

KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA as biomarkers in endometrial cancer

Ye Yang, Zhen-Yu Sang, Jie Ma, Ya-Ping Zhu, Su-Fang Wu

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, Shanghai, China *Contributions:* (I) Conception and design: Y Yang, YP Zhu, SF Wu; (II) Administrative support: YP Zhu, SF Wu; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: Y Yang; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: Y Yang, ZY Sang; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: Y Yang, ZY Sang, J Ma; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Su-Fang Wu; Ya-Ping Zhu. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Shanghai General Hospital, Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine, 85 Wujin Road, Hongkou, Shanghai 200080, China. Email: wusufang73@163.com; zhuyp63@126.com.

Background: We demonstrated that drinking hydrogen-rich water (HRW) inhibits endometrial tumor growth in our previous work. This research is to identify differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) between HRW and purified water groups in a xenograft mouse model of endometrial cancer (EC).

Methods: Samples were analyzed using tandem mass tags (TMTs) coupled with liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). DEPs were identified using bioinformatics to determine potential molecular functions and immunohistochemical (IHC) staining.

Results: In total, 11 DEPs were identified in the HRW group relative to the control. The up-regulated proteins included Gatad1, Ttyh3, Nek4, Dyrk2, and Gimap1, while the down-regulated proteins included SP1, Ms11, Plekha7, Dtwd2, MSRA, and KRAS. Gene Ontology (GO) annotations and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways were associated with the binding region, biological regulation, endocrine resistance, estrogen signaling, choline metabolism in cancer and human cytomegalovirus infection. Furthermore, network analysis indicated that KRAS and MSRA interact with YWHAE. KRAS, YWHAE and SP1 were strongly expressed, while MSRA was weak expressed in atypical hyperplasia and EC tissue as well as in HRW group in xenograft tumor tissue.

Conclusions: KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA might be regarded as focused biomarkers to assess the prognosis of EC.

Keywords: Tandem mass tags (TMTs); endometrial cancer (EC); KRAS/MSRA/SP1/YWHAE

Submitted Sep 30, 2020. Accepted for publication Jan 29, 2021. doi: 10.21037/tcr-20-2969 View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2969

Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecologic cancer in the United States, with the American Cancer Society expecting that there will be 65,620 new cases in 2020, and that 12,590 women would die from EC, the estimated new and death cases increased 3,740 and 430 compared with that in 2019, relatively (1). Refer to the diagnosis of EC staging, although International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) remains the gold standard, about 33.33% of the tumor characterized

with atypical morphology, resulting in the 10–37% inconsistency rate among different diagnoses, causing the current diagnostic criteria could not be uniformly applied practical work. The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) classifies EC into four groups, each of which is based on different histopathology or molecular sub-type, as well as prognostic potential: group 1, polymerase epsilon (POLE), ultramutated, associated with good prognosis; group 2, microsatellite instability (MSI) hypermutated; group 3, copy-number low (CN-Low) endometrioid, group 2 and 3 shown similar progression-free survival rates; group 4, copy-

number high (CN-High), serous-like, with worse prognosis. Also new biologic and molecular therapies for the treatment of endometrial carcinoma are being assessed in clinical trials. Application of TCGA classification may help in deciding the use of immunotherapy with immune checkpoint inhibitors like anti-programmed cell death-1 (PD-1)/programmed cell death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) treatment including lenvatinib/ pembrolizumab for TMB-H [≥10 mutations/megabase (mut/Mb)] or MSI-high/mismatch repair (MMR) deficient tumors (the multicohort phase Ib KEYNOTE-028 study) (2-4). NCCN also recommended biomarker-directed systemic therapy for second-line treatment for EC like bevacizumab (randomized phase II trial Gynecologic Oncology Group trial) (5-7), nivolumab, larotrectinib or entrectinib for neurotrophin receptor kinase (NTRK) gene fusion-positive tumors (8). However, the reproducibility of the differences and associations between each molecular subtype and histological diagnosis is disputed among different researchers. Therefore, there is an increasing need to identify novel molecular biomarkers in order to achieve individualized treatment options. On the other side, for patients have no fertility requirements with high risk factors, surgery combined with radiotherapy, chemotherapy, systemic therapy, hormone therapy is still the main treatment at present (9). However, radio-chemoresistance remains a major obstacle in EC therapy (10), thus the development of a new anti-cancer therapeutic strategy and seeking effective biomarker is necessary.

In recent years, hydrogen therapy has been shown to be a promising therapeutic method for treating cervical cancer, breast cancer, cutaneous melanoma (11), ovarian cancer (12) and lung cancer (13). In our previous research (14), the consumption of hydrogen-enriched drinking water was shown to reduce endometrial tumor volume, density and weight in a xenograft mice model (Figure S1), suggesting that drinking hydrogen-rich water (HRW) might be served as an effective treatment or adjuvant therapy for EC, while the mechanism of how they function remain unknow. To characterize the effect of hydrogen water on signal transduction, substrate specificity, and peptidase functional efficiencies, a new approach called quantitative multiplex substrate profiling by mass spectrometry (qMSP-MS) (15) which utilizes isobaric tandem mass tags (TMTs) was utilized herein. TMTs are available with different reactive groups, which enables deep proteome coverage for multiple samples in a reasonable amount of time (16,17). Unlike studies that focus on RNA sequencing analysis, which can only detect gene expression alterations, or affymetrix single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) microarrays used in biospecimens to analyze mRNA, miRNA and methylation data which cost high, TMTs enables relative peptide and protein quantification across analyzed samples as means of identifying differential expression and can therefore provide insight into the proteolytic activities occurring within a complex biological sample (18,19).

When performing a MS-based analysis, differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) are identified and typically characterized using Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) databases, with differences further explored using cluster analysis (20), in which the sample and the variable is based on gathered quantitative data. GO analysis is used to describe the properties of gene products and is used to cluster DEPs based on the categories of biological process, molecular work and cellular component (21). KEGG pathway analysis is utilized to enrich pathways with a significant DEP involvement to better understand the biological processes in cells and mechanisms associated with traits, diseases, or drug response.

The main aim of this study was to perform a comprehensive survey of the proteome in a xenograft mouse model for EC to examine the molecular effects after HRW treatment. As such, mice were treated with either HRW or purified water [negative control (NC)], DEPs were identified using qMSP-MS, and the obtained data was examined using bioinformatics. All efforts were done to reveal new predictive DEPs in EC and can provide further insight into the molecular mechanisms of novel biomarkers to provide a more accurate framework of predicting the progress in EC, which would be useful to provide important information for prognosis and further response to additional adjuvant treatment options of EC. We present the following article in accordance with the REMARK reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2969).

Methods

Xenograft mouse model

Female BALB/c mice of 4 weeks of age, weighing 18-25 g, implanted with 1×10^7 luciferase-AN3CA cells on right shoulder were generated for xenograft mouse models of EC, and purchased from Shanghai Ling Chang BioTech Co., Ltd. affiliated to Shanghai Slake Laboratory Animal Co., Ltd. Experiments were performed under a project license (2020SQ301) granted by institutional board of the Ethical

Committee on Human Research of Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China, in compliance with the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory animals (NIH Publications No. 8023) guidelines for the care and use of animals. BALB/c mice were housed under barrier environment, with each mouse in an independent ventilation cage, at 22-26 °C and humidity 45-65%, and were maintained on a 12/12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 08:00 h). Mat and feed were changed every 2-3 days. The nine mice were randomly divided into two treatment groups: the HRW treatment group with the concentration of 1.0 ppm (n=6; H1-3) and the purified water (NC) group (n=3; P1-3) randomly when the tumors grew 3 to 4 mm in diameter and were visible. The mice were separately treated by gavage with either HRW or pure water control (20 mL/kg/d), and the mice were only allowed to drink the water associated with their group. We changed the HRW every 2 h each day, and the treatments were maintained for 24 days. We anesthetized and sacrificed the animals with an overdose of 2% sodium pentobarbital (0.5 mL), and then used cervical dislocation to confirm death, and took the subcutaneous tumorigenic tissue of mice from different groups. All samples were histologically diagnosed as EC by two independent pathologists. Both HRW intake was monitored throughout the experimental period and was nearly the same among groups.

Protein extraction

We chose six tumor samples for each group processed independently: three samples from HRW group labeled No. A1, A2, A3, three samples from NC group labeled No. B1, B2, B3. An equal amount of tumor sample was obtained, pooled and processed independently before labeling. The samples were then combined with double volume SDT buffer [4% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6], transferred to tubes containing lysing matrix A (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, USA) and homogenized using a FastPrep-24 5G system (MP Biomedicals; 24×2, 6.0 M/S, 60 s, two cycles). The samples were then sonicated (80 w, 10 s pulse, 10 s interval, 10 cycles) on ice and boiled for 10 min. The crude extract was centrifuged at 14,000 g for 15 min and the supernatants were collected. The supernatants were then filtered through Spin-X 0.22 µm filters (8160; Corning, Corning, NY, USA). Proteins were quantified using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) Protein Assay kit (P0012; Beyotime, Shanghai, China) according to the

manufacturer's instructions and qualified via 12% SDSpolyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (P0015F, Beyotime; 250 V, 40 min), with 20 µg of protein combined with µg of 6x SDS sample buffer; this was then boiled for 5 min prior to loading. The obtained filtrates were then stored at -20 °C until further use.

Protein digestion

Protein digestion was performed using a filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) procedure (22). Briefly, 150 µg of total protein from each pool was diluted in 100 mmol dithiothreitol (DTT), boiled for 5 min, and cooled to 25 °C. To remove the low-molecular-weight components, samples were washed with 150 µL UA washing buffer (8 M Urea, 150 mM Tris-HCL, pH 8.5) and repeated ultrafiltration (Microcon units, 30 kD; Sartorius, Palaiseau, France, VN01H22), then performed at 12,500 g for 15 min. Next, samples were alkylated by adding 100 µL of indole-3acetic acid (IAA) buffer (100 mM IAA in UA), followed by agitation (600 rpm) for 1 min and 30 min incubation in the dark at 25 °C. The samples were then centrifuged at 12,500 g for 15 min, the filtrate was discarded, and 100 µL of fresh UA washing buffer was added, followed by centrifugation. The filter was washed two times with 100 µL 40 nM NH₄HCO₃ (17837; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) and centrifuged at 12,500 g for 15 min. Each sample was then digested in 4 µg of sequencing grade modified trypsin (V5117; Promega, USA) in 40 µL of 40 mM NH₄HCO₃, agitated (600 rpm) for 1 min, and incubated at 37 °C for 16-18 h. Next, the samples were centrifuged at 12,500 g for 15 min, the filter was washed with 20 µL of 40 nM NH₄HCO₃, and the samples were re-centrifuged. Samples were then incubated in 40 µL 0.1% tetraethylammonium bicarbonate (TEAB) buffer at 37 °C overnight. Finally, the resulting peptides were collected via centrifugation, and the concentrations were calculated at OD_{280} .

