

Does delayed treatment affect the survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma?

Ying-Yang Liao^{1*}, Jie Ou^{2*}, Cheng-Piao Luo³, Ning-Fu Peng^{3,4}, Jian-Hong Zhong^{3,4}

¹Department of Nutrition, ²Department of Traditional Chinese Medicine, ³Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Affiliated Tumor Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning 530021, China; ⁴Guangxi Liver Cancer Diagnosis and Treatment Engineering and Technology Research Center, Nanning 530021, China

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Jian-Hong Zhong. Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, Affiliated Tumor Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, He Di Rd. #71, Nanning 530021, China. Email: zhongjianhong66@163.com or zhongjianhong@gxmu.edu.cn.

Submitted Jan 17, 2018. Accepted for publication Mar 21, 2018. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2018.04.08 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2018.04.08

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) progresses at highly variable rates. It is widely believed that active treatment should begin as soon as possible after diagnosis. This may not always occur for various reasons, and it remains unclear whether such delays shorten overall survival.

In a recent issue of the *Journal of Hepatology*, Lim and coworkers (1) addressed this question for patients diagnosed with single HCC at very early or early stages. Their prospective analysis of 100 patients during follow-up extending 33 months from diagnosis showed that patients who underwent resection \geq 3 months after diagnosis had similar oncological and long-term outcomes as patients who underwent resection <3 months after diagnosis. While we applaud the authors for tackling this important question, we wish to highlight the need for caution when interpreting their data and drawing conclusions for clinical practice.

One potential issue is the generalizability of their findings. Their patients showed median tumor size of 3.4 (range, 2.2–5.7) cm at diagnosis and 3.5 (range, 2.5–6.2) cm at resection. Thus, few patients had large HCC (>5 cm) or huge HCC (\geq 10 cm), and tumors had grown by only ~0.1 cm in the interval from diagnosis to surgery. In addition, the difference in median delay until surgery between the " \geq 3 months" and "<3 months" patient groups was only 2.8 months (4.6 vs. 1.8 months). This reflects the fact that 29 of 50 patients (58%) in the " \geq 3 months" group experienced delays of 3–5 months. These issues raise concern about whether the results of Lim *et al.* can be

extrapolated to other patient populations. Another question is whether the apparent lack of effect of delayed surgery extends to the long term, since 15 of 50 patients (30%) in the " \geq 3 months" group were enrolled after 2015, making follow-up too short to calculate long-term survival.

Our own analysis of literature indexed in PubMed identified several studies involving patients with HCC diagnosed at various stages that come to the opposite conclusion as Lim *et al.* (*Table 1*). Those studies conclude that patients initiating treatment >3 months after diagnosis (4,5), 2 months after diagnosis (3), or even 5 weeks after diagnosis (2) have significantly lower overall and disease-free survival (2) than patients starting treatment earlier.

Just as with other cancers (6), delayed treatment is likely to influence outcomes for patients with HCC. Since life expectancy for many HCC patients without any positive treatment is shorter than 12 months, delaying treatment for more than 3 months often allows substantial tumor growth (7), which is a mortality risk factor in single HCC (8) and is associated with greater incidence of microvascular invasion. In one study, for example, 25% of patients with tumors <2 cm had microvascular invasion, compared to 31% of patients with tumors >2–4 cm and 50% of patients with tumors >4 cm (9).

