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#### Abstract

Primary sarcomatoid carcinoma (SCA) is a type of rare tumor consisting of both malignant epithelial and mesenchymal components. Only 32 cases of SCA of the small bowel have been reported in the literature to date. Due to its rarity and complexity, this cancer has not been genetically studied and its diagnosis and treatment remain difficult. Here we report a 54 -year-old male underwent emergency surgical resection in the small intestine due to severe obstruction and was diagnosed with multiple SCA based on postoperative pathological examination. Over 100 polypoid tumors scattered along his whole jejunum and proximal ileum. Chemotherapy (IFO+Epirubicin) was performed after surgery while the patient died two months after the surgery due to severe malnutrition. Whole-exome sequencing was performed for the tumor tissue with normal tissue as the control. Important cancer-related gene mutations, including KRAS (c.37G>T, p.G13C), TP53 (c.871A>T, p.K291*), EGFR (c.1351C>T, p.R451C), and CDKN2A (c.104_138del, p.G35fs), were found among 286 nonsynonymous somatic mutations (SNV and Indel). Copy-number amplified genes mainly gathered in chromosome 6, 7, 16 and 20. Mutation clustering analysis showed that main genetic abnormalities included DNA methylation, DNA alkylation, cellular homeostasis, and shared similarities with melanoma, glioma, prostate cancer, bladder cancer, non-small cell lung cancer, and pancreatic cancer. In summary, the genomic features of the small intestine SCA were explored at whole-exome level for the first time, and over 200 somatic mutations were identified in the tumor tissue. Key tumor driver gene mutations were revealed, as well as several aberrant functional pathways. These results contribute to further understanding of the pathogenesis and molecular mechanism of this rare tumor.
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Figure 1 Abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) showed signs of intestinal tumors. (A) thickened intestinal wall (in red circle). (B) Multiple solid masses (along red curve).

## Introduction

Primary sarcomatoid carcinoma (SCA) is a type of rare tumor consisting of both malignant epithelial and mesenchymal components (1). Tumors with sarcomatoid features have been reported to be more aggressive (2), while the pathogenesis of SCA has not been elucidated. Collision theory is a popular hypothesis suggesting that two different types of tumor cells originate from mesenchymal and epithelial origins separately (3). However, a more reasonable theory that sarcomatoid and carcinomatoid elements sharing a common clonal origin is supported by recent studies based on genomic sequencing $(4,5)$. SCA has been reported in various organs, including lung, uterine, salivary and thyroid glands (6,7). In small intestine, SCA was described using the term enteroblastoma for the first time in 1973 (8), and other terms such as SCA, carcinosarcoma, metaplastic carcinoma, and spindle cell carcinoma, were subsequently used in other organs. Nowadays, SCA is the most accepted term used in diagnostic surgical reports (9). SCA can be discriminated from polyps by pathological examinations. SCA has both epithelioid components and sarcomatoid components with high dysplasia, and positive staining of NSE, CK and vimentin can be observed by immunohistochemistry. These features cannot be found in polyps, which are featured by hyperplasia with generally normal adenoid structure.

The most frequent types of SCA, including pulmonary SCA, sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma, have been characterized in terms of diagnostic classification and molecular mechanism (10-12), while intestinal SCAs are very unusual. Due to inaccessibility of routine endoscopy and nonspecific clinical symptoms, patients affected by SCA were usually diagnosed at late stages. Only dozens of cases were reported $(13,14)$ and the patients generally had poor prognosis. We herein report a male with multiple (over 100)
primary jejunum SCAs scattered along the whole jejunum and proximal ileum, which has never been reported in previous SCA studies. We also established the whole-exome mutational profile of SCA for the first time, and identified featured SNV/ INDEL and CNV alterations, and revealed key tumor driver gene mutations and aberrant functional pathways. We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2829).

## Case presentation

A 54-year-old Chinese male presented with abdominal distension, fatigue and loss of weight and was diagnosed with gastro and duodenal inflammation by gastroscopy with anemia at a local hospital. Abdomen ultrasonic examination was performed with no signs of abnormality. He was referred to our hospital due to symptoms aggravated within two weeks. Preoperative contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) showed multiple polypoid lesions in small intestine causing intussusceptions and obstruction (Figure $1 A, B$ ). No masses were seen in lung, liver, or pancreas. Laparotomy was then performed and approximately $1,000 \mathrm{~mL}$ ascites in the peritoneal cavity were found. Meanwhile, many polypoid lumps in small bowel were observed with enlarged regional lymph nodes. No lesion was found in other parts of the gastrointestinal tract. Segmental resection of his whole jejunum and proximal ileum (total length of 300 cm , distal resected margin at 160 cm to the ileocecal valve) along with seven mesentery lymph nodes were performed.