TMT labeling

TMTs (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with different reporter ions (126–131 Da) were applied as isobaric tags for relative quantification. Samples were analyzed in each TMT 6-plex, an extra EBC sample was prepared as a reference standard. The pooled tumor sample was divided into eight equal samples. The standard sample in each TMT 6-plex was labeled as TMT-126, while other five test samples were labeled from TMT-127 to TMT-131. The balance sample was labeled as TMT-103, 102, 101, 100, 99, and 98, respectively. The peptide reaction sample connected the TMT reagent to the lysine and N-terminal amino acid residues on the peptide, and then six standard sample were connected to the peptide reaction sample through the balance sample, so that six kinds of relative molecular weights as 229 were formed. TMT labeling was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Briefly, transfer 100 µg per condition into a new tube and add 100 mM TEAB buffer to the protein solution to a final volume of 100 µL. Then 5 µL of 200 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine (TCEP) was added into each sample and all samples were incubated at 55 °C for 1 hour. Next, each sample was added 5 µL of 375 mM iodoacetamide and incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 mins. After precipitation and resuspension, proteins were digested overnight at 37 °C with 2.5 µg trypsin (Sigma, USA). The digested samples were individually labeled with TMT 6 reagents at room temperature for 1 h. At last, the labeled peptide aliquots were combined for subsequent fractionation. Labeled peptide were lyophilized, reconstituted, and fractionated with a C18 column (Waters, USA) by basic reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RPLC) method using a gradient of 5% to 95% solvent B (90% ACN, pH 10) in 40 min. A total of 40 fractions were collected and concatenated to 20 fractions, vacuum dried and stored at -80 °C until further analysis.

Liquid cbromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS)

Each fraction was loaded onto a reverse phase trap column (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Acclaim PepMap 100, 50 μ m × 15 cm, nanoViper, P/N164943) coupled with a C18reversed phase analytical column (Thermo Scientific Easy Column, 10 cm long, 75 μ m inner diameter, 3 μ m resin) in buffer A (0.1% formic acid) and separated with a linear gradient of buffer B (84% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. The linear gradient proceeded as follows: 0–55% solution B for 80 min, 55– 100% solution B for 5 min, and 100% solution B for 5 min.

Ten fractions from each sample were analyzed using a Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled with a nanoflow high-performance liquid chromatography system (Easy nLC; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in positive ion mode for 90 min. The MS data was acquired using a data-dependent top 10 method that dynamically chooses the most abundant precursor ions from

the survey scan (300–1,800 m/z) for higher-energy collisional dissociation (HCD) fragmentation. The instrument parameters were set as follows: automatic gain control target = 3e6, dynamic exclusion duration of 40 s, survey scan resolution of 700,000 (m/z 200), HCD spectra resolution of 35,000 (m/z 200) and an isolation width at m/z 2. The normalized collision energy was 30 eV and the underfill ratio was 0.1%. After performing LC-MS/MS, the data was evaluated using MASCOT 2.6 software (Matrix Science).

Protein identification and bioinformatics analysis

The acquired MS spectra were analyzed using the MASCOT search engine (version 2.6; Matrix Science, London, UK) within Proteome Discoverer 2.1 (Thermo Electron, San Jose, CA, USA). The parameters were set as follows: the enzyme used was trypsin, a peptide mass tolerance ± 10 ppm, a fragment mass tolerance of 0.05 Da, and a max of 2 missed cleavages. Additionally, TMT sample was set as a fixed modification, and oxidation was set as a variable modification. The peptide false discovery rate (FDR) was set to ≤ 0.01 and all peptide ratios were normalized to the median protein ratio, with the median protein ratio defined as 1 after normalization.

The identified DEPs were blasted against the Mus Musculus (mouse) database using GO annotations were obtained by UniProt-GOA database (http://www.ebi. ac.uk/GOA/). Functional annotations were obtained using the KEGG database (http://geneontology.org/). GO and KEGG pathway enrichment were performed, with significance determined based on a two-tailed Fisher's exact test (P<0.05). Hierarchical clustering analysis was performed using Cluster 3.0 (http://bonsai.hgc.jp/~mdehoon/software/ cluster/ software.htm) and the Java Treeview (jtreeview. sourceforge.net). Protein-protein interaction (PPI) networks were constructed using Cytoscape and analyzed using the IntAct Molecular Interaction Database (http:// www.ebi.ac.uk/intact/).

Hierarchical clustering

Further hierarchical clustering was performed based on the obtained functional classifications. First, all of the enriched categories, along with their P values, were sorted, and then filtered to isolate categories that were enriched in at least one of the clusters. The filtered P value matrix was then transformed by the function $x = -\log 10$, resulting in the x

values being z-transformed for each functional category. The transformed data was then clustered using one-way hierarchical clustering in Genesis. Cluster membership was visualized using heatmaps and each protein category was examined in the InterPro database, with significance determined by using a two-tailed Fisher's exact test (P<0.05).

Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining

IHC staining was conducted to exam kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogenes homologue (KRAS), methionine sulfoxide reductase A (MSRA), tyrosine 3-monooxygenase/ tryptophan 5-monooxygenase activation protein epsilon (YWHAE) and trans-acting transcription factor 1 (SP1) expression in EC (n=17) and atypical hyperplasia (n=3) sections from hysterectomy, as well as secretory phase endometrium specimens (n=2) from curettage in Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, along with xenograft mice tumor tissue sections in the HRW and NC groups. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study methodologies were approved by the Ethical Committee on Human Research of Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University (2020SQ301). All the participants have given the informed consent before taking part. Xenograft tumor tissue was excised from mice sacrificed by cervical dislocation, endometrial specimens were randomly examined by two independent investigators. For IHC analysis, tissues were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. Antigen was retrieved in citrate buffer (pH 6.0) after boiling, incubated with 0.01% Triton-X100 for 30 min, and 5% bovine serum albumin for 20 min. Anti-rabbit KRAS (1:50, Abcam, ab180772), anti-rabbit MSRA (1:25, Abcam, ab16803), anti-rabbit YWHAE (1:50, Abcam, ab43057) and antirabbit SP1 (1:50, Abcam, ab124804), primary antibodies were added overnight at 4 °C in a humidified chamber, coincubated with biotinylated secondary antibody for 50-min. For quantitative analysis of immunohistochemistry, plaque images were visualized and analyzed with a microscopic imaging analysis system (IX71, Olympus Ltd., Japan). The IHC staining was scored for both positive cells' proportion (0 score: 0%, 1 score: $\le 10\%$, 2 score: 11-50%, 3 score: 51–80%, and 4 score: \geq 80%) and staining intensity (0 score: negative, 1 score: weak, 2 score: moder-ate, and 3 score: strong), which ultimately resulted in designations of complete loss of expression, or weak, moderate, or strong expression, respectively. KRAS, MSRA and YWHAE was

mainly stained in cytoplasmic staining, SP1 was mainly stained in Nuclear.

Statistical analysis

For the identification of DEPs, a fold-change (FC) cutoff of >1.2 or <0.83 was established and significance was determined using a Student's *t*-test. When performing GO and KEGG pathway analyses, significance was determined using a two-tailed Fisher's Exact Test. For all statistical evaluations, significance was determined as P <0.05.

Results

HRW treatment inhibits endometrial tumorigenesis in vivo

We had verified that drinking HRW inhibited tumor growth in a BALB/c mice model of EC which were implanted with 1×10⁷ luciferase-AN3CA cells on the right shoulder of the animal in our previous research (14) (Figure S1A). All six BALB/c mice were fed with either HRW with the concentration of 1.0 ppm (HRW: H1-6) or purified normal control (NC: P1-3) water ad lib each day. In order to balance individual differences, tumor volume on the first day was defined as 1 and the durations of increased or decreased proportions were recorded as the relative tumor volume. We observed a decreased trend in the relative tumor volume (mm³) and mice weight (g) in the HRW group on all or most the observed days, compared with the control group (Figure S1B,C). There was also a decrease trend in total radiance (ROI) (p/sec/cm²/sr) which indicated lower tumor density in the HRW group on day 12, 13, 24, compared with the control group (P<0.05) (Figure S1D,E).

Mass spectrometry

We next chosen the tumor sample A1–3 (HRW group) and B1–3 (NC group) for TMTs exam, respectively. Prior to examination, the obtained protein samples were quantified (BSA assay) and qualified (SDS-PAGE) and showed good protein quality, sufficient quantity and consistency between samples. A recovery rate of peptides/proteins to the total initial quantity of protein is 45%. The spectra data was examined against the UniProt Mus Musculus database to identify protein groups (unique peptide >2), proteins and peptides (*Table 1* and Figure S2). Furthermore, comparisons between the peptide theoretical molecular weight and

	F - F	F F F				
Comple group		HRW			Pure water	
Sample group	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	B3
Quantitative result						
Concentration (µg/µL)	13.9	17.2	15.6	12.1	13.0	15.7
Volume (µL)	300	300	300	300	300	300
Total amount (µg)	4,170	5,160	4,680	3,630	3,900	4,710
Sample evaluation	а	а	а	а	а	а
Mass spectrometry result						
Database		Uni	prot_MusMuscul	us_16998_201809	905	
Protein group	2,015	1,983	1,957	2,029	2,022	1,968
Protein group (unique peptide >2)	1,233	1,244	1,260	1,263	1,243	1,254
Mass spectrometry evaluation	А	А	А	А	А	А

Table 1 MASCOT derived protein and peptide identification profile

Quantitative and SDS-PAGE results showed that the protein was of good quality, sufficient total amount, and have good parallelism between samples. Protein pre-mass spectrometry showed normal digestion and chromatographic mass spectrometry. HRW, hydrogen-rich water.

the experimentally determined molecular weight were also examined in MASCOT and results were displayed a normal distribution (Figure S2A). Additionally, ions score distributions were evaluated with a higher peptide score associated with a higher match to the theoretical peptide (Figure S2B). The molecular weight distribution had a range between 0 and over 250 kDa (Figure S2C) and the isoelectric point distribution had a range between 1 and 14 (Figure S2D). The peptide length distribution was also examined and reflected cleavage at lysine and arginine (Figure S2E). The protein sequence coverage distribution showed the percentage of protein sequences that align to the identified peptides (Figure S2F). The peptide count distribution reflected the number of distinct sequences within a protein group (Figure S2G). The protein ratio distribution evaluated the log2 (FC) of the experimental group when compared to the control protein group, and displayed a normal distribution (Figure S2H).

Enrichment-based clustering protein domain significant difference analysis

The aim of this project was to use an integrated approach combining TMT labeling and LC-MS/MS to quantify dynamic changes within the proteome of endometrial tumors exposed to HRW treatment. After performing a quality validation, LC-MS/MS was performed and a total of 427,451 (97,489 matched) spectra were obtained. Of these spectra, a total of 51,071 peptides, including 47,027 unique peptides and 6,974 proteins, were detected across all the samples with an average peptide mass error <10 ppm. Average A/B (mean value of group A/B) displayed difference comparison group, proteins that meet the screening fold greater than 1.2-fold (up-regulation) or less than 0.83-fold (down-regulation), which means P value (t-test) less than 0.05 are considered differential expression proteins. Based on the criterion to a total of 57 DEPs, 11 significantly DEPs were identified in the HRW group relative to the NC group. Among the identified DEPs, 5 were upregulated and average A/B were shown, including gata zinc finger domain containing 1 (Gatad1) (FC =1.497), tweety homologue (Ttyh3) (FC=1.411), nima-related kinase-4 (Nek4) (FC =1.396), dual-specificity tyrosine-regulated kinase 2 (Dvrk2) (FC =1.293) and GTPases of the immunity associated proteins 1 (Gimap1) (FC =1.222), while 6 were down-regulated, including SP1 (FC =0.811), male specific lethal 1 (Msl1) (FC =0.796), pleckstrin homology domain containing, family A member 7 (Plekha7) (FC =0.752), DTW domain containing 2 (Dtwd2) (FC =0.748), KRAS (FC =0.649), and MSRA (FC =0.547). The K-means clustering for these DEPs was visualized using a heatmap (Figure 1A, Table 2, Table S1).



