p53

p53 is known as the “guardian of the genome” because as a tumor suppressor it responds to cellular stresses such as DNA damage and oncogenic activation, which can lead to the development of cancer. Its importance as a tumor suppressor is highlighted by the fact that p53 is mutated in nearly half of all human cancers, and it is functionally abrogated in much of the remaining 50% of cancers through signaling pathways (1). Patients with Li Fraumeni syndrome, which inherit mutated p53, are very susceptible to cancer, and p53 knockout mice develop tumors at a very young age (2,3). Most cancers, however, occur after de novo loss of p53 either by direct mutation or by inhibition.

p53 functions as a tumor suppressor largely through its ability as a transcription factor. Various types of cellular stress (e.g., DNA damage, oncogenic activity, ribosomal stress, and metabolic stress) activate p53 to target a number of genes (e.g., p21, Puma, Bax) that then can cause a variety of cellular effects including apoptosis, cell cycle arrest, and senescence. p53 transactivates these genes by interacting with DNA via its DNA-binding domain [reviewed in El Deiry et al. 1998 (4)]. Although the ability of p53 to respond to cellular stress is necessary for prevention of tumor growth, there is a darker side of the p53 response because these cellular effects can be quite drastic, including cell death, which can be a double-edged sword leading to detrimental pathological effects upon p53 activation. In order to maintain a delicate balance of appropriate p53 activity, elaborate mechanisms exist to keep p53 very tightly regulated. One of the major mechanisms through which p53 is regulated is by very rapid turnover at the protein level. In non-stressed tissues p53 is found at low levels with a very short half-life. The p53 protein is constantly being created, and then quickly degraded in a proteasome-dependent manner so that at any time its degradation can be stopped, allowing for rapid buildup of p53 protein. p53 is regulated at the post-translational level by various proteins, undergoing modifications including phosphorylation, ubiquitination,
and acetylation, which control p53 turnover and activity [reviewed in Bode et al. 2004 (5)]. The two major, essential, negative regulators of p53 are the homologs MDM2 and MDMX.

**MDM2**

The MDM2 (murine double minute 2) protein (also known in humans as HDM2) was first identified as the product of a gene amplified over 50-fold on acentromeric extrachromosomal bodies (called “double minutes”) found in a 3T3DM spontaneously transformed mouse cell line (6,7). MDM2 was later found to be able to bind and efficiently inhibit p53 (8). With the powerful ability to inhibit p53, MDM2 itself is tightly controlled so that it still allows for p53 activation under certain conditions. Under cellular stress conditions, MDM2 is post-translationally modified and temporarily stops its inhibition of p53 so that p53 may respond to the damage or stress accordingly. Under normal or non-stressed conditions, however, the critical role of MDM2 is to effectively inhibit p53 to prevent unwanted cell cycle arrest or even cell death. In fact, MDM2 is able to inhibit p53 activity in two major ways. Firstly, it can bind to the transactivation domain of p53, thereby inhibiting its ability to cause transcription of its targets (8,9) and secondly, by acting as an E3 ubiquitin ligase of p53 (10-12), ultimately leading to changes in localization and proteasomal degradation. As a powerful inhibitor of p53, MDM2 is unsurprisingly oncogenic when overexpressed, causing tumor formation in nude mice (7) and also has been found to be highly expressed in a number of cancers including osteosarcomas and sarcomas that retained wild-type p53 (13-15).

Inhibition of p53 by MDM2 is regulated by a negative feedback loop in which activated p53 targets the MDM2 gene to be transcribed, ultimately causing production of the MDM2 protein, which then inhibits p53 (16,17). This negative feedback loop is vital for controlling p53 activity to prevent detrimental pathogenic effects of excessive p53 activity. Mouse studies have shown that knockout MDM2 mice are embryonic lethal in a p53-dependent manner, where excessive p53 activity causes apoptosis and ultimate death to mice without MDM2 (18,19). This embryonic lethality can be rescued by concomitant knockout of p53, further demonstrating that MDM2 is essential in negative regulation of p53 (18,19). Not only during development is MDM2 essential however, as studies using conditionally expressed p53 in MDM2 knockout mice have also shown that the absence of MDM2 can cause dramatic induction of apoptosis in adult mice (20).

**MDM2 structure**

**p53-interacting domain**

Soon after being identified, MDM2 was found to be able to bind to the transactivation domain of p53 through a “p53-interacting domain” on the MDM2 N-terminus (21). This interaction allows MDM2 to inhibit p53 from binding to its transcriptional co-activators, preventing activation of p53's transcriptional targets (8,22). This interaction between MDM2 and p53 also allows MDM2 to shuttle p53 out of the nucleus in a RING-domain dependent manner (23).