More than 100 round-like polypoid masses with diameter from 1.5 to 2.6 cm were dispersing along the resected intestinal lumen (Figure 2). Metastases were found in all resected lymph nodes. Microscopically, the tumor


Figure 2 The resected segment of jejunum showed multiple round-like tumors in the jejunum. Part of the jejunum in red square in the left panel is amplified in the right panel to show the characteristics of the tumor.
was composed of two different components of cells, the pleomorphic cells with giant nuclei and the epithelioid cells. The two components were present in complex form without clear separation, in which approximately $30 \%$ of the lesions belonged to epithelioid components and $70 \%$ belonged to sarcomatoid components. Immunohistochemical staining showed vimentin(+), CK(+), CK8(+), CK18(+), CD34(+), CD68(+), S-100(-), Dog-1(-), CD117(-), CD3(-), CD20(-), CD30(-), CD57(-), desmin(-), CyclinD1(-), and SMA(-), suggesting both epithelial and stromal components (Figure 3). The final diagnosis was confirmed as jejunal SCA with mesenteric lymph nodes metastasis, pT3N2M0, stage IV. The patient died 2 months after surgery due to severe malnutrition, cachexia and electrolyte disturbance following one cycle of postoperative chemotherapy (IFO + Epirubicin).

The tumor and its adjacent normal tissue were fixed with formalin and embedded with paraffin (FFPE). To further investigate the genomic features of this tumor, wholeexome sequencing was performed with DNA extracted from both FFPE samples. The purity and concentration of the DNA fragments were assessed using the Qubit 2.0 fluorometer and the Qubit. DNA sequencing was then performed on the Illumina Novaseq6000 system according to the manufacturer's recommendations at an average depth of 5,000x. Sequencing data were de-multiplexed and aligned to the human reference genome (hg19 or GRch37) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (version 0.7.15)-r1140 by default settings. Pileup files for properly paired reads with mapping quality $\geq 60$ was generated using Samtools (http://www.htslib.org/). Thirty-five germline alterations
were identified from normal tissue using a 58-gene analysis pipeline. According to the latest American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) guidelines, none was interpreted as pathogenic and only 3 as variant of undetermined significance (VUS) (Table S1).

Somatic variants lists were created using VarScan2 (http://varscan.sourceforge.net/). Allele frequencies were calculated for all Q30 bases. Using a custom Python script, previously identified tumor DNA mutations were intersected with a Samtools pileup file generated for each sample, and the number and frequency were then calculated for each mutation. A mutation was identified if $\geq 5$ mutant reads were identified and $\geq 1$ mutant read was identified on each strand. Two hundred and seventy-six single nucleotide variants (SNVs) (Table S2), 8 short deletions and 2 short insertions (Table S3) were identified in the tumor tissue, including 38 point and indel alterations in driver genes defined by previous studies (15-18) (Table 1). Sixty-nine copy number variations were also detected (Table S4), mainly gathered in chromosome 6, 7, 16 and 20 (Figure 4). The tumor mutation burden (TMB) was 7.15 mutations/ Mb. Several key driver genes were revealed to harbor mutations, including KRAS (c.37G>T, $66.3 \%$ ), TP53 (c.871A>T, 47.7\%), EGFR (c.1351C>T, 4.2\%), CDKN2A (c.104_138del, 11.1\%). No alteration was found in PDGFR gene, which is usually mutated in GIST.

Functional clustering analysis was employed on somatic mutations. Using clusterProfiler (19), we found most enriched GO term was DNA methylation or demethylation. KEGG clustering analysis ( BH -corrected, $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) showed several cancer-related pathways (Figure 5). These


Figure 3 Postoperative pathological examination including hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining and immunohistochemistry staining of the tumor. (A) Pleomorphic cells with giant nuclei on the left and epithelioid component on the right (HE staining, 100x). (B) Polygonalshaped tumor cells exhibiting high dysplasia (HE staining, 200x). (C) Positive immunohistochemistry stain for cytokeratins (CK) (400x). (D) Positive immunohistochemistry stain for vimentin (400x). (E) Positive immunohistochemistry stain for CK8 (400x). (F) Negative immunohistochemistry stain for S-100 (100x). Scale bar: $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.
observations suggest that the genetic abnormalities in this case were distinct from other SCA cases, and reflected the uniqueness of this case.

