at an average lifetime risk of breast cancer.

Similarly, the role for preoperative breast MRI in the patient with a known cancer diagnosis continues to evolve. MRI has been advocated in this setting for several reasons. First, the increased sensitivity of MRI may better delineate tumor size and associated margins when compared to mammography and ultrasound, so MRI has been used to ascertain the extent of disease (4). The other potential advantages of obtaining a preoperative MRI are to diagnose mammographically occult multicentric disease in the ipsilateral affected breast or to identify a contralateral breast cancer.

Current NCCN guidelines note that preoperative breast MRI may be used as part of staging evaluation. However, given the lack of data supporting an improvement in local recurrence or survival when MRI is used in surgical decision making, MRI should be incorporated at the discretion of the clinical provider (5).

The current literature definitively demonstrates the effect of preoperative breast MRI on surgical decision making. An Australian analysis of 181 patients receiving preoperative breast MRI found that 38.1% altered their surgical plan based on the results, including a change to a wider excision, mastectomy or bilateral surgery (6). Similarly, Brooke Army Medical Center reviewed their experience prospectively after implementing preoperative breast MRI for all new breast cancer diagnoses (7). In this series, mastectomy rates increased from 29% to 52% when breast MRI was used for staging in all cancers. Not only is preoperative MRI increasing ipsilateral mastectomy, it also has an effect on the rate of contralateral prophylactic mastectomy (CPM). A prospective analysis of women electing mastectomy at the University of Iowa found that 53 of 134 patients (39.6%) also elected CPM (8). Approximately half of these patients had undergone preoperative MRI (66/134). Univariate analysis showed that patients who had received preoperative MRI were more likely to choose CPM [odds ratio (OR), 2.74], particularly if they were given a follow up

recommendation from MRI (OR, 7.6).

One hypothesized benefit to preoperative MRI is that better knowledge of disease extent would increase rate of negative pathologic margins. Authors at UCSF examined the impact of preoperative MRI specifically in patients treated for ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) (9). Their retrospective review of 149 patients identified 38 patients had preoperative MRI; these tended to be younger patients with larger area of involvement. The MRI group had a mastectomy rate of 45% compared with 14% in the non-MRI group. As expected with increased mastectomy performance, they also saw a significant increase in the use of sentinel node biopsy in the MRI group. Unfortunately, despite the more aggressive surgical approach, no difference was seen in margin status or rate of re-excision.

The above studies all corroborate the findings of a recent review of the National Cancer Database that showed a national trend of increasing mastectomy rates in all patients with breast cancer (regardless of MRI usage) from 34% in 1998 to 37% in 2011 (10). Another study of 20,000 patients with stage 0–II breast cancer receiving primary surgical treatment noted a 45% rate of mastectomy (11). Both analyses cite the rising use of breast MRI as one of multiple factors contributing to this trend. Other factors include the rise of prophylactic mastectomy and the increasing availability of breast reconstruction. However, it remains to be seen as to whether an increase in identification of multicentric/multifocal and contralateral disease and subsequent changes in surgical planning will yield any impact on patient outcomes in terms of local control and survival.

In the recent issue of Cancer, Vapiwala et al. present their single-institution experience with breast MRI in the early stage breast cancer patient planning breast conservation therapy and evaluated the effect on long term outcomes (12). This longitudinal series with a median follow up time of 13.8 years (mean 12.7 years; range, 0.1-23.6 years) noted that 28% of patients received breast MRI in as part of their preoperative assessment. These patients undergoing MRI tended to be younger and were treated more recently. At follow up there was no difference observed in either local recurrence or overall survival between groups receiving preoperative MRI versus those without. Thus, although breast MRI can detect otherwise occult foci of disease, this may not be clinically relevant in the setting of standard breast conservation therapy, consisting of lumpectomy followed by whole breast radiation. If anything, these findings serve to highlight the ability of post lumpectomy

radiation to clear microscopic disease. Similar to the group at UCSF, no difference was seen in the final pathologic margin status. Limitations of this study include its singleinstitution, retrospective nature and the relatively low incidence of local failure and mortality, which would require a large patient group to have detected a small benefit.