**RING domain**

One of the first domains identified in MDM2 is its crucial RING (Really Interesting New Gene) domain which bestows its E3 ligase activity upon it. RING domains commonly occur in E3 ubiquitin ligases, and are also important as regions through which proteins can interact with one another. In fact, the RING domain is the site through which MDM2 can bind to its homolog, MDMX, via RING-RING interaction. As an E3 ubiquitin ligase MDM2 has several substrates including itself, p53, and MDMX. Various mutants and truncations of this domain of MDM2 have shown that both the RING and the neighboring Zn finger domains are required for the ability of MDM2 to ubiquitinate and cause proteasomal degradation of its substrates (24). While p53 is the major target of MDM2, MDMX can also be ubiquitinated for proteasomal degradation by MDM2 (25,26). MDM2 RING-domain mediated ubiquitination can not only lead to proteasomal degradation of its substrates, but has also been shown to cause changes in localization of p53. Indeed, the RING domain of MDM2 has been shown as required for MDM2 to transport p53 out of the nucleus (23,27). Monoubiquitination of p53 by MDM2 causes nuclear export of p53, which can prevent its transactivational activity (28,29). But this p53 monoubiquitination can have a different effect as well, by directing cytoplasmic p53 to localize with the mitochondria, where it can cause a transcription-independent induction of apoptosis (30). At another level of regulation, MDM2 can even monoubiquitinate histones in order to directly inhibit transcription, presumably to further inhibit transactivation of p53 targets (31). The importance of this RING domain is underscored by how well conserved it is throughout
different species (32).

**Nuclear localization sequences**

MDM2 is primarily localized in the nucleus of the cell during non-stressed conditions, but contains both nuclear localization and nuclear export sequences, which allow MDM2 to shuttle between the cytoplasm and the nucleus (33). In this way, MDM2 can actually export active p53 from the nucleus, where it can no longer interact with DNA. Although outside of the nucleus p53 cannot induce transcription, increasing evidence shows that p53 also performs different activities in the cytoplasm (34,35).

**Acidic domain**

Kawai et al. and Meulmeester et al. demonstrated that the acidic domain is in fact also required for the E3 ligase activity of MDM2 (36,37). This domain, however, seems to have a variety of different roles which are not yet fully understood. While the acidic domain of MDM2 plays a role in p53-binding, possibly even serving as an additional binding site for p53 (38), others suggest that this domain is able to recruit binding partners required for polyubiquitination of p53 (39).

**Response to stress**

Although the ability of MDM2 to inhibit p53 is critical under non-stressed cell conditions, p53 must be allowed to respond under certain circumstances. In the event of cellular stress, when a p53 response is required to protect the cell, various mechanisms ensure that MDM2 stops its inhibition of p53. DNA damage, oncogenic signals, and ribosomal stress are three of many types of cellular stress that elicit a p53 response.

**DNA damage**

After DNA damage, proteins are recruited to the site of damage, and a kinase cascade ensues. These kinases activate the p53 pathway and stall the cell cycle in order to repair the damage or, if the damage is irreparable, to put the cell into a state of permanent cell cycle arrest (senescence) or programmed cell death (apoptosis). In this cascade is a critical kinase ATM (Ataxia Telangiectasia Mutated), which causes strong phenotypes including dramatically increased cancer incidence when mutated in humans, and is a key activator of p53 [reviewed in Chun et al. 2004 (40)]. The complex mechanism through which the ATM kinase has been shown to activate p53 includes directly phosphorylating MDM2 at S395, which lies within the RING domain (41). This modification alters the MDM2 ligase activity to stop p53 degradation and export (42). ATM also indirectly causes phosphorylation of MDM2 by the c-Abl kinase at Y394 which allows for p53 activation of apoptosis (43,44).

Meanwhile, another kinase in the DNA damage cascade, DNA-PK (DNA-activated Protein Kinase) has been shown to phosphorylate MDM2 within its p53-binding domain at S17 (45). This modification has been shown to decrease the affinity of MDM2 for p53 (45). Modifications to MDM2 after DNA damage not only stop MDM2 from ubiquitinating p53, but actually change the affinity of MDM2 so that it tags its stabilizing binding partner MDMX for degradation, which destabilizes and leads to degradation of MDM2. This process leads to a rapid decrease in MDM2 and MDMX after DNA damage. Due to the aforementioned negative feedback loop, activation of p53 also causes an increase in MDM2 protein production. Therefore, once the DNA damage is repaired and signals no longer demand stabilization of p53, changes in modifications to MDM2 allow it to return to inhibiting p53 so that the cell cycle can proceed (46).