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee(s) and with the Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients.

## Discussion and conclusions

Small bowel tumors are not commonly seen, accounting for less than $5 \%$ of all gastrointestinal cancers. The most common type of small bowel malignancies is adenocarcinoma, followed by neuroendocrine tumor, stromal tumor, and lymphoma $(20,21)$. SCA is very rare. Only 32 cases of SCA in the small bowel have been reported in the literature to date (Table 2). The tumor mainly occurs

Table 1 Main SNV and indel alterations in driver genes found in this case

| ID | Gene | Exon | Nucleotide | Protein | Allele frequency | Variant type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AARS2 | Exon14 | c.G1961T | p.G654V | 31.26 | Snv |
| 2 | ATF7IP | Exon2 | c.C1154T | p.A385V | 6.52 | Snv |
| 3 | ATP2B3 | Exon14 | c.G2396T | p.G799V | 66.67 | Snv |
| 4 | BAZ2A | Exon9 | c.C1795T | p.R599C | 10.37 | Snv |
| 5 | BIRC6 | Exon10 | c.G2848C | p.D950H | 28.82 | Snv |
| 6 | CDKN2A | Exon1 | c.104_138del | p.G35Efs*73 | 11.11 | Indel |
| 7 | CEP170 | Exon13 | c.C2375A | p.S792X | 11.67 | Snv |
| 8 | CFH | Exon8 | c.C1126A | p.Q376K | 11.83 | Snv |
| 9 | CREB3L1 | Exon3 | c.C461T | p.A154V | 5.94 | Snv |
| 10 | CSF3R | Exon13 | c.C1655A | p.P552H | 16.74 | Snv |
| 11 | DST | Exon24 | c.A6151G | p.R2051G | 38.77 | Snv |
| 12 | ECT2L | Exon8 | c.884delC | p.R296Gfs*8 | 50.85 | Indel |
| 13 | EGFR | Exon12 | c.C1351T | p.R451C | 4.21 | Snv |
| 14 | EPB41L3 | Exon12 | c.A1355T | p.Q452L | 12.89 | Snv |
| 15 | EPHA7 | Exon1 | c.G85T | p.A29S | 58.72 | Snv |
| 16 | FAT3 | Exon23 | c.G12328C | p.G4110R | 19.95 | Snv |
| 17 | FBN2 | Exon38 | c.C4892A | p.T1631N | 55.56 | Snv |
| 18 | GNAS | Exon1 | c.C1336T | p.P446S | 10.71 | Snv |
| 19 | GRIN2A | Intron12 | c. $2356+1 \mathrm{G}>\mathrm{A}$ | nil | 29.08 | Snv |
| 20 | IRS4 | Exon1 | c.G1982A | p.R661K | 60.69 | Snv |
| 21 | ITGA6 | Exon13 | c.C1786T | p.R596X | 14.62 | Snv |
| 22 | KRAS | Exon2 | c.G37T | p.G13C | 66.26 | Snv |
| 23 | LRP1B | Exon67 | c.G10481T | p.R3494L | 21.88 | Snv |
| 24 | MAPK8IP1 | Exon8 | c.A1697T | p.Q566L | 42.38 | Snv |
| 25 | MAST2 | Exon1 | c.C19T | p.R7C | 26.09 | Snv |
| 26 | MKL1 | Exon12 | c.C1853T | p.P618L | 5.04 | Snv |
| 27 | NAV3 | Exon1 | c.C170T | p.A57V | 45.74 | Snv |
| 28 | NAV3 | Exon5 | c.C539T | p.S180F | 15.88 | Snv |
| 29 | PDGFB | Exon4 | c.G268T | p.E90X | 48.46 | Snv |
| 30 | POT1 | Exon7 | c.G248T | p.R83M | 59.35 | Snv |
| 31 | SMARCD1 | Intron11 | c.1393-1G>A | nil | 16.19 | Snv |
| 32 | TP53 | Exon8 | c.A871T | p.K291X | 47.72 | Snv |
| 33 | TSHZ2 | Exon2 | c.T1763C | p.V588A | 18.81 | Snv |
| 34 | USP8 | Exon15 | c.C2287T | p.R763W | 14.19 | Snv |
| 35 | USP8 | Exon15 | c.C2292A | p.N764K | 15.44 | Snv |