Figure 1 Heatmap of K-means clustering of differential proteins. (A) Heatmap of K-means clustering of differential proteins in mice fed with HRW when compared to a purified water NC group. Average A/B are shown by different color bars. Red bars indicate up-regulated proteins (FC >1.2) include Gatad1 (FC =1.497), Ttyh3 (FC =1.411), Nek4 (FC =1.396), Dyrk2 (FC =1.293) and Gimap1 (FC =1.222). Blue bars indicate down-regulated proteins (FC <0.83) and include SP1 (FC =0.811), Msl1 (FC =0.796), Plekha7 (FC =0.752), Dtwd2 (FC =0.748), KRAS (FC =0.649) and MSRA (FC =0.547). (B) Top enrichment groups for each of the GO categories. The graph indicates the number of DEPs per group and the percentage of the 11 DEPs that is in each group. The top 4 rankings for each group, biological process (orange bar), molecular function (purple bar), and cellular component (red bar), are included. (C) Enrichment analysis of GO term with 11 differential proteins displayed by –log10(P value). (D) KEGG pathway enrichment based on DEPs. The scale bar represents the proportion of DEPs annotated to a given KEGG pathway relative to the total number of proteins annotated to the same KEGG pathway. included the binding region, biological regulation, endocrine resistance, estrogen signaling, choline metabolism in cancer and human cytomegalovirus infection. HRW, hydrogen-rich water; NC, normal control; FC, fold-change; GO, Gene Ontology; DEP, differentially expressed protein; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Function	Accession	Gene name	Average A	Average B	Average A/B	P value
Up-regulated	Q920S3	Gatad1	119.9	80.1	1.4968789	0.03311027
	Q6P5F7	Ttyh3	117.033333	82.9666667	1.41060667	0.04967401
	Q9Z1J2	Nek4	116.533333	83.4666667	1.39616613	0.01765495
	Q5U4C9	Dyrk2	112.766667	87.2333333	1.29270157	0.02412443
	P70224	Gimap1	110	90	1.22222222	0.0038861
Down-regulated	O89090	SP1	89.6	110.433333	0.81134923	0.04827976
	Q6PDM1	Msl1	88.6333333	111.366667	0.7958695	0.02366069
	Q3UIL6	Plekha7	85.8666667	114.133333	0.75233645	0.00498638
	Q9D0U1	Dtwd2	85.5666667	114.466667	0.74752475	0.00630704
	P32883	KRAS	78.7	121.3	0.64880462	0.00986105
	Q9D6Y7	MSRA	70.7	129.3	0.54679041	0.00242538
-	P62259	YWHAE	100.1	99.86667	1.002336	0.977884

Table 2 The DEPs identified in tumor from mice drinking HRW or pure water

Average A/B, the mean value of group A/B, means difference comparison group, proteins that meet the screening fold greater than 1.2-fold (up-regulation) or less than 0.83-fold (down-regulation) and P value (t-test) less than 0.05 are considered differential expression proteins. DEP, differentially expressed protein; HRW, hydrogen-rich water.

Bioinformatics analysis on GO annotation

To characterize the functions of the significant DEPs associated with hydrogen treatment, GO annotations were enriched. This classification system provides dynamically updated descriptions of gene and gene product properties from three perspectives: the biological process, molecular function and cellular component (23). GO annotations were derived from the UniProt-GOA database (http:// www.ebi.ac.uk/GOA/). For each category, enriched DEPs we compared to all the identified proteins using a twotailed Fisher's exact test, focusing on the top 12 rankings for each category, Figure 1B showed Enrichment analysis of GO term performed with 11 differential proteins displayed by -log10 (P value) (Tables S2,S3) (P<0.05). In the biological process category, 63.64% of proteins were associated with biological regulation (SP1, Msl1, Nek4, Gatad1, KRAS, MSRA and Dyrk2), 45.45% with cellular and metabolic processes (Msl1, Nek4, KRAS, MSRA and Dyrk2), and 9.09% with cellular component organization or biogenesis (Msl1). In the molecular function category, 72.73% of proteins were associated with binding activity (SP1, Plekha7, Nek4, Gatad1, MSRA, KRAS, Gimap1, and Dyrk2), 36.36% with catalytic activity (MSRA, KRAS, Nek4, and Dyrk2), and 9.09% with transcription regulator

activity (SP1). In the cellular component category, 27.27% of proteins were involved in cell parts and organelles (SP1, Msl1 and KRAS), 18.18% were involved in the proteincontaining complex (SP1, Msl1), and 9.09% were involved in membrane (KRAS) and organelle parts (Msl1) (*Figure 1C*, *Table 3*). Overall, the categories of binding region and biological regulation ranked the highest in association with hydrogen treatment in EC.

KEGG pathway annotation

KEGG pathway annotations were performed using the identified DEPs, with significance determined based on a two-tailed Fisher's exact test (P<0.05). The results identified a total of 87 pathways. The top 10 pathways were identified ranged by the –log10 (P value) (*Figure 1D, Table 4*, Table S4) and included mitophagy animal, endocrine resistance, breast cancer, estrogen signaling pathway and choline metabolism in cancer, human cytomegalovirus infection, dorso-ventral axis formation, thyroid cancer, aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption and cortisol synthesis. The DEPs associated with these pathways included SP1 and KRAS, indicating that they may be key proteins that are able to disrupt multiple pathways.

Table 3 The GO annotation

GO type	GO name	Sequence name	Percentage of proteins (%)	Term	TestSeqs	-Log10 (P value)
Biological process	Biological regulation	SP1, Msl1, Nek4, Gatad1, KRAS, MSRA, Dyrk2	63.64	Histone H4-K16 acetylation	Msl1	2.80209
	Cellular process	Msl1, Nek4, KRAS, MSRA, Dyrk2	45.45	Cellular protein modification process	MSRA	1.76381
	Metabolic process	Msl1, Nek4, KRAS, MSRA, Dyrk2	45.45	Protein phosphorylation	Nek4, Dyrk2	1.3205
	Cellular component organization or bio- genesis	Msl1	9.09	Regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II	SP1	1.21215
	-	-	_	Regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	SP1	0.78717
	-	-	-	Signal transduction	KRAS	0.63045
Molecular function	Binding	SP1, Plekha7, Nek4, Gatad1, MSRA, KRAS, Gimap1, Dyrk2	72.73	Peptide-methionine (S)-S-oxide reductase activity	MSRA	2.80209
	Catalytic activity	MSRA, KRAS, Nek4, Dyrk2	36.36	Protein kinase activity	Nek4, Dyrk2	1.84984
	Transcription regulator activity	SP1	9.09	GTP binding	KRAS	1.4167
	-	-	-	Sequence-specific DNA binding	Gatad1	1.06359
	-	-	_	DNA binding transcription factor activity	SP1	0.85774
	-	-	-	GTPase activity	KRAS	0.6617
	-	-	-	ATP binding	Nek4, Dyrk2	0.62254
	-	-	-	Zinc ion binding	Gatad1	0.54221
	-	-	-	Nucleic acid binding	SP1	0.42896
	-	-	-	Protein binding	Plekha7	0
Cellular component	Membrane	KRAS	9.09	Membrane	KRAS	0.65919
	Cell part	SP1, Msl1, KRAS	27.27	-	-	-
	Organelle	SP1, Msl1, KRAS	27.27	Nucleus	SP1	0.44002
	Protein-containing complex	SP1, Msl1	18.18	Transcription factor complex	SP1	0.69604
	Organelle part	Msl1	9.09	MSL complex	Msl1	2.20096

GO, Gene Ontology.

 Table 4 KEGG pathway annotation

Map name	DiffSeqs	-Log(P value)
Mitophagy-animal	SP1, KRAS	2.72546
Endocrine resistance	SP1, KRAS	2.6104
Breast cancer	SP1, KRAS	2.6104
Estrogen signaling pathway	SP1, KRAS	2.46304
Choline metabolism in cancer	SP1, KRAS	2.46304
Human cytomegalovirus infection	SP1, KRAS	1.84285
Dorso-ventral axis formation	KRAS	1.72633
Thyroid cancer	KRAS	1.55211
Aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption	KRAS	1.52894
Cortisol synthesis and secretion	SP1	1.50697

KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

Analysis of protein interaction networks

To further characterize the relationship between identified DEPs, PPI networks were constructed using Cytoscape Software (version 3.6.1) (Figure 2). Both the identified DEPs in mice and corresponded DEPs in human were displayed. Adjacent proteins were assumed to have similar clustering, which was composed of individual proteins through their interactions to participate in all aspects of life processes such as biological transmission, gene expression regulation, energy and material metabolism, and cell cycle regulation. In the PPI network graph, KRAS and MSRA, both downregulated, were found to interact with YWHAE directly (FC =1.0023, P=0.9778; Figure 2A). Once this interaction was expanded to a larger network in human, SP1 (downregulated) was also incorporated (Figure 2B). However, KRAS-YWHAE-MSRA was more closely connected in mice than in human PPI network, which need further explore.

TCGA database comparison and survival analysis of DEPs

In order to vertify the comparison and survival analysis the above DEPs, the identified DEPs (n=11) and YWHAE were examined against TCGA database analyzed by the online software UALCAN using Kaplan-Meier Plotter (http:// ualcan.path.uab.edu/analysis.html), with protein expression between EC and normal endometrial tissue examined, including correlations with stage, menopause status, histology and age (24,25). When examining the significantly down-regulated DEGs, the TCGA database showed a significant difference between endometrial tumors and normal endometrium for KRAS (P=6.06E–03), YWHAE (P=2.22E–16), SP1 (P=4.17E–09) and MSRA (P=4.47E–05) expression. The 5-year survival rate of EC patients between low or moderate versus high expression levels was also examined: KRAS (0.8 *vs.* 0.75, P=0.64), YWHAE (0.8 *vs.* 0.75, P=0.59), SP1 (0.75 *vs.* 0.73, P=0.95) and MSRA (0.75 *vs.* 0.7, P=0.91) (Figure S3). Thus, KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA were chosen to conduct IHC assay to validate their expression in EC tissue.

Clinical pathological factors associated with KRAS, SP1, YWHAE and MSRA

We stained human endometrial tissue sections selected among the patients in our hospital: EC (n=17), atypical hyperplasia (n=3) and secretory phase endometrium (n=2), and mice tumor tissue sections in the HRW (n=3) and NC (n=3) groups for KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA expression. IHC expression pattern of KRAS, SP1, YWHAE and MSRA protein in all tissue sections of EC and histopathological clinical evaluations were presented in Figure 3. Strong positive staining of KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and weak staining of MSRA were found in atypical hyperplasia endometrial tissue (3/3). The percentage of moderate to strong positive expression of KRAS, YWHAE and SP1 in EC specimens were 82.35% (14/17), 88.23% (15/17) and 82.35% (14/17), while weak expression of MSRA accounted for 88.23% (15/17) in EC specimens, respectively. Collectively, weak or negative expression of the four proteins were shown in secretory phase endometrium specimens (n=2) (Figure 3A). Most of the EC patients were observed in the age group of 44-83 years. The clinical evaluations of EC patients presented that out of 17 cases, 16 cases were identified pure endometrioid carcinoma, another case was serous carcinoma. No of the patient had parametrial, adnexa, endocervical glandular, cervical stromal connective tissue, and lymph node invasion. High risk factors include age over 50 years old, histologic grade 2 or 3, deeper myoinvasion (>50%), lymph-vascular space invasion (LVSI). The frequency of myometrial invasion was high (15/17, 88.24%), the mean depth of myometrial invasion was 0.45 ± 0.21 cm (*Figure 3B*). The histopathological type of EC patients: grade 1: nine patients, grade 2: three patients; grade 3: five patients. Compared between the moderate to strong and negative to weak expression cases of KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA, there was significantly difference

A

Msra



Figure 2 Interaction network examining DEPs. (A) Both the identified DEPs in mice and corresponded DEPs in human were displayed. Node, edge and degree made up the network element; node represented protein interaction; edge refers to the line connecting two nodes, representing the interaction between nodes; degree refers to the number of nodes interacting with this node, the size of which is proportional to the betweenness centrality of this node, the more channels that depend on this node, the greater its core degree. (B) KRAS and MSRA were found interact with YWHAE directly in mice. SP1 (down-regulated) was also incorporated in a larger network in human. DEP, differentially expressed protein.

between the expression group of CK7, CD10.