**Oncogenic stress - ARF**

ARF is a very important regulator of MDM2 and is a potent activator of p53. Upon mitogenic stimulation, E2F1 causes an accumulation of the protein ARF (Alternate open Reading Fame of locus p16INK4a) (47,48). ARF then prevents MDM2 from ubiquitinating and degrading p53, causing buildup and activation of p53 in response to oncogenic signals (49). Similar to the process for DNA damage, MDM2 stops targeting p53 and its affinity changes after ARF interaction so that it begins to target MDMX for ubiquitination (25). Several mechanisms of MDM2 inhibition by ARF have been demonstrated. In one proposed mechanism, ARF can sequester MDM2 in the nucleolus, thereby preventing MDM2 from interacting with and inhibiting p53 (50,51). Other studies have suggested that ARF inhibits MDM2 by turning off its E3 ligase activity towards p53 (23,52). Post-translational SUMOylation, has also been demonstrated to play a role in ARF suppression of MDM2. Upon ARF overexpression, MDM2 was found to be SUMOylated, inhibiting MDM2 activity (53). Another recent study also showed that a deSUMOylating protease, SMT3IP1/SENP3, can remove SUMO-1 from MDM2, and cause localization of MDM2 in the nucleolus, allowing p53 buildup and activation (54). While the complex mechanism
of ARF-dependent inhibition of MDM2 is not entirely yet clear, it is a crucial step in activating p53 in response to oncogenic stress.

Ribosomal stress
Deregulation of ribosomal biogenesis is another type of cellular stress that elicits a p53 response, and numerous studies have tied this activation to the interaction between MDM2 and ribosomal proteins such as L5, L12, L23 and S7 (55-59). These studies, and others, have demonstrated that in the event of ribosomal stress, ribosomal proteins can bind to and inhibit MDM2, allowing for p53 activation.

Other post-translational modifications of MDM2
Although cellular stress causes an induction of many post-translational modifications to MDM2 that allow for the activation of p53, there is a plethora of modifications to MDM2 that also occur under non-stressed conditions [detailed modifications of MDM2 are reviewed in Meek and Knippschild 2004 (60)]. For example, wip1 has been found to dephosphorylate MDM2 at S395 (a site where ATM phosphorylates MDM2 after DNA damage), strengthening the negative regulation of p53 by MDM2 under non-stressed cell conditions (61). Another binding partner of MDM2, HAUSP, is a deubiquitinating protein that leads to stabilization of MDM2 under non-stressed conditions (this interaction and deubiquitination is lost once DNA damage occurs) (62,63).

Not only do phosphorylations such as those described after DNA damage occur to control MDM2, but other types of modifications as well, such as the above-mentioned changes in SUMOylation to MDM2, and neddylation modifications as well. Nedd8 can be conjugated to both p53 and MDM2, and some have suggested that MDM2 plays a role in inducing neddylation of p53 (65). Others have shown that neddylation can play a role in the response of MDM2 and p53 to ribosomal stress (66). One very important modification to MDM2 is alteration to its binding of MDMX, which we will discuss later.

MDMX
MDMX (murine double minute X - also known as HDMX in humans, or also MDM4 or HDM4) is a homolog of MDM2 that was first isolated and identified as a binding partner of p53 in a mouse cDNA library screen (67). In addition to having its own effects on p53, MDMX also plays an important role in stabilizing the MDM2 protein (68,69). MDMX is constitutively and ubiquitously expressed from embryogenesis through adulthood (70,71). Similarly to MDM2, MDMX is an important negative regulator of p53, and this negative regulation is one mechanism by which MDMX acts as an oncogene to transform cells when overexpressed (72). MDMX in fact is overexpressed in several types of cancers that retained wild-type p53 including gliomas, a number of pre-B acute lymphoblastic leukemias, tumor cell lines, and some primary tumors including breast tumors, head and neck squamous cell carcinomas, and retinoblastomas (72-77). MDMX, in addition to having similar oncogenic capabilities to MDM2, was originally found to have 90% homology with MDM2, largely in its p53-binding domain and in its RING domain (78).