Table 1 (continued)

Table 1 (continued)

| ID | Gene | Exon | Nucleotide | Protein | Allele frequency | Variant type |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 | USP9X | Exon26 | c.G3920A | p.S1307N | 5.36 | Snv |
| 37 | ZBTB16 | Exon2 | c.G1174T | p.A392S | 33.64 | Snv |
| 38 | ZNRF3 | Exon8 | c.G2380T | p.G794C | 18.75 | Snv |



Figure 4 Circos scheme shows the whole-exome sequencing landscape of tumor tissue somatic single nucleotide variation (SNV), insertion and deletion (Indel) and copy number variation (CNV) distribution. From outer to inner rings: the outermost ring shows the human genome scheme showing 24 chromosomes, followed by $\log 10$ values of coverage depth in whole-exome sequencing (WES). The types of SNV/Indel mutations are shown by different colors, as indicated in the figure, and the position of SNV/Indel mutations is presented consecutively. The length of lines represents the variant allele frequency. The innermost ring indicates the position of the CNV change, in which red dots stand for amplification and blue dots stand for deletion, and green stands for normal CNV.


Figure 5 The pathway clustering analysis on the tumor tissue of this study. (A) Gene ontology (GO) term clustering analysis of somatic mutated genes. (B) Kyoto encyclopedia of Genes and Genome (KEGG) pathway clustering analysis of mutated somatic genes. Colors represent the statistical significance of the analysis, and length of bar (A) represents the ratio of mutated genes in all genes of certain pathways. The size of dots (B) represents the number of mutated genes in the analysis and the generatio ( X axis) represents the ratio of mutated genes in all genes of certain pathways for amplification and blue dots stand for deletion, and green stands for normal CNV.
in elder patients with a mean age of 60 years old (ranged from 35 to 85, Figure $6 A$ ) and a male-female ratio of 1.46:1 ( 19 male $v s .13$ female). The most frequent primary location is jejunum ( $17 / 32,53.1 \%$ ) followed by ileum ( $14 / 32$, $43.8 \%$ ), and duodenum SCA is very rare ( $1 / 32,3.1 \%$ ). Mesenteric lymph nodes metastasis was present in $56.3 \%$ (18/32) of the reported cases. Macroscopically, SCA can be divided into five types, including the endophytic ( $33.3 \%$ ),
the polypoid ( $29.6 \%$ ), the ulcerating ( $18.5 \%$ ), the nodular (11.1\%) and the exophytic (7.4\%) (Table 2, Figure 6B). The case in this study belonged to the polypoid type. Microscopically, SCA tumors are composed of two or three cells components: polygonal, anaplastic and spindle, and basically exhibited positive expression for both cytokeratin (CK) ( 27 positives in 30 patients) and vimentin ( 21 positives in 21 patients) in immunohistochemistry staining (Table 2).

Table 2 Summary of diagnostic information for all SCA cases reviewed in this study