Kras

Paired box-8 (PAX-8), Mutl homolog l gene (MLH1) (KRAS: P=0.0001, YWHAE: P=0.0006, SP1: P=0.0273, MSRA: P=0.0003), and the expression group of P16 and P53 (KRAS: P=0.0157, YWHAE: P=0.0104, SP1: P=0.0041, MSRA: P=0.0104), as well as the expression group of Vim and Ki67 (YWHAE: P=0.0036, MSRA: P=0.0116) (*Figure 3C*, Table S5). Also, the expression of KRAS, YWHAE and SP1 protein were moderate to strong positive, and the expression of MSRA were weak or negative in HRW group in xenograft tumor tissue compared with that in NC group (*Figure 4*). However, since the samples size was limited, further samples should be collected to draw statistical conclusions.

Discussion

TMT labeling coupled with LC-MS/MS offers a robust approach that allows relative protein abundances for thousands of proteins in multiple samples to be identified simultaneously due to each isobaric compound containing a different number of heavy isotopes in their mass-reporter region. Furthermore, TMT labeling can greatly improve reproducibility while providing protein identification and relative quantification simultaneously (22). Herein, TMTs were used to quantify cleaved and uncleaved peptides within endometrial tumors harvested from mice treated with HRW, in order to be compared to profiles from the control samples. The results identified five up-regulated (Gatad1, Ttyh3, Nek4, Dyrk2, and Gimap1) and six down-regulated (Plekha7, Dtwd2, KRAS, Msl1, SP1, and MSRA) DEPs. These identified DEPs were then further analyzed using GO, KEGG, MASCOT, TCGA databases and Cytoscape as well as IHC.

Among the down-regulated proteins, KRAS is a member of the RAS superfamily proteins and a proto-oncogene (gene ID: 3845) located at chromosome 12 (12p 12.1) which encodes 21 kDa protein, it is primarily involved in the cellular response to extracellular signals. KRAS mutants in codon 12 frequently with an alteration of guanine to adenine (G>A) (26). KRAS mutations promote the down-regulation



Figure 3 Clinical pathological factors and IHC expression pattern of KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA. (A) KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA expression in atypical hyperplasia and EC tissue. Strong positive staining of KRAS, YWHAE and SP1, weak expression of MSRA expression were found in atypical hyperplasia and EC tissue. Weak expression of the four proteins were shown in secretory phase endometrium specimens. (B) Adverse risk factors in EC associated with KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA. (C) Other IHC markers associated with KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA. EC, endometrial cancer; IHC, immunohistochemical.



Figure 4 KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA expression in xenograft tumor tissue. Strong positive staining of KRAS, YWHAE and SP1, weak expression of MSRA expression were found in HRW group in xenograft tumor tissue compared with that in NC group by IHC staining. HRW, hydrogen-rich water; NC, normal control.

of membrane receptor signaling through mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphoinositide-3-kinase/v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene (PI3K/AKT) pathways, which result in dependent autophagy that is necessary for cancer progression as well as promote proliferation and subsequent carcinogenesis (27-32). KRAS-driven tumor regression and growth impaired was observed after nanoparticle-mediated delivery of siKRAS to KRAS-Mutant tumors in a mouse model (33,34), KRAS mutants knockout leads to inhibition of upstream signaling pathways (35,36). Further to this, KRAS mutations appear to be a stage ahead of TP53 involvement and clonal expansion (37,38). There is also a positive relationship between the KRAS gene and estrogen receptors (ER) (39), MSI-positive and a molecular assessment of the depth of myometrial invasion of EC, which are generally thought to occur early in the EC pathway (40,41). KRAS mutations are present in 6-16% of endometrial hyperplasia specimens, 88% complex atypical hyperplasia and 10-30% type I estrogen-related EC (37,40,42). An increase in KRAS expression has been associated with a poor outcome in 3% of the primary and 18% of metastatic lesions, and an aggressive phenotype (43). There is a clear trend in the literature showing that KRAS

plays the important role of predicting early checkpoint of transition from hyperplastic endometrium to early-stage well-differentiated (grade I) estrogen-related EC, as well as further transition from low- to high-grade type I EC (44-46).

The research progress of EC-related tumor biomarkers may carry important advantages in clinical practice of possible targeted therapy to EC patients in order to improve patient prognosis. A series of studies were devoted to inhibit KRAS mutations including KRAS direct binding molecules, KRAS membrane localization targeting enzymes, or downstream signaling (47,48), synthetic lethal interactors, inhibiting KRAS gene expression, though immune system pathways (49,50). Recent studies have also shown that a combination therapy of mitogen-activated extracellular kinase (MEK) inhibitors plus anti-estrogen agents may alter estrogen signaling in KRAS-mutant EC and thus improve the response rate (51). Herein, KRAS expression was significantly decreased in response to HRW treatment in xenograft mouse model (FC =0.649, P=0.009). Furthermore, GO annotation was associated with KRAS molecular and cellular functions, while KEGG analysis associated it with several cancer-related metabolic and hormonal pathways. Additionally, the IHC staining of KRAS in atypical

hyperplasia endometrial tissue was stronger than that in EC specimens. When examining KRAS in the TCGA database, differential expression between EC and normal endometrial tissues was noted (P=6.06E-03), with the survival rate between low/moderate and high expression almost reaching a significant level (0.8 vs. 0.75, P=0.64). Based on this, KRAS status could be regarded as a potential prognostic marker, both in terms of transition from pre-malignant to malignant cell status, as well as progression from early to more advanced invasive cancer (52). The SP1 transcription factor contains a zinc finger motif and binds to glypican (GpC)-rich promoter regions (53), it has been shown to act as either a promoter or repressor during cellular progression and proliferation (54,55). Dysregulation of SP1 has been found to be involved in many cancers, and results in the suppression of cell migration and invasion in squamous cervical cancer (56), promotes the migration of ovarian cancer cells (57) as well as stimulated stem cell of colon cancer growth and induce apoptosis (58). Additionally, SP1 knockdown could reverse the effects of miR-490 inhibition on the malignant behaviors of ishikawa cells and inhibited PI3K/AKT pathway which elucidated the roles SP1 axis in EC development and may provide a new strategy for EC therapy (59). In our study, SP1 expression decreased in response to HRW treatment (FC =0.811, P=0.048). Furthermore, the GO annotation associated SP1 with transcription and other cellular functions, while KEGG analysis associated it with cancer, metabolism, and estrogen associated pathways. When examining SP1 in the TCGA database and conducted by IHC, SP1 was differentially expressed when comparing EC and normal endometrial tissues (P=4.17E-09).

MSRA is located on chromosome 8p23.1 and encodes the methionine sulfoxide reductase, which is known to protect proteins from oxidation and acts as a reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenger (60). MSRA performed a tumor-suppressive effect in both lung squamous carcinoma and adenocarcinoma than in adjacent normal tissues (61). However, there is no literature report on the expression of MSRA in EC in recent years. We demonstrated that MSRA were down-regulated DEPs detected in our study and displayed weak expressed in EC specimens by IHC.

To examine how these proteins potentially interact, Cytoscape was utilized to construct interaction networks which was through the interaction of each other protein to form a macromolecular complex to complete its biological functions, such as genetic material replication, gene expression regulation, cell signal transduction, metabolism, cell proliferation, apoptosis and so on. Both KRAS and SP1 are associated with several cell membrane receptors and act as signal transduction molecules. Additionally, we showed that KRAS was interacted with MSRA though YWHAE directly.

YWHAE (14-3-3 ϵ) belongs to the 14-3-3 protein family, which are highly conserved from yeast to human and consist of seven mammalian isoforms (β , γ , ζ , η , θ , σ , and ε) with unique expression patterns in different cell types (62). YWHAE functions as a molecular framework to coordinate cellular signaling by binding to phosphoserineor phosphothreonine-containing proteins (63,64). As to uterus tumor, YWHAE-NUTM2A/B endometrial stromal sarcomas (ESS) is a recently described variant of high-grade endometrial stromal sarcomas (HG-ESS) which is included in the 2014 WHO Classification of Tumors of the Female Reproductive Organs excluded from the prior WHO 2003 Classification (63,64). YWHAE interactome in myeloma cells also revealed enrichment in PI3K-AKT-mTOR. YWHAE were moderate to strong positive expressed in EC specimens by IHC in our research and TCGA database.

14-3-3 proteins interact with many binding partners, including α Syn, affecting cell cycle and transcriptional control, signal transduction, intracellular trafficking, and regulation of ion channels (65). YWHAE lncRNA down- or up-regulation induced corresponding a significant down- or up-regulation of KRAS gene at the mRNA level, YWHAE encoded lncRNA promotes activation of KRAS/Erk1/2 and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways in HCT116 cells (66). Starbase data also showed positive correlation between YWHAE gene and KRAS gene in colorectal cancer tissues. Specifically, positive effect of MSRA-dependent interaction on the ubiquitination of 14-3-3 ζ through MSRA knockout mice exhibiting high levels of $14-3-3\zeta$ compared with the corresponding wild-type strain (67,68). KRAS, SP1 and YWHAE are all associated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway which are found implicated in EC pathogenesis, we hypothesized MSRA stimulated YWHAE, and YWAHE activated KRAS (Figure 5). On the other hand, both KRAS and YWHAE can be used as markers to evaluate tumor prognosis. KRAS mutations can cause resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors (69). Thus KRAS mutation has emerged as the major negative predictive biomarker for response to anti-EGFR chemotherapy agents in colorectal cancer patients (29,70). YWHAE-NUTM2A/B fusion subsequent to a t(10;17) (q22;p13) has been associated with a more aggressive neoplasm and a poorer prognosis when compared to its low-



Figure 5 Schematic diagram of the relationship between KRAS, YWHAE and MSRA. KRAS and YWHAE are all associated with PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway, MSRA stimulated YWHAE, and YWAHE activated KRAS.

grade counterpart in HG-ESSs (71). YWHAE translocation correlated with low mitotic index and improved prognosis of undifferentiated uterine sarcomas (72). YWHAE (14- $3-3\epsilon$) expression is predictor of clinical outcome in a large dataset of myeloma patients receiving bortezomib (BTZ) as first line therapy (62). The 5-year survival rate of EC patients between low or moderate versus high expression levels was also examined by TCGA: KRAS (0.8 *vs.* 0.75, P=0.64), YWHAE (0.8 *vs.* 0.75, P=0.59), SP1 (0.75 *vs.* 0.73, P=0.95), which predicted that the lower risk in prognosis of EC in the low-expression group than in the high-expression group.

