

To AVOID bleomycin from Hodgkin's regimen?

Réda Bouabdallah

Department of Haematology, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France

Correspondence to: Réda Bouabdallah, MD, Department of Haematology, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, 232 Boulevard Sainte-Marguerite, 13009 Marseille, France. Email: bouabdallahr@ipc.unicancer.fr.

Abstract: To improve long-term results in advanced Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL) has been a continuous challenge from many years. Intensified chemotherapy regimen showed an advantage over standard regimen in terms of anti-tumoral activity, however haematological and non-haematological toxicities were significantly higher. Recently, brentuximab vedotin (BV) which is an antibody-drug conjugate targeting CD30 antigen, showed very promising results when given as single agent in patients with relapsed and refractory HL. Based on this hypothesis, Anas Younes and colleagues designed a phase I dose-escalation study in which BV was administered as front-line treatment either with the combination of doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine (ABVD) regimen or AVD (ABVD without bleomycin). The aims of the study were to assess safety and efficacy of this drug in combination with chemotherapy in newly-diagnosed patients with advanced HL. The results showed that BV should not be given with bleomycin due to a high incidence of pulmonary toxicity. The trial also demonstrated that the combination of BV and AVD can be given safely and led to an impressive complete remission rate of 96%. Although we need to remain careful with these preliminary results, the data reported in the *Lancet Oncology* open a new therapeutic era in the field of HL, combining both less toxicity and higher efficacy.

Keywords: Hodgkin; chemotherapy; brentuximab vedotin (BV); safety; efficacy

Submitted Mar 30, 2014. Accepted for publication Apr 01, 2014.

doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.04.01

View this article at: <http://www.thetcr.org/article/view/2362/2955>

The combination of doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine (ABVD) is the most widely regimen used in the treatment of patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL). ABVD regimen still remains standard first-line chemotherapy for advanced HL with an acceptable toxicity. ABVD is well tolerated and toxic side effects are manageable. Fertility after ABVD is not compromised, and risk of developing second cancer is not increased (1). However, ABVD sometimes induce serious lung toxic effects due to bleomycin which could be fatal (2,3). ABVD is supposed to cure 70% to 80% of patients with advanced stage disease, while 20% to 30% of patients are refractory or relapse after reaching complete response (4,5). More than 50% of patients in failure can be successfully treated with high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation (6).

Now and from a decade, the intensive BEACOPP escalated regimen (bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin,

cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone) showed superior activity to ABVD in some randomized studies, and became a standard of care in advanced HL patients for many HL study groups (7). The majority of these studies showed an advantage for BEACOPP escalated over ABVD in terms of event-free survival but not for overall survival (4,5). More recently, a network meta-analysis reported a 10% survival advantage of six cycles of BEACOPP escalated over ABVD after five years of follow-up (8). However, acute haematological toxicity is significantly increased in patients receiving BEACOPP leading to a dose reduction in some patients. Late effects like secondary malignancies should also be considered although the high incidence of secondary myelodysplastic syndromes and acute myeloid leukaemia reported in the original report was not confirmed in consecutive trials.

Intensive regimen as BEACOPP escalated has been restricted to patients younger than 60 years in the majority

of the studies. However, patients older than 60 years account for approximately 20% of all HL patients. If ABVD can be considered as the treatment of choice in these patients, it has been recently demonstrated that four cycles of ABVD is associated with substantial dose reduction, treatment delay, toxicity, and treatment-related mortality (9).

Thus, new therapeutic strategies including novel drugs are needed for the treatment of HL, especially for patients who present with an advanced and aggressive disease, and those who are older and unfit. HL now beneficiaries from targeted therapies, and brentuximab vedotin (BV) which is an antibody-drug conjugate, has shown very promising results. When given as single agent in patients with relapsed or refractory HL, BV was well-tolerated and could induce 75% of objective response and 35% of complete response in this poor-risk group of patients. The median duration of response in this series was less than nine months and subsequently supports the hypothesis to introduce such an active drug earlier in the disease (10).

In the *Lancet Oncology*, Anas Younes and his colleagues reported a phase I dose escalation study of BV combined with ABVD or AVD (ABVD regimen without bleomycin) in patients with newly-diagnosed HL. The aims of the study were to assess the safety and efficacy of BV when associated to either ABVD or AVD. The upper limit age in this series was 60 years, but the majority of patients had advanced disease. Pulmonary toxicity was the major side effect observed in the BV and ABVD group with 44% of patients who experienced lung injury, of whom two died. Authors concluded that concomitant use of BV and bleomycin is contraindicated because of increased incidence of pulmonary toxicity. BV at 1, 2 mg/kg combined with AVD given every two weeks was generally well-tolerated, and no pulmonary toxicity was reported in this group. Considering adverse events other than lung injury, BV was fully administered (12 cycles) in 15/25 (60%) patients receiving ABVD, and in 22/26 (85%) patients in the AVD group. The complete response rate was 95% in evaluable patients in BV and ABVD group and 96% in BV and AVD group, while one-year progression free survival was 85% and 95% respectively. Based on these impressive results, authors are now conducting a phase III study comparing BV + AVD versus ABVD alone in patients with newly-diagnosed HL (11).