| ID | Age | Gender | Diagnosis | Tumor Site | No of lesion(s) | Maximal Diameter (cm) | Morphology | Metastasis | CK | Vimentin | $\begin{gathered} \text { OS } \\ \text { (months) } \end{gathered}$ | Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 44 | M | Enteroblastoma | lleum | 1 | N/A | Polypoid | Yes | N/A | N/A | N/A | (22) |
| 2 | 35 | F | Anasplastic and SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 7.5 | Endophytic | Yes | - | N/A | 36 | (2) |
| 3 | 38 | F | Anasplastic and SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 16 | Endophytic | Yes | + | N/A | 8 | (2) |
| 4 | 48 | F | Anasplastic and SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 6 | Endophytic | Yes | + | N/A | 29 | (2) |
| 5 | 65 | M | Anasplastic and SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 5 | Endophytic | Yes | + | N/A | 5 | (2) |
| 6 | 54 | F | Anasplastic and SCA | lleum | 1 | 4.5 | Endophytic | No | - | N/A | 12* | (2) |
| 7 | 62 | M | Anasplastic and SCA | Ileum | 1 | 5 | Endophytic | Yes | - | N/A | 20 | (2) |
| 8 | 52 | F | Pleomorphic CA | Jejunum | 2 | 8 | Nodular | Yes | + | + | 7 | (23) |
| 9 | 56 | M | Pleomorphic CA | Jejunum | 2 | 8 | Nodular | Yes | + | + | 8 | (23) |
| 10 | 45 | M | Pleomorphic CA | lleum | 1 | 3 | Endophytic | No | + | + | 0.2 | (24) |
| 11 | 57 | M | Pleomorphic CA | lleum | 1 | 14 | Endophytic | No | + | + | $6^{*}$ | (24) |
| 12 | 63 | M | Pleomorphic CA | lleum | 1 | 6 | Endophytic | No | + | + | 39* | (24) |
| 13 | 68 | F | SCA | lleum | 1 | N/A | N/A | No | N/A | N/A | N/A | (25) |
| 14 | 75 | M | SCA | lleum | 1 | N/A | N/A | No | + | + | N/A | (25) |
| 15 | 77 | M | SCA | Duodenum | 1 | N/A | N/A | Yes | + | + | N/A | (25) |
| 16 | 76 | F | SCA | Jejunum | N/A | N/A | N/A | No | + | + | 2 | (26) |
| 17 | 76 | F | SCA | lleum | 1 | 5 | Ulcerating | NA | + | + | 2 | (27) |
| 18 | 53 | M | Anasplastic and SCA | Ileum | N/A | N/A | Polypoid | Yes | + | + | N/A | (28) |
| 19 | 56 | M | SCA | lleum | 1 | 9.2 | Ulcerating | Yes | + | + | 3 | (29) |
| 20 | 55 | M | SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 7.5 | Polypoid | Yes | + | + | 11 | (1) |
| 21 | 55 | M | SCA | Jejunum | N/A | N/A | N/A | Yes | + | N/A | 9.4 | (30) |
| 22 | 51 | F | SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 8 | Polypoid | Yes | + | + | 1.9 | (31) |
| 23 | 85 | F | SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 10.1 | Polypoid | No | + | N/A | 3 | (32) |
| 24 | 70 | F | SCA | Jejunum | 1 | NA | Polypoid | No | + | + | 7* | (33) |
| 25 | 56 | F | SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 6.7 | Ulcerating | Yes | + | + | 6 | (34) |
| 26 | 62 | M | SCA | Ileum | 1 | 15 | Ulcerating | No | + | + | 3* | (35) |
| 27 | 69 | M | N/A | Jejunum | 1 | 6 | Polypoid | No | + | + | 41* | (36) |
| 28 | 78 | M | SCA | Jejunum | N/A | N/A | Exophytic | NA | + | + | N/A | (37) |
| 29 | 60 | M | N/A | lleum | N/A | N/A | Nodular | Yes | + | N/A | N/A | (38) |
| 30 | 60 | M | SCA | Jejunum | 6 | 5 | Ulcerating | Yes | + | + | 0.33 | (17) |
| 31 | 62 | M | SCA | Jejunum | 1 | 12 | Exophytic | Yes | + | + | 1 | (39) |
| 32 | 58 | F | SCA | lleum | 1 | 3 | Polypoid | No | + | + | 0.36 | (15) |
| This study | 54 | M | SCA | Jejunum | >100 | 2.6 | Polypoid | No | + | + | 3 | This study |



Figure 6 Analysis on the distribution of age, tumor size, macroscopic tumor type and survival analysis of 32 reported sarcomatoid carcinoma (SCA) cases. (A) The age distribution shows that patients aged from 51 to 60 represent the highest frequency of SCA morbidity. (B) Tumors with maximal diameter at 4-6 and 6-8 cm represents the highest frequency of tumor size. (C) Endophytic, polypoid and ulcerating are the three most common type of SCA. (D) Survival analysis shows that the prognosis of SCA was generally poor with a median overall survival of 7 months.

Most patients had surgical resection but only survived for several months. The median overall survival (OS) was 7 months (Table 2, Figure 6C).

In our case, aggressive development was observed following the appearance of symptoms in gastrointestinal tract. Tumor location identification was difficult and ambiguous. A very distinct clinical feature of our case is that many lesions scattered in jejunum and proximal ileum. Compare to the single, large tumors (average diameter was 7.75 cm ) in most reported cases (Table 2, Figure 6D), our patient had multiple smaller tumors ( 1.5 to 2.6 cm ), which is characteristic from those previous reported.