Conclusions

In conclusion, based on the previous discussion, it would be interesting to conduct a prospective study to delineate the role of the DEPs like KRAS, MSRA, SP1 and YWHAE as focused biomarkers, and even regarding KRAS in predicting standard individual treatment approach of cancer progression after hyperplasia with or without atypia in endometrium. Clinicopathological IHC staining could be conducted to exam these biomarkers in patients' endometrial tissue to develop predictive outcome. However, how KRAS and MSRA interact with YWHAE, and the exact mechanism of how they affect EC requires further exploration. Additionally, one limiting factor of this study is the small sample size for the EC xenograft mouse model. Nevertheless, the biomarkers might provide a biased view as it may be optimistic to explain a complex carcinogenesis progression using the genes. Thus, future research should aim to validate KRAS, MSRA, SP1 and YWHAE as DEPs in subcutaneous tumorigenic tissue and determine their role in cell death in EC.

Acknowledgments

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript. *Funding:* This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (81902628), Translational Medicine Cross Research Fund of Shanghai Jiao Tong University School of Medicine (ZH2018QNB08), and Clinical Research Project of Shanghai Health Commission (202040455) and Project of Songjiang District Science and Technology Research (Medical and Health).

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the REMARK reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr-20-2969

Data Sharing Statement: Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr-20-2969

Peer Review File: Available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-2969

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/tcr-20-2969). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study methodologies were approved by the Ethical Committee on Human Research of Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University (2020SQ301). All the participants have given the informed consent before taking part. Experiments were performed under a project license (2020SQ301) granted by institutional board of the Ethical Committee on Human Research of Shanghai General Hospital affiliated to Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China, in compliance with

Yang et al. KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA in EC

the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No. 8023) guidelines for the care and use of animals.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin 2020;70:7-30.
- Piulats JM, Guerra E, Gil-Martín M, et al. Molecular approaches for classifying endometrial carcinoma. Gynecol Oncol 2017;145:200-7.
- Kandoth C, Schultz N, Cherniack AD, et al. Integrated genomic characterization of endometrial carcinoma. Nature 2013;497:67-73.
- 4. Le DT, Uram JN, Wang H, et al. PD-1 blockade in tumors with mismatch-repair deficiency. N Engl J Med 2015;372:2509-20.
- Simpkins F, Drake R, Escobar PF, et al. A phase II trial of paclitaxel, carboplatin, and bevacizumab in advanced and recurrent endometrial carcinoma (EMCA) - ScienceDirect. Gynecol Oncol 2015;136:240-5.
- Rose PG, Ali S, Moslemi-Kebria M, et al. Paclitaxel, carboplatin, and bevacizumab in advanced and recurrent endometrial carcinoma. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2017;27:452-8.
- Le DT, Durham JN, Smith KN, et al.Mismatch repair deficiency predicts response of solid tumors to PD-1 blockade. Science 2017;357:409-13.
- 8. Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology Uterine Neoplasms version 1. 2021 Available online: www. NCCN.org
- Onodera Y, Nam JM, Mei H, et al. Arf6-driven cell invasion is intrinsically linked to TRAK1-mediated mitochondrial anterograde trafficking to avoid oxidative catastrophe. Nat Commun 2018;9:2682-97.
- Montero J, Sarosiek KA, DeAngelo JD, et al. Druginduced death signaling strategy rapidly predicts cancer response to chemotherapy. Cell 2015;160:977-89.

- Zhao P, Jin Z, Chen Q, et al. Local generation of hydrogen for enhanced photothermal therapy. Nat Commun 2018;9:4241-52.
- Shang L, Xie F, Li J, et al. Therapeutic potential of molecular hydrogen in ovarian cancer. Transl Cancer Res 2018;7:988-95.
- Wang D, Wang L, Zhang Y, et al. Hydrogen gas inhibits lung cancer progression through targeting SMC3. Biomed Pharmacother 2018;104:788-97.
- Yang Y, Liu PY, Bao W, et al. Hydrogen inhibits endometrial cancer growth via a ROS/NLRP3/caspase-1/ GSDMD-mediated pyroptotic pathway. BMC Cancer 2020;20:28-46.
- Guan L, Zhao M, Qian Y, et al. Phenotypic analysis combined with tandem mass tags (TMT) labeling reveal the heterogeneity of strawberry stolon buds. BMC Plant Biol 2019;19:505-29.
- Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nature 2003;422:198-207.
- 17. Leichert LI, Gehrke F, Gudiseva HV, et al. Quantifying changes in the thiol redox proteome upon oxidative stress in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:8197-202.
- Paek J, Kalocsay M, Staus DP, et al. Multidimensional Tracking of GPCR Signaling via Peroxidase-Catalyzed Proximity Labeling. Cell 2017;169:338-49.e11.
- Mertins P, Mani DR, Ruggles KV, et al. Proteogenomics connects somatic mutations to signalling in breast cancer. Nature 2016;534:55-62.
- Götz S, García-Gómez JM, Terol J, et al. High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. Nucleic Acids Res 2008;36:3420-35.
- 21. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, et al. Gene ontology: tool for the unification of biology. Nat Genet 2000;25:25-9.
- 22. Wiśniewski JR, Zougman A, Nagaraj N, et al. Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. Nat Methods 2009;6:359-62.
- 23. Gene Ontology Consortium. Gene Ontology Consortium: going forward. Nucleic Acids Res 2015;43:D1049-56.
- 24. Vasaikar SV, Straub P, Wang J, et al. LinkedOmics: analyzing multi-omics data within and across 32 cancer types. Nucleic Acids Res 2018;46:D956-63.
- 25. Chandrashekar DS, Bashel B, Sah B, et al. UALCAN: a portal for facilitating tumor subgroup gene expression and survival analyses. Neoplasia 2017;19:649-58.
- Rosty C, Young JP, Walsh MD, et al. Colorectal carcinomas with KRAS mutation are associated with distinctive morphological and molecular features. Mod Pathol 2013;26:825-34.

- Miller KA, Yeager N, Baker K, et al. Oncogenic Kras requires simultaneous PI3K signaling to induce ERK activation and transform thyroid epithelial cells in vivo. Cancer Res 2009;69:3689-94.
- Polosukhina D, Love HD, Correa H, et al. Functional KRAS mutations and a potential role for PI3K/AKT activation in Wilms tumors. Mol Oncol 2017;11:405-21.
- Duffy MJ, Lamerz R, Haglund C, et al. Tumor markers in colorectal cancer, gastric cancer and gastrointestinal stromal cancers: European group on tumor markers 2014 guidelines update. Int J Cancer 2014;134:2513-22.
- Todoric J, Antonucci L, Di Caro G, et al. Stress-activated NRF2-MDM2 cascade controls neoplastic progression in pancreas. Cancer Cell 2017;32:824-39.e8.
- Perera RM, Svetlana S, Nicolay BN, et al. Transcriptional control of autophagy-lysosome function drives pancreatic cancer metabolism. Nature 2015;524:361-5.
- Guo JY, Karsli-Uzunbas G, Mathew R, et al. Autophagy suppresses progression of K-ras-induced lung tumors to oncocytomas and maintains lipid homeostasis. Genes Dev. 2013;27:1447-61.
- Yuan TL, Fellmann C, Lee CS, et al. Development of siRNA payloads to target KRAS-mutant cancer. Cancer Discov 2014;4:1182-97.
- Xue W, Dahlman JE, Tammela T, et al. Small RNA combination therapy for lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111:E3553-61.
- 35. Holderfield M, Deuker MM, Mccormick F, et al. Targeting RAF kinases for cancer therapy: BRAF-mutated melanoma and beyond. Nat Rev Cancer 2014;14:455-67.
- Young A, Lou D, Mccormick F. Oncogenic and wildtype ras play divergent roles in the regulation of mitogenactivated protein kinase signaling. Cancer Discov 2013;3:112-23.
- Llobet D, Pallares J, Yeramian A, et al. Molecular pathology of endometrial carcinoma: practical aspects from the diagnostic and therapeutic viewpoints. J Clin Pathol 2009;62:777-85.
- Duggan BD, Felix JC, Muderspach LI, et al. Early mutational activation of the c-Ki-ras oncogene in endometrial cancer. Cancer Res 1994;54:1604-7.
- Tu Z, Gui L, Wang J, et al. Tumorigenesis of K-ras mutation in human endometrial carcinoma via upregulation of estrogen receptor. Gynecol Oncol 2006;101:274-9.
- 40. Zauber P, Denehy TR, Taylor RR, et al. Strong correlation between molecular changes in endometrial carcinomas and concomitant hyperplasia. Int J Gynecol Cancer

2015;25:863-8.

- Alexander-Sefre F, Salvesen HB, Ryan A, et al. Molecular assessment of depth of myometrial invasion in stage I endometrial cancer: a model based on K-ras mutation analysis. Gynecol Oncol 2003;91:218-25.
- 42. Alomari A, Abi-Raad R, Buza N, et al. Frequent KRAS mutation in complex mucinous epithelial lesions of the endometrium. Mod Pathol 2014;27:675-80.
- 43. Salvesen HB, Carter SL, Mannelqvist M, et al. Integrated genomic profiling of endometrial carcinoma associates aggressive tumors with indicators of PI3 kinase activation. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009;106:4834-9.
- 44. Tsuda H, Jiko K, Yajima M, et al. Frequent Occurrence of c-Ki-ras Gene Mutations in Well Differentiated Endometrial Adenocarcinoma Showing Infiltrative Local Growth with Fibrosing Stromal Response. Int J Gynecol Pathol 1995;14:255-9.
- 45. Dobrzycka B, Terlikowski SJ, Mazurek A, et al. Mutations of the KRAS oncogene in endometrial hyperplasia and carcinoma. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 2009;47:65-8.
- van der Putten LJM, van Hoof R, Tops BBJ, et al. Molecular profiles of benign and (pre)malignant endometrial lesions. Carcinogenesis 2017;38:329-35.
- 47. Kimmelman AC. Metabolic dependencies in RAS-driven cancers. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:1828-34.
- Cox AD, Der CJ, Philips MR. Targeting RAS membrane association: back to the future for anti-RAS drug discovery? Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:1819-27.
- Bouclier C, Simon M, Laconde G, et al. Stapled peptide targeting the CDK4/Cyclin D interface combined with Abemaciclib inhibits KRAS mutant lung cancer growth. Theranostics 2020;10:2008-28.
- McCormick F. KRAS as a therapeutic target. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:1797-801.
- 51. Ring KL, Yates MS, Schmandt R, et al. Endometrial cancers with activating KRas mutations have activated estrogen signaling and paradoxical response to MEK inhibition. Int J Gynecol Cancer 2017;27:854-62.
- 52. Birkeland E, Wik E, Mj SS, et al. KRAS gene amplification and overexpression but not mutation associates with aggressive and metastatic endometrial cancer. Br J Cancer 2012;107:1997-2004.
- 53. Shen L, Qu X, Ma Y, et al. Tumor suppressor NDRG2 tips the balance of oncogenic TGF- β via EMT inhibition in colorectal cancer. Oncogenesis 2014;3:e86.
- 54. Yu J, Hua R, Zhang Y, et al. DNA hypomethylation promotes invasion and metastasis of gastric cancer cells by regulating the binding of SP1 to the CDCA3 promoter. J

Yang et al. KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA in EC

1312

Cell Biochem 2020;121:142-51.