MDMX structure
p53-Binding domain
MDMX is most homologous to MDM2 within its p53-binding domain, although it still remains distinct enough that the potent inhibitor of MDM2-p53 interaction, Nutlin-3, is much less effective at inhibiting the MDMX-p53 interaction (79). This domain interacts with the p53 transactivation domain and thereby inhibits the ability of p53 to induce transcription of its various targets (67,78). Dependent on this domain, MDMX is also able to inhibit p300/CPB acetylation of p53, further inhibiting p53 activity (80). A recent study by Mancini et al. has also shown that MDMX-p53 interaction via the p53-binding domain plays a role in the mitochondria, where although p53 transactivation activity is inhibited by MDMX, p53 inhibits anti-apoptotic protein BCL2 and promotes the release of cytochrome C to induce apoptosis (81).

RING domain
In two independent yeast two-hybrid screens, MDMX was identified as a binding partner of MDM2 via their RING domains with the observation that the heterodimer
of MDM2 and MDMX was actually more stable than homodimers of either protein (69, 82). Despite the homology of these RING domains, one important distinction between the two proteins is that MDMX does not have E3 ligase activity itself, although Iyappan et al. displayed how MDMX can be modified within its RING and Zn finger domains to be more like MDM2, bestowing it with E3 ligase activity (83). MDMX binding to MDM2 through RING: RING interaction both stabilizes MDM2 and enhances the ability of MDM2 to ubiquitinate p53, targeting it for degradation. Meanwhile, disruption of the MDM2/MDMX complex results in p53 activation (84).

No nuclear localization/export sequences

Another key difference between MDM2 and MDMX is that while MDM2 has both nuclear localization and nuclear export sequences, MDMX has neither, causing MDMX to be primarily localized in the cytoplasm in the absence of MDM2 (85). MDM2 shuttles MDMX into the nucleus where the MDM2-MDMX complex can interact with and suppress p53 in a RING-RING interaction-dependent manner (85).

MDMX in response to stress

MDMX itself cannot cause degradation or nuclear export of p53 (86), but constantly inhibits p53 activity. In the event of cellular stress, MDMX becomes inhibited (much like MDM2) so that p53 can respond accordingly.

DNA damage

Following DNA damage by ionizing radiation, MDMX is rapidly degraded by MDM2, allowing a buildup and activation of p53 (25, 26). The mechanism of this degradation is due to post-translational modifications of MDMX. ATM, when activated by DNA damage, phosphorylates MDMX at S403, which causes MDM2 to target MDMX for proteasomal degradation (87). ATM also induces further phosphorylation at S367 and S342 indirectly (87). Two different studies similarly found that following DNA damage, MDMX is phosphorylated at S367, and they further demonstrated that this modification enhances MDMX binding to 14-3-3 and ultimately transports and holds MDMX in the nucleus, allowing for p53 activation. Lebron et al. used the DNA damaging treatments camptothecin and ionizing irradiation to show that Chk2 can phosphorylate MDMX at S367, while Jin et al. used UV treatment to demonstrate the same modification to MDMX can be performed by Chk1 (88, 89). Pereg et al. also demonstrated that MDMX S367 is phosphorylated by Chk2 in response to ATM activation after DNA damage, which again enhances MDMX binding to 14-3-3, leading to nuclear accumulation and degradation of MDMX (90). Recently, DNA damage was found to induce c-Abl interaction with MDMX downstream of ATM, ultimately causing Y99 of MDMX to be phosphorylated (91). This modification ultimately interfered with the interaction of MDMX and p53, leading to p53 activation in response to DNA damage (91).

Oncogenic signals

Mitogenic signals have also been shown to modulate MDMX levels in a number of ways. As mentioned above, ARF interaction with MDM2 causes MDM2 to target MDMX for degradation, and in the event of mitogenic stimulation MDMX is often downregulated to allow p53 activation. Here are also some direct modifications to MDMX by oncogenes. One study showed that K-Ras and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF1) can increase levels of MDMX mRNA and subsequently increase MDMX protein levels (92). Some mitogenic signals can also enhance MDM2-DMMX stabilization, thereby further suppressing p53 in order to transform a cell. Akt, which as mentioned above can also stabilize MDM2, was found to directly phosphorylate MDMX at S367, enhancing 14-3-3 binding, which actually stabilized MDMX and downregulated p53 (93).