Immunohistochemistry, in combination with H\&E staining, is the golden standard for diagnosis of SCA. A wide panel of markers has been used for SCA pathological diagnosis. SCA usually presents positive for CK, vimentin, EMA, and negative for desmin, S-100, and DOG-1. C-kit negativity is the key to differentiate SCA from GIST, which has similar morphology with SCA $(9,40)$. Certain cases may also exhibit focal positivity for neuroendocrine and neuronspecific markers (1).

Exploration in genetic alterations of small intestine SCA had not been conducted. We exploited next generation sequencing (NGS) technique to study the whole-exome genetic profile of this case. Among 35 germline alterations, none was interpreted as known pathogenic mutation and only 3 were interpreted VUS according to ACMG guidelines. This might suggest the carcinogenesis of the tumor in our case was driven by some acquired factors.

In TCGA data and other large-scale analysis of various types of sarcoma, the top frequently mutated genes include TP53, TTN, ATRX, PIK3CA, MUC16, RB1, and PTEN (12,41,42). PI3K signal pathway is undoubtedly a hotspot pathway in this disease based on previous studies. Aberrances on driver genes in this pathway are involved in the progression of cancer. However, the mutated profile of our case did not show that PI3K signal pathway was the dominant abnormality. We identified cetuximab-resistant mutation in KRAS gene (c.37G>T, p.G13C), which is in upstream of PI3K signal pathway. This mutation could lead to activation of the downstream signal pathways (12,41-43). The specific alteration in TP53 (c.871A>T, p.K291*) is only described in a few
cancer studies, including those on transitional cell (urothelial) carcinoma (44), large intestine adenocarcinoma (22), laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma (23), and melanoma (45). CDKN2A gene encodes tumor suppressor proteins which act as negative regulator in the proliferation of normal cells and induce cell cycle arrest in G1 and G2 phases. The CDKN2A mutation (c.104_138del, p.G35fs) is a frameshift mutation which could lead to malfunctioned truncated protein. Many amplified genes were found in our study, but their roles were not clarified. It is possible that the combination of multiple aberrances in key driver genes with other genetic alterations led to the characteristics of the tumor, but the key factors in its pathogenesis still needs further investigation.

Small intestinal cancers mainly include adenocarcinoma, carcinoid, malignant lymphoma and sarcoma, which account for $2-3 \%$ of all gastrointestinal cancers. It was reported that $55-80 \%$ of them are adenocarcinoma and carcinoid, while lymphoma and sarcoma are rarely seen $(46,47)$. The mechanism of small intestine adenocarcinoma has been suggested to be similar to that of the colorectal cancer, including APC, TP53 and KRAS mutations, aberrancies of the Wnt pathway and abnormal mismatch repair (48). The mechanism of carcinoid was suggested to be related to TGF- $\beta$ pathway (49) and Chromosome X inactivation (50). SCA is the rarest type of small intestine carcinoma, and most reports so far are case reports without systematic investigation on its molecular mechanism. Our study provided the first piece of evidence on the possible molecular mechanism of small intestine SCA.

There is still no official treatment guideline for SCA. Palliative segment resection was the main treatment in most cases. Adjuvant chemotherapy, such as 5-FU and/or cisplatin or radiotherapy, was performed in some patients, but no report identified improvements in survival. In conclusion, diagnosis and treatment of SCA are still clinical challenges. Our sequencing results revealed the genomic feature of a rare SCA case, providing further understanding on molecular pathogenesis of this specific cancer.
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## Supplementary

Table S1 Germline alterations identified and interpretated based on ACMG guidelines

