

Lymph node metastasis in oesophageal cancer—what we (do not) know?

Janusz Włodarczyk, Jarosław Kużdżał

Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jagiellonian University Collegium Medicum, John Paul II Hospital, Cracow, Poland *Correspondence to:* Janusz Włodarczyk, MD, PhD. Department of Thoracic Surgery, Jagiellonian University Collegium Medicum, John Paul II Hospital, Cracow, Poland. Email: jr.wlodarczyk@gmail.com.

Comment on: Rice TW, Ishwaran H, Hofstetter WL, et al. Esophageal Cancer: Associations With (pN+) Lymph Node Metastases. Ann Surg 2017;265:122-9.

Submitted Jul 17, 2017. Accepted for publication Jul 21, 2017. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.07.19 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2017.07.19

Although many aspects of the treatment of oesophageal cancer remain controversial, one of them is beyond doubt: prognosis in this disease is still poor, with overall 5-year survival slightly over 10% (1). One of the most important issues determining prognosis is metastatic spread in the lymphatic system. The mechanism of this spread is poorly understood, as is the role of lymphadenectomy. Whilst there are ongoing discussions on the impact of lymph node dissection on survival, it is generally agreed that lymphadenectomy enables accurate staging and, therefore, is important for prognostic purposes. However, high quality scientific data regarding many factors related to this issue are scarce.

Relative rarity of oesophageal cancer is the reason for difficulties in setting well-designed studies on prognostic factors in a single institution. Practically, the only way to overcome this problem is the creation of large, international databases, collecting prospectively important clinical data.

One of such projects is the Worldwide Esophageal Cancer Collaboration (WECC) (2). On the basis of clinicopathological data collected within this project, Rice *et al.* performed an interesting analysis, published in the January issue of the Annals of Surgery (3). The authors have used modern mathematical models to find associations between the number of metastatic nodes and several variables related to the patient, the cancer and the method of treatment, and to define the extent of lymph node dissection necessary to precisely determine the pN status. They analyzed data of 5806 patients collected in the WECC database, using the advanced machine learning method, Random Forest technique, designed especially for analysis of complex nonlinear relationships between multiple variables (4). It is a kind of advanced decision-tree analyses, in which the variable that is most related to a particular outcome is optimally split to improve prediction. Next, a series of consecutive splits of this and other variables is used to create a tree. The analysis was performed in two steps: first, with 31 clinico-pathological variables, 1,000 random bootstrap classification trees were generated. In the second step, the 'forest' created was used to determine the importance of variables and their relationships regarding outcome (3).

Despite this advanced statistical modelling, the conclusions from this analysis were not surprising. The authors have found that depth of invasion into the oesophageal wall, the length of invaded oesophagus and grade were associated with an increasing number of positive nodes—the results that merely confirm previously published evidence. A logical consequence of this is the finding that, as far as accurate nodal staging is concerned, for advanced, high-grade cancers fewer nodes need to be dissected to adequately determine N status, whilst for less advanced, low-grade tumours a higher number of lymph nodes should be removed.

It should be noted, that impact of lymph node dissection on survival was not the objective of this analysis. However, from the practical point of view, the therapeutic aspect

Translational Cancer Research, Vol 6, Suppl 6 August 2017

of lymphadenectomy should not be missed. Almhanna *et al.* analysed a group of 635 patients with oesophageal cancer and found that removal of 13–20 lymph nodes was associated with longer survival, as opposed to a lower number of nodes dissected (5). Similarly, Schwarz *et al.* in a study including 5,620 concluded, that removal of >30 lymph nodes is an independent prognostic factor for survival (6); in a study of Peyre *et al.* this cut-off number was 23 nodes (7).

Although it may seem obvious that extensive, three-field lymphadenectomy may enable complete resection, it should be kept in mind that such extensive dissection is associated with increased risk of adverse effects, including serious complications. Particularly, devascularisation of the airway is of concern. Maruyama *et al.* have found that removal of >60 lymph nodes during oesophagectomy increases the risk of tracheal fistula (8). Where the borderline between an attempt to perform oncologically complete resection and potentially dangerous devascularisation of mediastinal structures, remains an unanswered question.