- 55. Yue L, Li L, Liu F, et al. The oncoprotein HBXIP activates transcriptional coregulatory protein LMO4 via Sp1 to promote proliferation of breast cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 2013;34:927-35.
- 56. Wang F, Li Y, Zhou J, et al. miR-375 Is Down-Regulated in Squamous Cervical Cancer and Inhibits Cell Migration and Invasion via Targeting Transcription Factor SP1. Am J Pathol 2011;179:2580-8.
- Wang S, Li Y, Sun S, et al. Sp1 promotes ovarian cancer cell migration through repressing miR-335 expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2020;524:211-6.
- 58. Zhao Y, Zhang W, Guo Z, et al. Inhibition of the transcription factor Sp1 suppresses colon cancer stem cell growth and induces apoptosis in vitro and in nude mouse xenografts. Oncol Rep 2013;30:1782-92.
- 59. Shao W, Li Y, Chen F, et al. Long non-coding RNA DLEU1 contributes to the development of endometrial cancer by sponging miR-490 to regulate SP1 expression. Die Pharmazie 2018;73:379-85.
- Moskovitz J. Methionine sulfoxide reductase (MsrA) is a regulator of antioxidant defense and lifespan in mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:12920-5.
- 61. Chen K, Liu H, Liu Z, et al. Genetic variants in RUNX3, AMD1 and MSRA in the methionine metabolic pathway and survival in nonsmall cell lung cancer patients. Int J Cancer 2019;145:621-31.
- Xu Y, Fulciniti M, Samur MK, et al. YWHAE 14-3-3ε expression impacts the protein load contributing to proteasome inhibitor sensitivity in multiple myeloma. Blood 2020;136:468-79.
- 63. Oliva E, Loening T, Carcangiu ML, et al. Tumours of the uterine corpus: mesenchymal tumours. In Kurman RJ, Carcangiu ML, Herrington CS, et al. editors. WHO Classification of tumours of female reproductive organs.

Cite this article as: Yang Y, Sang ZY, Ma J, Zhu YP, Wu SF. KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA as biomarkers in endometrial cancer. Transl Cancer Res 2021;10(3):1295-1312. doi: 10.21037/tcr-20-2969

4th ed. Lyon: IARC Press, 2014:135-47.

- 64. Vajpeyi R. WHO classification of tumours: pathology and genetics of tumours of the breast and female genital organs. J Clin Pathol 2005;76:139-41.
- Cheah PS, Ramshaw HS, Thomas PQ, et al. Neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric behaviour defects arise from 14-3-3ζ deficiency. Mol Psychiatry 2012;17:451-66.
- 66. Bjeije H, Soltani BM, Behmanesh M, et al. YWHAE long non-coding RNA competes with miR-323a-3p and miR-532-5p through activating K-Ras/Erk1/2 and PI3K/Akt signaling pathways in HCT116 cells. Hum Mol Genet 2019;28:3219-31.
- 67. Oien DB, Osterhaus GL, Latif SA, et al. MsrA knockout mouse exhibits abnormal behavior and brain dopamine levels. Free Radic Biol Med 2008;45:193-200.
- Deng Y, Jiang B, Rankin CL, et al. Methionine sulfoxide reductase A (MsrA) mediates the ubiquitination of 14-3-3 protein isotypes in brain. Free Radic Biol Med 2018;129:600-7.
- 69. Corso G, Pascale V, Flauti G, et al. Oncogenic mutations and microsatellite instability phenotype predict specific anatomical subsite in colorectal cancer patients. Eur J Hum Genet 2013;21:1383-8.
- Karapetis CS, Khambata-Ford S, Jonker DJ, et al. K-ras mutations and benefit from cetuximab in advanced colorectal cancer. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1757-65.
- Lee CH, Ou WB, Marino-Enriquez A, et al. 14-3-3 fusion oncogenes in high-grade endometrial stromal sarcoma. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:929-34.
- 72. Gremel G, Liew M, Hamzei F, et al. A prognosis based classification of undifferentiated uterine sarcomas: Identification of mitotic index, hormone receptors and YWHAE-FAM22 translocation status as predictors of survival. Int J Cancer 2015;136:1608-18.

Supplementary



Figure S1 Effects of oral intake of HRW on xenografted mice. Effects of oral intake of HRW on xenografted mice. All nine female BALB/ c-nude mice weighing 18–25 g implanted with 1×10⁷ AN3CA-LUC cells at right shoulder were fed with either HRW with the concentration of 1.0 ppm or control (NC) purified water (20 mL/kg/d) for 24 days. Six for HRW-fed groups (H1, H2, H3, H4, H5, H6); Three for purified water-fed groups (P1, P2, P3). (A) The tumor volume (mm³) of the HRW group was decreased compared to the control group. (B) There was a trend in the relative tumor volume in the HRW group on day 1–24 compared to the control group. (C) The mice weight (g) in the HRW group was diminished on day 1–10, 19–24 compared to the control group. (D) Living tumor imaging after oral intake of HRW in xenografted mice. Photographs show tumor imaging in two groups, each containing three AN3CA-LUC cells—implanted mice per group in day 12 (H1, H2, H3, P1, P2, P3), day 13 (H1, H3, P2), day 24 (H2, P1, P3). The color scale is represented by ROI = radiance (p/sec/cm²/ sr). The color scale changes from blue to red, with the darker the color, the greater the tumor density. E. Mice subjected to HRW displayed a decreased ROI as assessed by luminescence analysis of Total Radiant Efficiency (day 12: HRW *vs.* NC, 5.90E+07 *vs.* 4.60E+08). Values are mean ± SD of six mice per HRW group and three mice per NC group. HRW, hydrogen-rich water; NC, normal control.



Figure S2 MASCOT derived protein and peptide identification profile. (A) DeltaM (ppm) distribution graph; (B) Ions score distribution; (C) molecular weight distribution; (D) isoelectric point distribution; (E) peptide length distribution; (F) protein sequence coverage distribution; (G) peptide count distribution; and (H) protein ratio distribution. Red lines indicate the percentage of peptides below the red spot.



Figure S3 Expression and survival rate from the TCGA database of KRAS, YWHAE, SP1 and MSRA in the HRW group between EC and normal endometrial tissues. Expression of KRAS (A) (11.768 *vs.* 9.877, P=6.06E–03), YWHAE (B) (632.309 *vs.* 409.535, P=2.22E–16), SP1 (C) (20.929 *vs.* 30.431, P=4.17E–09), MSRA (D) (9.911 *vs.* 13.317, P=4.47E–05) were significantly different between EC and normal endometrial tissues. The 5-year survival rate between low/moderate expression versus high expression in KRAS (E) (0.78 *vs.* 0.75, P=0.64), YWHAE (F) (0.78 *vs.* 0.75, P=0.59), SP1 (G) (0.75 *vs.* 0.73, P=0.95), MSRA (H) (0.8 *vs.* 0.7, P=0.91) are also displayed. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; HRW, hydrogen-rich water; EC, endometrial cancer.

Table S1 Protein quantification and difference analysis

Accession	Gene name	Abundances A1	Abundances A2	Abundances A3	Abundances B1	Abundances B2	Abundances B3	Average A	Average B	A/B	P value
Q9D6Y7	MSRA	64.4	60.5	87.2	126.1	128.4	133.4	70.7	129.3	0.54679041	0.00242538
P32883	KRAS	84.8	70.7	80.6	113.9	112.3	137.7	78.7	121.3	0.64880462	0.00986105
O89090	SP1	90	80.7	98.1	99.8	117.8	113.7	89.6	110.433333	0.81134923	0.04827976
Q6PDM1	MsI1	80.7	91.5	93.7	101.8	118.5	113.8	88.6333333	111.366667	0.7958695	0.02366069
Q3UIL6	Plekha7	88.6	76.4	92.6	111.6	114.8	116	85.8666667	114.133333	0.75233645	0.00498638
Q9D0U1	Dtwd2	86.6	79.2	90.9	123.1	109.9	110.4	85.5666667	114.466667	0.74752475	0.00630704
Q920S3	Gatad1	114.5	131.5	113.7	61.2	99.4	79.7	119.9	80.1	1.4968789	0.03311027
Q6P5F7	Ttyh3	139.6	103.9	107.6	73.9	85.9	89.1	117.033333	82.9666667	1.41060667	0.04967401
Q9Z1J2	Nek4	126.9	101.8	120.9	76	85.5	88.9	116.533333	83.4666667	1.39616613	0.01765495
Q5U4C9	Dyrk2	109.6	116.9	111.8	76.7	100.2	84.8	112.766667	87.2333333	1.29270157	0.02412443
P70224	Gimap1	111.8	105.6	112.6	89.1	86.2	94.7	110	90	1.22222222	0.0038861
P62259	YWHAE	94.2	95.2	110.9	88.6	103.3	107.7	100.1	99.8666667	1.00233645	0.97788418

Table S2 The GO annotation—cellular component, molecular function and biological process analysis

Level	GO ID	GO name	GO type	#Seqs	Sequence names
Cellular component analysis					
2	GO:0016020	Membrane	С	1	P32883
2	GO:0044464	Cell part	С	3	O89090, Q6PDM1, P32883
2	GO:0043226	Organelle	С	3	O89090, Q6PDM1, P32883
2	GO:0032991	Protein-containing complex	С	2	O89090, Q6PDM1
2	GO:0044422	Organelle part	С	1	Q6PDM1
Molecular function analysis					
2	GO:0005488	Binding	F	8	O89090, Q3UIL6, Q9Z1J2, Q920S3, Q9D6Y7, P32883, P70224, Q5U4C9
2	GO:0003824	Catalytic activity	F	4	Q9D6Y7, P32883, Q9Z1J2, Q5U4C9
2	GO:0140110	Transcription regulator activity	F	1	O89090
Biological process analysis					
2	GO:0065007	Biological regulation	Р	7	O89090, Q6PDM1, Q9Z1J2, Q920S3, P32883, Q9D6Y7, Q5U4C9
2	GO:0009987	Cellular process	Р	5	Q6PDM1, Q9Z1J2, P32883, Q9D6Y7, Q5U4C9
2	GO:0008152	Metabolic process	Р	5	Q6PDM1, Q9Z1J2, P32883, Q9D6Y7, Q5U4C9
2	GO:0071840	Cellular component organization or biogenesis	Р	1	Q6PDM1

GO, Gene Ontology.