The question addressed by Lim *et al.* is timely: nearly 30% of HCC patients in Europe and the USA initiate treatment more than 3 months after diagnosis (4). These delays can allow tumor growth and increase risk of

Table 1 Studies inc	lexed in PubM	led on	the effects of d	elayed treatment o	on survival of HC0	C patients			
	Inclusion			Tumor size at		Cut-off in treatment	Median follow-up.		P value
Study	period	۲ ۲	Tumor stage	diagnosis (cm)	Ireatment	delay used to compare patient groups	months	Overall survival	Disease-free survival
Lim 2017 (1)	2006-2016	100	BCLC 0/A	3.4 (2.2–5.7)	Resection	>3 months	29 [16–45]	0.20	0.42
Chen 2011 (2)	2004-2007	121	BCLC A	2.8 (1.3–5.0)	RFA	>5 weeks	25 [8–55]	0.019	0.01
Huo 2007 (3)	1998–2003	144	BCLC A/B	NR	TACE, PAI, PEI	>2 months	28 [3–61]	0.009	NR
Singal 2013 (4)	2005–2012	165	BCLC A/B/C	NN	Resection, LT, RFA, TACE, systemic therapy	>3 months	цХ	<0.05	R
Croome 2010 (5)	2002-2008	350*	NR	NR	NR	>3 months	NR	0.042	NR
The PubMed data reported in the tat percutaneous ethe	base was sec le as n or mec inol injection;	arche(an (ra RFA,	d in December nge). *, only 83 radiofrequencv	2017 with the s (23.7%) had HC ablation; TACE.	earch terms "dela C; BCLC, Barcelc transarterial chen	ayed treatment" and "her na Clinic Liver Cancer; N noembolization: LT. liver	patocellular carcino IR, not reported; PA transplantation.	ma". Unless othei I, percutaneous ac	wise noted, values are setic acid injection; PEI,

microvascular invasion, reducing overall survival. Timely delivery of treatment to HCC patients should be improved, especially for those with disease in intermediate or advanced stages (10).

Acknowledgments

Funding: This work was supported by the Graduate Course Construction Project of Guangxi Medical University (YJSA2017014), the Foundation Ability Enhancement Project for Young Teachers in Guangxi Universities (2018KY0122), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81560460/H1602).

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article has undergone external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr.2018.04.08). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the noncommercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- 1. Lim C, Bhangui P, Salloum C, et al. Impact of time to surgery in the outcome of patients with liver resection for BCLC 0-A stage hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatol 2018;68:100-8.
- 2. Chen WT, Fernandes ML, Lin CC, et al. Delay in treatment of early-stage hepatocellular carcinoma

Liao et al. Delayed treatment for HCC

using radiofrequency ablation may impact survival of cirrhotic patients in a surveillance program. J Surg Oncol 2011;103:133-9.

- 3. Huo TI, Huang YH, Chiang JH, et al. Survival impact of delayed treatment in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma undergoing locoregional therapy: is there a lead-time bias? Scand J Gastroenterol 2007;42:485-92.
- 4. Singal AG, Waljee AK, Patel N, et al. Therapeutic delays lead to worse survival among patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2013;11:1101-8.
- Croome KP, Chudzinski R, Hanto DW. Increasing time delay from presentation until surgical referral for hepatobiliary malignancies. HPB (Oxford) 2010;12:644-8.
- 6. Richards MA, Westcombe AM, Love SB, et al. Influence of delay on survival in patients with breast cancer: a systematic review. Lancet 1999;353:1119-26.

Cite this article as: Liao YY, Ou J, Luo CP, Peng NF, Zhong JH. Does delayed treatment affect the survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma? Transl Cancer Res 2018;7(3):E14-E16. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2018.04.08

- Patel N, Yopp AC, Singal AG. Diagnostic delays are common among patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2015;13:543-9.
- Zhong JH, Pan LH, Wang YY, et al. Optimizing stage of single large hepatocellular carcinoma: A study with subgroup analysis by tumor diameter. Medicine (Baltimore) 2017;96:e6608.
- Esnaola NF, Lauwers GY, Mirza NQ, et al. Predictors of microvascular invasion in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma who are candidates for orthotopic liver transplantation. J Gastrointest Surg 2002;6:224-32; discussion 32.
- Mittal S, Kanwal F, Ying J, et al. Effectiveness of surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma in clinical practice: A United States cohort. J Hepatol 2016;65:1148-54.