Such results raise many questions about toxicity and efficacy of the main chemotherapy regimen used in the treatment of patients with advanced HL in the era of new targeted therapies. HL remains a curable disease even when

patients present with an adverse international prognostic score. Whatever the chemotherapy regimen used, deaths after 20 years were shown to be related to treatment side effects and not to HL (12). Our challenge today is still to enhance results but also to decrease toxicity. The use of interim PET scan after two cycles of chemotherapy has been shown to be of high value prognostic for the risk of relapse and this might translate in better survival (13). To decrease intensity of chemotherapy after a negative interim PET scan is one of the major issues addressed in ongoing trials. On the other hand, the use of new targeted therapies as BV in combination with modified chemotherapy as front-line treatment will allow us to better deliver the treatment, and this should contribute to reduce the failure rate in HL and to improve long-term results. To avoid bleomycin from HL regimen represent one of the challenging issues we need to explore. Certainly, BV is now opening a new era of treatment in HL, as rituximab did in non-HL in the 2000's.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.04.01>). The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Canellos GP, Anderson JR, Propert KJ, et al. Chemotherapy of advanced Hodgkin's disease with MOPP, ABVD, or MOPP alternating with ABVD. *N Engl J Med* 1992;327:1478-84.
2. Duggan DB, Petroni GR, Johnson JL, et al. Randomized comparison of ABVD and MOPP/ABV hybrid for the treatment of advanced Hodgkin's disease: report of an intergroup trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:607-14.
3. Hoskin PJ, Lowry L, Horwich A, et al. Randomized comparison of the stanford V regimen and ABVD in the treatment of advanced Hodgkin's Lymphoma: United Kingdom National Cancer Research Institute Lymphoma Group Study ISRCTN 64141244. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:5390-6.
4. Federico M, Luminari S, Iannitto E, et al. ABVD compared with BEACOPP compared with CEC for the initial treatment of patients with advanced Hodgkin's lymphoma: results from the HD2000 Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio dei Linfomi Trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2009;27:805-11.
5. Viviani S, Zinzani PL, Rambaldi A, et al. ABVD versus BEACOPP for Hodgkin's lymphoma when high-dose salvage is planned. *N Engl J Med* 2011;365:203-12.
6. Morschhauser F, Brice P, Fermé C, et al. Risk-adapted salvage treatment with single or tandem autologous stem-cell transplantation for first relapse/refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma: results of the prospective multicenter H96 trial by the GELA/SFGM study group. *J Clin Oncol* 2008;26:5980-7.
7. Diehl V, Franklin J, Pfreundschuh M, et al. Standard and increased-dose BEACOPP chemotherapy compared with COPP-ABVD for advanced Hodgkin's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:2386-95.
8. Skoetz N, Trelle S, Rancea M, et al. Effect of initial treatment strategy on survival of patients with advanced-stage Hodgkin's lymphoma: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:943-52.
9. Böll B, Gørgen H, Fuchs M, et al. ABVD in older patients with early-stage Hodgkin lymphoma treated within the German Hodgkin Study Group HD10 and HD11 trials. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:1522-9.
10. Younes A, Gopal AK, Smith SE, et al. Results of a pivotal phase II study of brentuximab vedotin for patients with relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:2183-9.
11. Younes A, Connors JM, Park SI, et al. Brentuximab vedotin combined with ABVD or AVD for patients with newly diagnosed Hodgkin's lymphoma: a phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study. *Lancet Oncol* 2013;14:1348-56.
12. Aleman BM, van den Belt-Dusebout AW, Klokmann WJ, et al. Long-term cause-specific mortality of patients treated for Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol* 2003;21:3431-9.
13. Engert A, Haverkamp H, Kobe C, et al. Reduced-intensity chemotherapy and PET-guided radiotherapy in patients with advanced stage Hodgkin's lymphoma (HD15 trial): a randomised, open-label, phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2012;379:1791-9.

Cite this article as: Bouabdallah R. To AVoid bleomycin from Hodgkin's regimen? *Transl Cancer Res* 2014;3(2):116-118. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2218-676X.2014.04.01