Table S3 The GO annotation—enrichment

GO ID	Term	Category	FDR	P value	#Diff	Over/under	Column1
GO:0043984	Histone H4-K16 acetylation	Р	0.33224851	0.0016	1	Over	-2.8020893
GO:0008113	Peptide-methionine (S)-S-oxide reductase activity	F	0.21114953	0.0016	1	Over	-2.8020893
GO:0072487	MSL complex	С	0.3145793	0.0063	1	Over	-2.2009637
GO:0004672	Protein kinase activity	F	0.07579541	0.0141	2	Over	-1.8498393
GO:0006464	Cellular protein modification process	Р	0.3145793	0.0172	1	Over	-1.7638098
GO:0005525	GTP binding	F	0.15025453	0.0383	2	Over	-1.4166951
GO:0006468	Protein phosphorylation	Р	0.14046766	0.0478	2	Over	-1.3204971
GO:0006357	Regulation of transcription by RNA polymerase II	Р	0.39015907	0.0614	1	Over	-1.2121453
GO:0043565	Sequence-specific DNA binding	F	0.39015907	0.0864	1	Over	-1.0635901
GO:0003700	DNA-binding transcription factor activity	F	0.3052702	0.1388	1	Over	-0.8577383
GO:0045449	Regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	Р	0.1687281	0.1632	1	Over	-0.7871714
GO:0005667	Transcription factor complex	С	0.3145793	0.2014	1	Over	-0.6960365
GO:0003924	GTPase activity	F	0.3145793	0.2179	1	Over	-0.6616963
GO:0016020	Membrane	С	0.04616765	0.2192	1	Over	-0.6591897
GO:0007165	Signal transduction	Р	0.01735016	0.2342	1	Over	-0.6304537
GO:0005524	ATP binding	F	1	0.2385	2	Over	-0.6225449
GO:0055114	Oxidation-reduction process	Р	0.3145793	0.2513	1	Over	-0.5997396
GO:0006355	Regulation of transcription, DNA-templated	Р	0.3145793	0.2574	1	Over	-0.58942
GO:0008270	Zinc ion binding	F	0.3145793	0.2869	1	Over	-0.542206
GO:0005634	Nucleus	С	0.01735016	0.3631	1	Over	-0.4400207
GO:0003676	Nucleic acid binding	F	0.3145793	0.3724	1	Over	-0.4289617
GO:0005515	Protein binding	F	0.07579541	1	1	Under	0

GO, Gene Ontology.