Ribosomal stress

MDMX has also been implicated as playing a role in ribosomal stress. Gilkes et al. showed that overexpression of MDMX increased resistance of cells to low dose 5-Fu, which causes ribosomal stress (94). They also demonstrated that ribosomal proteins bound to MDM2 were found to induce degradation of MDMX as a potential step of p53 activation (94). As previously mentioned, ribosomal subunit S7 was found to inhibit MDM2, but this effect, and the subsequent stabilization of p53 were dependent on the presence of MDMX as well (59). Li et al. also demonstrated that ribosomal noncoding 5S rRNA can actually stabilize MDMX, possibly playing a role in the stable level of MDMX under non-stress conditions (95). Much about the interplay between MDM2, MDMX, p53 and ribosomal biogenesis is still not well understood.

MDM2 and MDMX

MDMX is constitutively expressed in healthy tissues (71),
and its importance in negatively regulating p53 has been displayed in genetic studies in which an absence of MDMX expression in mice proved embryonic lethal, which, similarly to MDM2, was rescued by crossing with p53 null mice (96-98). These mice die as embryos although their MDM2 is intact, showing that MDM2 and MDMX must play non-redundant roles in negatively regulating p53 because despite their high level of homology, one cannot compensate for the other. In order to answer why both MDM2 and MDMX are required for survival, several approaches have been attempted to determine whether or not both are required (I) because each plays its own distinct role in p53 regulation, and/or (II) because MDM2 and MDMX must function together as one heterocomplex (Figure 1).

**Figure 1** Two Proposed Models of MDM2 and MDMX Function: in the first model, MDM2 and MDMX are both required for p53 inhibition because each protein has a different mechanism through which it suppresses p53. While MDM2 causes ubiquitination, which leads to changes in localization and degradation of p53, MDMX is necessary to suppress p53 by binding to and inhibiting the transactivation domain of p53. In this model, the proteins can be bound or unbound to one another, as long as they can interact with p53 they can suppress its activity. In the second model, however, MDM2 and MDMX inhibit p53 together as a complex. In this model, MDM2 and MDMX are dependent on one another for successful p53 inhibition, and therefore if the interaction between MDM2 and MDMX is disrupted, they are no longer able to inhibit p53 activity effectively.
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**Model 1: MDM2 and MDMX play distinct roles**

As previously mentioned, various studies have shown that MDM2 largely inhibits and degrades p53 by ubiquitinating it, causing its nuclear export and ultimate degradation. MDMX, on the other hand, mainly inhibits p53 by binding to its transactivation domain and thereby inhibits its transactivation activity. Therefore the first theory of why both MDM2 and MDMX are essential to controlling p53 is that they each must perform their own method of inhibiting p53 with possible spatial and temporal differences. To address this first theory, tissue-specific knockout systems have been utilized to show differences in MDM2 and MDMX tissue distribution and requirement. Studies have shown that neuronal cells without MDMX...
undergo apoptosis (98), and that conditional knockout in the central nervous system of either (or both) MDMX or MDM2 induces embryonic lethality (99). On the other hand, MDM2 conditional knockout in smooth muscle cells of the GI tract caused embryonic lethality whereas MDMX knockout did not show embryonic death or such detrimental pathological defects (100). Similar results were shown in cardiomyocytes (101), showing that MDM2 and MDMX have some spatial differences in the roles they play to control p53 throughout the body. Additionally, while MDM2 knockout mice showed elaborate induction of apoptosis leading to embryonic death, Parant et al. saw that MDMX knockout, although still embryonic lethal, did not show signs of apoptosis, but rather cell cycle arrest only (96). This evidence led to the theory that while MDM2 inhibits the apoptotic activity of p53, MDMX may inhibit the ability of p53 to induce cell cycle arrest. Chavez-Reyes et al. showed further that MDM2 knockout leads to apoptosis, while MDMX knockout causes extensive cell cycle arrest (102).

**Model 2: MDM2-MDMX complex**

Various studies have implicated an importance in the formation of the MDM2-MDMX heterocomplex. While structural studies have predicted that the MDM2-MDMX complex is the most stable form of the two proteins, and that this heterodimer is important for E3 ligase activity upon p53 (103,104), other studies have shown that the RING-RING interaction between MDM2 and MDMX is essential for optimal E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (84,105). It has also been reported that the heterocomplex of MDM2 and MDMX is required for the E3 ligase to target p53 (106). Furthermore, in 2007, Poyurovsky et al. and Uldrijan et al. both demonstrated that a critical C-terminal region for E3 ligase activity of MDM2 can be fulfilled by the corresponding region of MDMX, suggesting that MDMX may play a direct role in the E3 ligase activity observed (107,108). Priest et al. also identified a specific ATP-binding pocket on MDM2, and found that MDMX could actually bind to ATP with comparable affinity, further suggesting a direct role for MDMX in the observed E3 ligase activity of the MDM2-MDMX complex (109). While these studies show that MDM2 E3 ligase activity depends on MDMX, the reverse is true as well - MDMX depends on MDM2. MDMX does not have nuclear shuttling sequences, it is dependent on MDM2 to enter the nucleus, where it can bind to and inhibit p53 (110).