A promising alternative may be the concept of the sentinel lymph node as, theoretically, it may enable sparing the unnecessary dissection, whilst maintaining the completeness of resection. This technique may also improve staging by identification of crucial target groups of lymph nodes (9). Whether the idea of the sentinel lymph node will be proven effective in oesophageal cancer, is also a matter of future investigations.

The study of Rice *et al.* did not include patients who underwent multimodal therapy, and therefore it does not provide information regarding this very important group of patients with oesophageal cancer. As there is convincing evidence showing survival benefit from trimodal therapy, except the early cancers (10), it is unlikely that primary surgery will be offered to many patients with more advanced oesophageal cancer—most of them are probably candidates for trimodal therapy.

Another important question is how meticulous is the pathological assessment of resected lymph nodes. Of course, if the standard hematoxylin-eosin stain light-microscopy is used, single clusters of malignant cells and micrometastasis are likely to be missed. Among prognostic factors, the role of micrometastasis should not be omitted. Reportedly, they are independent prognostic factors for shorter survival, both for squamous cell cancer and adenocarcinoma (11-13).

In the struggle to improve the still unsatisfactory results of treatment of oesophageal cancer, a combination of new, diagnostic modalities and advanced statistical analyses of international databases is necessary. The study of Rice *et al.* is an excellent example of the latter.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Hongcheng Zhu (Department of Radiation Oncology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, China).

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2017.07.19). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Jemal A, Siegel R, Xu J, et al. Cancer statistics, 2010. CA Cancer J Clin 2010;60:277-300.
- Rice TW, Rusch VW, Apperson-Hansen C, et al. Worldwide Esophageal Cancer Collaboration. Dis Esophagus 2009;22:1-8.
- Rice TW, Ishwaran H, Hofstetter WL, et al. Esophageal Cancer: Associations With (pN+) Lymph Node Metastases. Ann Surg 2017;265:122-9.
- 4. Breiman L. Random forests. Mach Learn. 2001;45:5-32.
- Almhanna K, Weber J, Shridhar R, et al. Determining the optimal number of lymph nodes harvested during esophagectomy. J Gastrointest Oncol 2016;7:387-94.
- 6. Schwarz RE, Smith DD. Clinical impact of

Włodarczyk and Kużdżał. Predicting factors in oesophageal cancer

lymphadenectomy extent in resectable esophageal cancer. J Gastrointest Surg 2007;11:1384-93; discussion 1393-94.

- Peyre CG, Hagen JA, DeMeester SR, et al. The number of lymph nodes removed predicts survival in esophageal cancer: an international study on the impact of extent of surgical resection. Ann Surg 2008;248:549-56.
- 8. Maruyama K, Motoyama S, Sato Y, et al. Tracheobronchial lesions following esophagectomy: Erosions, ulcers, and fistulae, and the predictive value of lymph node-related factors. World J Surg 2009;33:778-84.
- 9. Balalis GL, Thompson SK. Sentinel lymph node biopsy in esophageal cancer: an essential step towards individualized care. Ann Surg Innov Res 2014;8:2.
- van Hagen P, Hulshof MC, van Lanschot JJ, et al. Preoperative chemoradiotherapy for esophageal or junctional cancer. N Engl J Med 2012;366:2074-84.

Cite this article as: Włodarczyk J, Kużdżał J. Lymph node metastasis in oesophageal cancer—what we (do not) know? Transl Cancer Res 2017;6(Suppl 6):S1066-S1068. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2017.07.19

- Natsugoe S, Matsumoto M, Okumura H, et al. Initial metastatic, including micrometastatic, sites of lymph nodes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Surg Oncol 2005;89 :6-11.
- Zheng B, Ni CH, Chen H, et al. New evidence guiding extent of lymphadenectomy for esophagogastric junction tumor: Application of Ber-Ep4 Joint with CD44v6 staining on the detection of lower mediastinal lymph node micrometastasis and survival analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e6533.
- Chen SB, Su XD, Ma GW, et al. Prognostic value of bone marrow micrometastasis in patients with operable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a long-term followup study. J Thorac Oncol 2014;9:1207-13.

S1068