Peer Review File

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2359

Reviewer A

Comment 1: The abstract section should be more focused and precise.

Reply 1: We thank the reviewer A for finding our manuscript interesting. We have rewritten abstracts section.

Changes in Text: We have updated abstracts from line number 27 to 49.

Comment 2: Keywords should be organized in alphabetical orders.

Reply 2: Thank you. We have arranged keywords in alphabetical orders.

Changes in Text: We have corrected and organized keywords in alphabetical orders line number 50-51.

Comment 3: There are certain grammatical/typo errors that need to be rectified. Go through the manuscript to rectify these grammatical errors. Manuscript also needs to be proofread by native speaker or professional.

Reply 3: Thank you. We have addressed the issues about English editing wherever possible in the manuscript and proofread by professional.

Changes in Text: We have proofread manuscript carefully for grammatical errors.

Comment 4: Conclusion should be rewritten and polished.

Reply 4: Thank you. We have polished conclusion section as advised by reviewer.

Changes in Text: We have polished conclusion section in the manuscript line number 355-365.

Comment 5: To acclimatize the reader of this journal with current state of research in this filed, I recommend to include below mentioned references at appropriate places in the revised

Reply 5: Thank you. We have included recommended references at appropriate places in the revised manuscript.

Changes in Text: We have added all the references suggested by reviewer A.

Reviewer B

Comment 1: I recommend that more current references be included.

Reply 1: We thank the reviewer B for finding our manuscript interesting and useful for the readers. We have address the issues raised about current references. We have included some current references.

Changes in Text: We have added current references in the manuscript.