In examining the importance of this second theory that the two must play their roles together as a complex, Huang et al. and Pant et al. recently published back-to-back genetic studies investigating the importance of the MDM2-MDMX complex during embryonic development. In the Huang study, a knockin mouse was created with a point mutation in the MDMX RING domain, MDMX_{C462A}. In this mouse model, MDM2 was left untouched, while MDMX retained its ability to bind to p53 - thereby both of the homologs retained their individual intrinsic ability to inhibit p53. However, the key difference in this MDMX mutant was an inability to bind to MDM2, preventing the MDM2-MDMX complex from forming. Pant et al. took a slightly different genetic approach by creating an MDMX mutant mouse with the RING domain deleted, which also prevents interaction between MDM2 and MDMX. Similarly to complete MDM2 or MDMX knockout, both RING domain deletions and the MDMXC462A point mutation caused embryonic lethality, which was rescued by concomitant p53 knockout. These studies show that not only must each protein be present for embryonic survival, but they also must be able to function as one heterocomplex to control p53 throughout embryonic development (111,112).

**Conclusions**

Elucidating the interplay between MDM2 and MDMX has not been trivial - they have a complicated dynamic through which they control p53, which is still not 100% understood, especially in vivo. An important role that MDMX plays is its ability to stabilize MDM2 although MDM2 has the ability to tag MDMX for proteasomal degradation. Because of this dynamic, in the event of stress, MDM2 can target MDMX for degradation, upon which its stabilization effect is gone causing MDM2 to ultimately be degraded. Depletion of the MDM2-MDMX complex then allows for robust activation of p53. In this way, MDMX has been seen to compete with p53 for ubiquitination by MDM2, ultimately leading to shuttling and degradation of MDMX rather than p53. With the new data suggesting that the intrinsic ligase activity of MDM2 without MDMX is not sufficient, and that the MDM2-MDMX heterocomplex must be formed, it is possible that the MDM2-MDMX complex itself can be considered the E3 ligase of p53. Similarly to other E3 ligases which require two or more proteins to be bound, MDM2-MDMX interaction may be required in vivo to successfully restrain p53. BRCA1, another important tumor suppressor, is also a RING-domain containing E3 ligase that requires binding to a non-catalytic partner, Bard1 via...
RING-RING interaction in order to properly ligate its substrates. While BARD1 does not have E3 ligase activity itself, it stabilizes and greatly enhances the ligase activity of BRCA1 such that they are considered together one E3 ligase as a heterodimer (113,114). The MDM2-MDMX heterodimer may function similarly to this BRCA1-BARD1 heterodimer to function as a single unit for ubiquitination of substrates (115).

With the recent in vitro and in vivo evidence emphasizing the importance of the MDM2-MDMX complex, it is likely that most studies on MDM2 or MDMX will now benefit from looking at both proteins. In fact, many investigators have now been looking for dual inhibitors of MDM2 and MDMX in order to activate p53 in cancer therapy [reviewed in Popowicz et al. 2011 (116)]. It will also be interesting to see how those modifications that have been previously studied using one protein can affect the complex as a whole. For example, previous studies showed that following DNA damage, c-Abl can phosphorylate MDM2, causing activation of p53 (43,44), but a recent study shows that part of the importance of c-Abl phosphorylation of MDM2 is in controlling stability of the MDM2-MDMX complex (117). Akt phosphorylation of MDMX has also been shown to stabilize the MDM2-MDMX complex (93). Another type of modification that the MDM2-MDMX complex undergoes is the changing of its binding partners. Under non-stressed conditions, for example, the MDM2-MDMX complex also binds to the deubiquitinating protein HAUSP, which stabilizes both MDM2 and MDMX (63,118). Stresses such as DNA damage can then decrease their affinity for HAUSP, further assisting to the ubiquitination and degradation of MDM2 and MDMX (118). It will be important to see what kinds of cellular stress can modulate MDM2-MDMX complex formation as a means to either activate or suppress p53 activity. This information could give useful insight not only into the direct p53 response to cellular stresses, but also into how oncogenes can suppress p53, and how to better utilize p53 activation in cancer therapy.
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