A similar retrospective review was performed in Korea to analyze the impact of preoperative MRI on survival in early breast cancer (13). In this study, 743 of 954 (77.8%) patients were assessed with preoperative MRI and these patients were followed for a median of 5 years to evaluate local regional recurrence free survival, recurrence free survival and overall survival. All of these metrics were similar between the two groups, in keeping with the findings of Vapiwala *et al.* 

It is likely that the continued use of perioperative MRI will increase the mastectomy rate, but Vapiwala et al, as well as the work of other groups, continue to demonstrate that the use of preoperative MRI has not been shown to impact breast-cancer related survival and likely has little impact on surgical outcomes such as re-excision. This raises legitimate concern for possible overtreatment, spurred by the acquisition of preoperative MRI. However, there is likely a small subset of patients that would benefit from this additional imaging prior to surgery. This group likely includes those with lobular histology, which is known to be poorly visualized on mammogram and sonogram, and therefore more likely to be underrepresented on standard imaging (14). A review of 46 patients with invasive lobular cancer who underwent preoperative MRI had a 37% chance of newly identified multifocal disease and 10.9% chance of a contralateral lesion (13). Another cohort that may benefit is those patients who have discordant radiology and pathology where there is high concern for carcinoma despite a negative biopsy result.

In light of these findings, more investigation is needed to clarify the role of preoperative staging with breast MRI. The present study has the longest reported follow-up, exceeding 10 years, and still fails to demonstrate a survival benefit. Given the relatively infrequent events, a larger cohort is likely needed to detect a benefit. Similarly a larger study and preferably multicenter study population is needed to show a difference in the rate of margin re-excision.

### **Acknowledgments**

Funding: None.

#### Kuritzky and Lee. Long-term impact of preoperative breast MRI

#### S478

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor San-Gang Wu (Department of Radiation Oncology, Xiamen Cancer Center, The First Affiliated Hospital of Xiamen University, Xiamen, China).

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2017.03.79). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

## References

- Gundry KR. The application of breast MRI in staging and screening for breast cancer. Oncology (Williston Park) 2005;19:159-69; discussion 170, 173-4, 177.
- Goscin CP, Berman CG, Clark RA. Magnetic resonance imaging of the breast. Cancer Control 2001;8:399-406.
- Huynh PT, Lemeshko SV, Mahoney MC, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Stage I Breast Carcinoma. J Am Coll Radiol 2016;13:e53-7.
- 4. Vos EL, Voogd AC, Verhoef C, et al. Benefits of preoperative MRI in breast cancer surgery studied in

**Cite this article as:** Kuritzky AM, Lee MC. Long-term impact of staging breast magnetic resonance imaging—a risk for overtreatment? Transl Cancer Res 2017;6(Suppl 3):S476-S478. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.03.79

a large population-based cancer registry. Br J Surg 2015;102:1649-57.

- 5. NCCN Guidelines. Version 2.2016. BINV-B. Available online: www.nccn.org
- Brennan ME, McKessar M, Snook K, et al. Impact of selective use of breast MRI on surgical decision-making in women with newly diagnosed operable breast cancer. Breast 2017;32:135-43.
- Barchie MF, Clive KS, Tyler JA, et al. Standardized pretreatment breast MRI--accuracy and influence on mastectomy decisions. J Surg Oncol 2011;104:741-5.
- Xia C, Schroeder MC, Weigel RJ, et al. Rate of contralateral prophylactic mastectomy is influenced by preoperative MRI recommendations. Ann Surg Oncol 2014;21:4133-8.
- Itakura K, Lessing J, Sakata T, et al. The impact of preoperative magnetic resonance imaging on surgical treatment and outcomes for ductal carcinoma in situ. Clin Breast Cancer 2011;11:33-8.
- Kummerow KL, Du L, Penson DF, et al. Nationwide trends in mastectomy for early-stage breast cancer. JAMA Surg 2015;150:9-16.
- Dragun AE, Huang B, Tucker TC, et al. Increasing mastectomy rates among all age groups for early stage breast cancer: a 10-year study of surgical choice. Breast J 2012;18:318-25.
- Vapiwala N, Hwang WT, Kushner CJ, et al. No impact of breast magnetic resonance imaging on 15-year outcomes in patients with ductal carcinoma in situ or early-stage invasive breast cancer managed with breast conservation therapy. Cancer 2017;123:1324-32.
- Ryu J, Park HS, Kim S, et al. Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Survival Outcomes in T1-2 Breast Cancer Patients Who Receive Breast-Conserving Therapy. J Breast Cancer 2016;19:423-8.
- Derias M, Subramanian A, Allan S, et al. The Role of Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Investigation and Management of Invasive Lobular Carcinoma-A 3-Year Retrospective Study in Two District General Hospitals. Breast J 2016;22:384-9.