| Gene | exon | base | AA | dbSNP138 | Zygosity | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| APC | exon16 | c.T5465A | p.V1822D | rs459552 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| ATM | exon40 | c.A5948G | p.N1983S | rs659243 | Homozygous | Benign |
| AXIN2 | exon2 | c.C148T | p.P50S | rs2240308 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BARD1 | exon4 | c.G1134C | p.R378S | rs2229571 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BARD1 | exon1 | c.C70T | p.P24S | rs1048108 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BARD1 | exon6 | c.G1519A | p.V507M | rs2070094 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BLM | exon13 | c.C2603T | p.P868L | rs2227935 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BLM | exon21 | c.G3961A | p.V1321I | rs7167216 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BMPR1A | exon3 | c.C4A | p.P2T | rs3182217 | Homozygous | Benign |
| BRCA1 | exon10 | c.A3113G | p.E1038G | rs16941 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BRCA1 | exon15 | c.A4837G | p.S1613G | rs1799966 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BRCA1 | exon10 | c.A3548G | p.K1183R | rs16942 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BRCA1 | exon10 | c.C2612T | p.P871L | rs799917 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| BRCA2 | exon15 | c.G7522A | p.G2508S | rs80358978 | Heterozygous | VUS |
| BRCA2 | exon14 | c.T7397C | p.V2466A | rs169547 | Homozygous | Benign |
| BRIP1 | exon19 | c.T2755C | p.S919P | rs4986764 | Homozygous | Benign |
| EPCAM | exon3 | c.T344C | p.M115T | rs1126497 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| FLCN | exon8 | c. G907A | p.G303R | rs3744124 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| MEN1 | exon10 | c.A1636G | p.T546A | rs2959656 | Homozygous | Benign |
| MLH3 | exon2 | c.A2476G | p.N826D | rs175081 | Homozygous | Benign |
| MSH2 | exon7 | c.C1255A | p.Q419K | rs63750006 | Heterozygous | Likely benign |
| MSH2 | exon12 | c.A1886G | p.Q629R | rs61756468 | Heterozygous | Likely benign |
| NBN | exon5 | c. G553C | p.E185Q | rs1805794 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| PALB2 | exon4 | c.A1676G | p.Q559R | rs152451 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| PMS2 | exon11 | c.C1454A | p.T485K | rs1805323 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| PMS2 | exon15 | c.G2570C | p.G857A | rs1802683 | Heterozygous | Likely benign |
| PMS2 | exon11 | c.C1408T | p.P470S | rs1805321 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| PMS2 | exon10 | c.A1103G | p.N368S | NA | Heterozygous | VUS |
| PMS2 | exon11 | c.A1621G | p.K541E | rs2228006 | Homozygous | Benign |
| PTEN | intron1 | c. $154+1 \mathrm{~T}>-$ | NA | rs71022512 | Homozygous | Benign |
| PTEN | exon1 | c.G10A | p.G4R | rs12573787 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| PTEN | exon2 | c.G194C | p.C65S | rs2943772 | Homozygous | Benign |
| RAD51D | exon6 | c.G494A | p.R165Q | rs4796033 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| TP53 | exon4 | c.C215G | p.P72R | rs1042522 | Heterozygous | Benign |
| TSC2 | exon18 | c.G1939A | p.D647N | rs45509392 | Heterozygous | vUs |
| TP53 | exon4 | c.C215G | p.P72R | rs1042522 | Heterozygous | Benign |



Table S3 List of indel mutations found in this case

| Chr | Position | Ref | Alt | Func.refGene | Gene | Transcript | Region | Nucleotide | Protein | Tumor_AF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chr3 | 128620156 | - | T | frameshift | ACAD9 | NM_014049 | exon8 | c.846_847insT | p.E283* | 55.53 |
| chr5 | 40769535 | T | - | frameshift | PRKAA1 | NM_006251 | exon5 | c.579delA | p.E194fs*2 | 16.92 |
| chr6 | 139167795 | C | - | frameshift | ECT2L | NM_001077706 | exon8 | c.884delC | p.R296Gfs*8 | 50.85 |
| chr9 | 21974689 | CCGACCGTAACTATTCGGTGCGTTGGGCAGCGCCC | - | frameshift | CDKN2A | NM_000077 | exon1 | c.104_138del | p.G35Efs*73 | 11.11 |
| chr9 | 39149837 | C | - | frameshift | CNTNAP3 | NM_033655 | exon10 | c.1615delG | p.D539Tfs*4 | 10.04 |
| chr9 | 43844279 | G | - | frameshift | CNTNAP3B | NM_001201380 | exon10 | c.1613delG | p.D539Tfs*4 | 10.06 |
| chr11 | 124095689 | T | - | frameshift | OR8G2 | NM_001291438 | exon1 | c.292delT | p.F98Lfs*2 | 9.84 |
| chr12 | 10225980 | ACTCAGAGTAGCTCTGAG | - | nonframeshift | CLEC1A | NM_016511 | exon5 | c.557_574del | p.S186_E191del | 35.79 |
| chr12 | 113327841 | G | - | frameshift | RPH3A | NM_014954 | exon17 | c.1564delG | p.T524Pfs*68 | 49.04 |
| chr15 | 42041004 | - | C | frameshift | MGA | NM_001080541 | exon15 | c.4756dupC | p.S1587Kfs*10 | 51.19 |


| ID | Gene | Variant type | Copy number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | AARS2 | amplification | 3.68 |
| 2 | АСтв | amplification | 3.68 |
| 3 | ADCY1 | amplification | 3.95 |
| 4 | AHCYL2 | amplification | 3.34 |
| 5 | AKR1B1 | amplification | 3.12 |
| 6 | ARHGAP35 | deletion | 0.82 |
| 7 | ASXL1 | amplification | 3.49 |
| 8 | BCR | amplification | 3.25 |
| 9 | CARD11 | amplification | 3.68 |
| 10 | CCND1 | amplification | 3.01 |
| 11 | CCND3 | amplification | 3.73 |
| 12 | CDH1 | amplification | 3.01 |
| 13 | CREB3L2 | amplification | 3.12 |
| 14 | ctin | amplification | 3.09 |
| 15 | cux1 | amplification | 4.25 |
| 16 | DAXX | amplification | 3.17 |
| 17 | DIDO1 | amplification | 3.21 |
| 18 | DIS3L2 | amplification | 3.15 |
| 19 | FGF3 | amplification | 3.01 |
| 20 | FGF4 | amplification | 3.01 |
| 21 | FOXA2 | amplification | 3.72 |
| 22 | FRG1B | amplification | 3.49 |
| 23 | gnas | amplification | 3.10 |
| 24 | HLA-A | amplification | 4.19 |
| 25 | HLA-B | amplification | 4.19 |
| 26 | HLA-C | amplification | 4.19 |
| 27 | HSP90AA1 | amplification | 3.34 |
| 28 | HSP90AB1 | amplification | 3.68 |
| 29 | IKZF1 | deletion | 0.92 |
| 30 | INTS1 | amplification | 3.68 |
| 31 | KIAA1549 | amplification | 3.12 |
| 32 | KIFC3 | amplification | 3.12 |
| 33 | LUC7L2 | amplification | 3.12 |
| 34 | мсм7 | amplification | 3.28 |
| 35 | MDC1 | amplification | 4.19 |
| 36 | MMP2 | amplification | 3.13 |
| 37 | MUC16 | amplification | 3.36 |
| 38 | mUC4 | amplification | 3.45 |
| 39 | NFKBIE | amplification | 3.68 |
| 40 | PIM1 | amplification | 6.24 |
| 41 | PLAG1 | amplification | 3.34 |
| 42 | PLCG1 | amplification | 3.44 |
| 43 | PMS2 | amplification | 3.68 |
| 44 | POUSF1 | amplification | 4.19 |
| 45 | PRRC2A | amplification | 4.19 |
| 46 | PTPRT | amplification | 3.44 |
| 47 | RAC1 | amplification | 3.68 |
| 48 | ROBO2 | deletion | 0.81 |
| 49 | SALL4 | amplification | 4.03 |
| 50 | SDC4 | amplification | 3.31 |
| 51 | SDHA | amplification | 3.11 |
| 52 | SIRPA | amplification | 3.32 |
| 53 | SlC3A2 | amplification | 3.19 |
| 54 | SmARCA4 | amplification | 3.06 |
| 55 | SMARCB1 | amplification | 3.25 |
| 56 | Smo | amplification | 3.34 |
| 57 | smox | amplification | 3.32 |
| 58 | SND1 | amplification | 3.34 |
| 59 | SS18L1 | amplification | 3.21 |
| 60 | SVIL | amplification | 3.08 |
| 61 | TERT | amplification | 3.11 |
| 62 | TFDP1 | amplification | 3.11 |
| 63 | tfeb | amplification | 3.73 |
| 64 | TRIM24 | amplification | 3.12 |
| 65 | TRIM27 | amplification | 4.19 |
| 66 | TRRAP | amplification | 3.92 |
| 67 | TSHZ2 | amplification | 3.10 |
| 68 | VEGFA | amplification | 3.68 |
| 69 | ZMYND8 | amplification | 3.31 |
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