Table S4 KEGG pathway annotation

<table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row>	Map ID	Map name	P value	FDR	Over/under	DiffSeqs	Column1
<table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row>	ko04137	Mitophagy-animal	0.001881647	0.136636429	Over	O89090 P32883	-2.72546
	ko01522	Endocrine resistance	0.002452453	0.05991131	Over	O89090 P32883	-2.6104
	ko05224	Breast cancer	0.002452453	0.05991131	Over	O89090 P32883	-2.6104
	ko04915	Estrogen signaling pathway	0 003443179	0 136636429	Over	089090 P32883	-2 46304
<table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row>	ko05231	Choling matchelism in capacit	0.003442170	0.05001131	Over	O80000 D32883	2.46304
	K005201		0.003443173	0.00000100		0090901 32000	-2.40304
<table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row>	K005163	Human cytomegalovirus intection	0.014359787	0.130030429	Over	069090 P32863	-1.04200
<table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row>	ko04320	Dorso-ventral axis formation	0.018778794	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.72633
<table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row></table-row>	ko05216	Thyroid cancer	0.028047455	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.55211
<table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row>	ko04960	Aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption	0.02958447	0.195717324	Over	P32883	-1.52894
<table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row>	ko04927	Cortisol synthesis and secretion	0.031119275	0.136636429	Over	O89090	-1.50697
<table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row> <table-row></table-row>	ko05219	Bladder cancer	0.034182268	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.4662
indexcontrol <th< td=""><td>ko05200</td><td>Pathways in cancer</td><td>0.044426876</td><td>0.136636429</td><td>Over</td><td>O89090 P32883</td><td>-1.35235</td></th<>	ko05200	Pathways in cancer	0.044426876	0.136636429	Over	O89090 P32883	-1.35235
index	ko05218	Melanoma	0.044833546	0.05991131	Over	P32883	-1.3484
<table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row></table-row>	ko04730	Long-term depression	0.047857093	0.05991131	Over	P32883	-1.32005
mathemAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerAnswerHarmonyAnswer <td>ko04916</td> <td>Melanogenesis</td> <td>0.047857093</td> <td>0.136636429</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>-1.32005</td>	ko04916	Melanogenesis	0.047857093	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.32005
InternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMMaxima withInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationInternationWHMInternationInternation <td>ko04214</td> <td>Anontosis_fly</td> <td>0.052376101</td> <td>0 199170754</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>_1 28087</td>	ko04214	Anontosis_fly	0.052376101	0 199170754	Over	P32883	_1 28087
mathemLabel 2Label 2Label 2Label 2Label 2Label 2KEDConstructureCOMMALADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCOMMALADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCOMMALADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCOMMALADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADENLADENLADENLADENKEDConstructureCADEN	ko04270		0.052276101	0.126626420	Over	D20002	1.20007
Name	K004370		0.052376101	0.130030429	Over	P32003	-1.20007
existingProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of yearACC PARAProceedings of yearProceedings of yearProceedings of year	koU4720	Long-term potentiation	0.052376101	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.28087
LaberLaberConstrained and angleConstrained and angleConstrained and angleConstrained and angleLaberConstrained and angleConstrained and angleConstrained and angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained and angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleConstrained angleLaberConstrained angleConstr	ko04917	Prolactin signaling pathway	0.052376101	0.157759072	Over	P32883	-1.28087
actionalactional actional actio	ko05213	Endometrial cancer (EC)	0.053878099	0.155952366	Over	P32883	-1.26859
mbd1mbd1mbd1mbd1mbd1mbd1mbd1mbd1MARDTarkarayana36000413740000Mbd2<	ko04213	Longevity regulating pathway-multiple species	0.056875603	0.155952366	Over	P32883	-1.24507
Add2Add2 migradiumAdd2 migradium<	ko05214	Glioma	0.059864471	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.22283
MAMEFormal stateFormal state <th< td=""><td>ko05221</td><td>Acute myeloid leukemia</td><td>0.059864471</td><td>0.145143881</td><td>Over</td><td>P32883</td><td>-1.22283</td></th<>	ko05221	Acute myeloid leukemia	0.059864471	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.22283
advectorconstraint any and mathemconstraint any and mathemconstraint any and mathemconstraint any and mathemconstraint any	ko04350	TGF-beta signaling pathway	0.061355674	0.145143881	Over	O89090	-1.21215
networkNetwork <td>ko04726</td> <td>Serotonergic synapse</td> <td>0.061355674</td> <td>0.136636429</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>-1.21215</td>	ko04726	Serotonergic synapse	0.061355674	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.21215
mdddiconstraint of a straint of	ko05223	Non-small cell lung cancer	0.061355674	0.156636655	Over	P32883	-1.21215
networknetworknetworknetworknetworknetworknetworknetwork00	ko04650	- Natural killer cell mediated cvtotoxicitv	0.062844726	0.151215165	Over	P32883	-1.20173
NameN	ko04664	For ensition BL signaling nathway	0.06/33163	0.207/00211	Over	P32883	_1 10158
marchemarc	ko0/725	Cholineraic synapse	0.06/33162	0 151015165	Over	P32883	_1 10150
A.A.D.0Antion contant and and and and and A.D.M.S.M. (A.D.M.S.C.)C.D.M.S.C.P.O.M.C.P.O.M.C.MADDAC.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAC.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.C.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.MADDAD.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C.D.D.M.S.C. <tdd.d.m.s.c.< td="">D.D.M.S.C.</tdd.d.m.s.c.<>	NUU4120		0.00400100	0.101210100			-1.19100
ModeSizeParticipace harder sorted is sorted as adds is a soft of a sof	ko05230	Central carbon metabolism in cancer	0.06433163	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.19158
bindersFifty pain k reaker y re	ko04928	Parathyroid hormone synthesis, secretion and action	0.06581639	0.136636429	Over	O89090	–1.18167
binderOutlingeler gring guilarge minuteOutling is a constraint of	ko01521	EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor resistance	0.068779485	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.16254
bbb	ko04662	B cell receptor signaling pathway	0.068779485	0.158842749	Over	P32883	-1.16254
bixX1Period barran300%30%9.0%9.0%9.1%9.1%B3010MMK digotagener, "1307%315%0.14840.0%90%9.1%1.5%B3011MMK digotagener, "1307%315%0.15%9.0%9.1%9.1%1.5%B3011Differer, and	ko04540	Gap junction	0.070257826	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.15331
bixD2Moza oranyCur2 (201)A. SinglayOreA. SinglayA. SinglayLadeauCur2 (201)Cur2 (201)Cur	ko05211	Renal cell carcinoma	0.070257826	0.155952366	Over	P32883	-1.15331
hadd2MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd2MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd2MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd3MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAXMAXMAXMAXMAXLabd4MAXMAX	ko05215	Prostate cancer	0.070257826	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.15331
Bell Control of Distance process of Distance P	ko04013	MAPK signaling pathway—fly	0.071734033	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.14427
matchesmatchesmatchesmatchesmatchesmatchesmatchesmatcheskdolf1Langualny againing pathony0.2071 60%0.37660%0.797P.2085-1.1062kdolf1Langualny againing pathony0.2072 67%0.37660%0.797P.2085-1.1062kdolf1Langualny againing pathony0.2072 67%0.37660%0.977P.2085-1.1062kdolf2Cale matches regulating pathony0.2072 67%0.37660%0.977P.2085-1.1067kdolf2Tal mapter synchig pathony0.2072 67%0.37660%0.977P.2085-1.1067kdolf2Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2072 67%0.37660%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf2Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2025 67%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf2Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2025 67%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf2Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2025 67%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf3Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2025 67%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf3Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2025 67%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf4Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2026 67%0.976P.2085-1.0067kdolf4Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2026 67%0.977P.2085-1.0067kdolf4Cale mapter synchig pathony0.2026 67%0.976P.2085-1.0067kdolf4Cale mapter synchig pathony0.20	ko0/1912	GnBH signaling pathway	0.071734033	0 136636429	Over	P32883	_1 1//27
matched m	ko05025		0.071704033	0.136636429	Over	D20000	1 14427
MAD121MAD24MAD24DowMAD38MAD34MoreMAD384 <t< td=""><td>K005235</td><td>PD-LT expression and PD-T checkpoint pathway in cancer</td><td>0.071734033</td><td>0.136636429</td><td>Over</td><td>P32883</td><td>-1.14427</td></t<>	K005235	PD-LT expression and PD-T checkpoint pathway in cancer	0.071734033	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.14427
indexityBits sphing pathogen work indexity indexity of wire sphing pathogen spacing pathogen spacing pathogen spacing pathogen spacing pathogen space sphingDisk sphing pathogen space sphingDisk sphing pathogen space sphingDisk sphing pathogen sphing	ko04211	Longevity regulating pathway	0.07614988	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.11833
ModelsSystemSyst	ko04012	ErbB signaling pathway	0.077617579	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.11004
indexxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx	ko04550	Signaling pathways regulating pluripotency of stem cells	0.077617579	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.11004
indexideCallescope regulator inguing antiwyOriginationOriginat	ko05220	Chronic myeloid leukemia	0.077617579	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.11004
xkelidePergelse onergane industria0.2003 rfs0.2007 rfs0.2004 rfs0.2008 rfs0	ko04660	T cell receptor signaling pathway	0.079083158	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.10192
intendingParameterDescriptionParameterParameterParameter1001000Calvesta sumerCalvesta	ko04914	Progesterone-mediated oocyte maturation	0.079083158	0.220457324	Over	P32883	-1.10192
kultistaAushaga-yaral0.20207800.4541081OverP20281-1.08414kottel0.68007810.5800482OverP20283-1.08414kottel0.4404 springenter0.69102310.5800482OverP20283-1.0707kottel0.4404 springenter0.69102310.5800482OverP20283-1.0707kottel0.44042310.5850520OverP20281-1.0807kottel0.44042310.58505200.5860492OverP20281-1.0807kottel0.68073920.5850520OverP20281-1.0807kottel0.68073920.5850520OverP20281-1.0807kottel0.68073920.5850520OverP20281-1.0807kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.69743200.5850520OverP20281-1.0807kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.69744180.4514881OverP20281-1.0807kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.69744180.5850520OverP20281-0.08148kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.10141410.18610520OverP20281-0.08148kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.10141410.18610520OverP20281-0.08148kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.10141410.18610520OverP20281-0.08148kottelNatorphoten fragency entrep0.10141410.18610520OverP20281-0.08148kottel	ko05212	Pancreatic cancer	0.080546621	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.09395
NAC210OutsetOutset0.00207800.1980962OverP2020-1.0007KOR180Cabing andore0.004/021310.1980962Over0.9000-1.0007KOR180AGE RAGE seguing pathway nelebric complexion0.004/04100.10107/04OverP2083-1.0007KOR180Cabing andore seguing pathway nelebric complexion0.004/04100.10107/04OverP2083-1.0059KOR190Cabing andore seguing pathway nelebric complexion0.00570520.19806262Over0.9000-1.0559KOR190Cabong and seguing pathway0.00570520.19805662Over0.9000-1.0559KOR190Nacolenn0.005055620.19856662OverP2083-1.0021KOR190Nacolenn0.005055620.9857662OverP2083-1.0021KOR190Nacolenn signating pathway0.005055620.947P2083-1.0021KOR191Nacolenn signating pathway0.00516310.19856629OverP2083-1.0021KOR191Nacolenn signating pathway0.00510310.19856629OverP2083-0.9051KOR191Nacolenn signating pathway0.10517130.9856629OverP2083-0.9051KOR191Nacolenn signating pathway0.10517130.947P2083-0.9351KOR191Nacolenn signating pathway0.105175130.947P2083-0.9351KOR191Nacolenn signating pathway0.10507670.19817920.947P2083-0.9351	ko04138	Autophagy-veast	0.082007968	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.08614
No.8184 Caulting syndrome Count of the sequence signaling pathwayee Count of the seq	ko05210	Colorectal cancer	0.082007968	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.08614
manufactor Catego Section Sequence Section Sequence Section Se	ko04934		0.08/02/331	0.136636429	Over	089090	_1 07097
Nonles Chype actinitystemi systemic printatione Control Data Da	ke04605		0.004024001	0.106606420	Over	D20892	1.07007
Nacksky<	K004625	C-type lectin receptor signaling pathway	0.084924331	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.07097
Lad.522Gazit: cancer0.04842.330.158552800.verP380-1.0767KACH111Cell vigerguat0.0863773520.138553280.ver0.28020-1.05559KAC6502Transcriptional misregulation in cancer0.0863773520.138558290.verP32853-1.00559KAC60267Relatin algrafting pathway0.0079440180.145143810.verP52853-1.00520KAC0472Procorolinga D agnaing pathway0.0079440180.145143810.verP52853-1.00522KAC0473Procorolinga D agnaing pathway0.0079440180.145143810.verP52853-1.00522KAC04714Paco Seguing pathway0.0091440180.145143810.verP52853-0.09624KAC04714Agein signifing mathway0.000143130.1300546200.verP52853-0.096343KAC4722Minorino signifing mathway0.000143130.1300546200.verP52853-0.096343KAC4724Minorino signifing mathway0.150244350.417915160.verP52853-0.095354KAC4724Minorino signifing mathway0.150244350.417915160.verP52853-0.095354KAC4724Minorino signifing mathway0.150244350.419951250.verP52853-0.095354KAC4724Apoptiei0.15024430.14992470.verP52853-0.095354KAC4724Apoptiei0.15024430.149935250.verP52853-0.095354KAC5180Apoptiei0.12074254 <td>ko04933</td> <td>AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications</td> <td>0.084924331</td> <td>0.199170754</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>-1.07097</td>	ko04933	AGE-RAGE signaling pathway in diabetic complications	0.084924331	0.199170754	Over	P32883	-1.07097
ind<	ko05226	Gastric cancer	0.084924331	0.155952366	Over	P32883	-1.07097
indexpositional misequiation in cancer0.0003793520.0783584490.0780.089809-1.06389iod0040Alcoholarm0.0003793520.136836420.98712383-1.03359iod0412Pleasholaganing pathway0.00079440180.1454438410.9712383-1.0502iod0420Oxytoch signaling pathway0.0077440180.1451438410.9712383-1.0502iod0421Oxytoch signaling pathway0.007440180.1451438410.9712383-0.0072iod0421Tycoch formoro signaling pathway0.1002143130.130354290.97123833-0.09848iod0411Tycoch formoro signaling pathway0.100214330.136354290.97123833-0.99848iod0412Apelin signaling pathway0.100214330.136354290.97123833-0.99848iod0412Neurotrophin signaling pathway0.100214330.156556850.97123833-0.99848iod0412Neurotrophin signaling pathway0.1015014110.24171260.9712383-0.93184iod0412Micro/Nuk In cancer0.116453050.181796870.9712383-0.93184iod0413Micro/Nuk In cancer0.116453050.181796870.9712383-0.93184iod0414Hepating Cathway0.122016270.164295100.9712383-0.93184iod0415Nordo signaling pathway0.122016270.181795860.9712383-0.93184iod0416Relaging pathway0.12201627 <td< td=""><td>ko04111</td><td>Cell cycle-yeast</td><td>0.086379352</td><td>0.136636429</td><td>Over</td><td>Q5U4C9</td><td>-1.06359</td></td<>	ko04111	Cell cycle-yeast	0.086379352	0.136636429	Over	Q5U4C9	-1.06359
kck63diAlkoholmD085/9523D1885842OverP12826-1.0528loo4027Pleagholyans biguning pathway0.095055330.136036423OverP12836-1.0208kc04021Oyce aniganing pathway0.097440180.14514381OverP12828-1.0002kc04031Thyola harmone signaling pathway0.099508330.14514381OverP12828-1.0002kc04031Thyola harmone signaling pathway0.09246460.13605423OverP12828-0.0905kc04071Spinoging pathway0.102476310.13605423OverP12828-0.0905kc04071Spinoging pathway0.102476340.13605423OverP12828-0.0905kc04071Apath signaling pathway0.102476340.15605655OverP12828-0.0905kc04071Apath signaling pathway0.102476470.15605655OverP12828-0.0905kc04071Apathasi cancer0.10974530.15605655OverP12828-0.0905kc04071Houtins of pathway0.101453050.1414613OverP12828-0.0351kc04071Houtins of pathway0.101453050.1414613OverP12828-0.0351kc04071Houtins of pathway0.101453050.1414613OverP12828-0.0351kc04071Houtins of pathway0.11453050.1414613OverP12828-0.0351kc04071Houtins of pathway0.12427870.1414613OverP12828-0.0351	ko05202	Transcriptional misregulation in cancer	0.086379352	0.136636429	Over	O89090	-1.06359
icoldencyRelations/paraling pathway0.069505900.108084/29OverP2283-1.0992icoldencyOxylach signaling pathway0.097940180.14514381OverP3283-1.0992icoldencyFox/signaling pathway0.098502050.14514381OverP3283-0.9864icoldencyTryod formane signaling pathway0.1085802050.14514381OverP3283-0.98645icoldencySphinoplica gating pathway0.102143130.13685629OverP3283-0.98645icoldencySphinoplica gating pathway0.102143630.15121165OverP3283-0.98645icoldencyNucroPNain gating pathway0.109576330.15121165OverP3283-0.98645icoldencyNucroPNain gating pathway0.109576330.16170697OverP3283-0.93656icoldencyNucroPNain gating pathway0.109576330.16170697OverP3283-0.93656icoldencyNucroPNain gating pathway0.109576330.16140613OverP3283-0.93656icoldencyNucroPNain0.12078250.91679283-0.93656-0.93656icoldencyNucroPNain0.12078250.91679283-0.93656-0.93656icoldencyNucroPNain0.12078260.9177775OverP3283-0.93656icoldencyNucroPNain0.13981980.1496987OverP3283-0.8574icoldencyNucroPNain0.139814620.91685629OverP328	ko05034	Alcoholism	0.086379352	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.06359
ix04072Phospholipase D signaling pathway0.097944180.44514381OverP2283-1.0092ix04086Exclo signaling pathway0.009840000.44514381OverP2283-1.0027ix040819Thytol hornone signaling pathway0.102440450.13863429OverP3283-0.99648ix04711Sphinoigidi signaling pathway0.102440450.13863429OverP3283-0.99648ix04721Neutorphin signaling pathway0.102440450.13863429OverP3283-0.99648ix04721Neutorphin signaling pathway0.10514190.516126OverP3283-0.98184ix042010Applind signaling pathway0.10145080.4164518OverP3283-0.93184ix04210Applind signaling pathway0.116450880.16144013OverP3283-0.93386ix04210Hogating pathway0.116450880.16144013OverP3283-0.93386ix042010Hogating pathway0.129278260.16145013OverP3283-0.93381ix04202Hogating pathway0.129278260.16145025OverP3283-0.89191ix04203Hogating pathway0.129040270.13636429OverP3283-0.89191ix04204Hogating pathway0.129040270.13636429OverP3283-0.89191ix04204Hogating pathway0.129040270.13636429OverP3283-0.89191ix04204Hogating pathway0.139204270.13636429Over <td< td=""><td>ko04926</td><td>Relaxin signaling pathway</td><td>0.095065396</td><td>0.136636429</td><td>Over</td><td>P32883</td><td>-1.02198</td></td<>	ko04926	Relaxin signaling pathway	0.095065396	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-1.02198
kołd2:Oytoch signaling pathway0.097440180.14514881OverP3283-1.0027kołd36Fxo dignaling pathway0.109840250.145143881OverP3283-0.0874kołd371Spingolipi signaling pathway0.1002463450.13683429OverP3283-0.98432kołd371Aelni signaling pathway0.10074530.13683429OverP3283-0.98432kołd371Aelni signaling pathway0.10074530.13683429OverP3283-0.98432kołd372McortRNA in curocr0.103975830.13683655OverP3283-0.98432kołd370McortRNA in curocr0.103976330.161215165OverP3283-0.93365kołd370McortRNA in curocr0.103976330.144736007OverP3283-0.93365kołd370AgotośAnorogickien signaling pathway0.11450580.184798087OverP3283-0.93365kołd380Ason guidance0.11450580.15931252OverP3283-0.93365kołd380Ason guidance0.12978280.15931252OverP3283-0.93365kołd391Iaaini agraining pathway0.12978280.15977757OverP3283-0.8379kołd391Iaaini agraining pathway0.139940270.13683429OverP3283-0.8879kołd391HepathisIagaing pathway0.139940270.13683429OverP3283-0.8879kołd391HepathisHepathis0.139940270.13683429Ov	ko04072	Phospholipase D signaling pathway	0.097944018	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.00902
ko0488FoxO signaling pathway0.998302050.14514381OverP32883-1.0027ko04010Thyroid hormone signaling pathway0.002433450.13683423OverP32883-0.99045ko04772Achini signaling pathway0.102470350.13683423OverP32883-0.99432ko04722Neurotrophin signaling pathway0.105174190.151219165OverP32883-0.99432ko04723MacroffNak in cancer0.103975630.16858656OverP32883-0.99163ko04104MacroffNak in cancer0.115611610.23171211OverP32883-0.93385ko04105m70R signaling pathway0.116410510.18470687OverP32883-0.93385ko04106MacroffNak in cancer0.129702850.19871825OverP32883-0.93381ko04108Rogulance0.129702850.19871825OverP32883-0.93381ko04104Insulina ipalling pathway0.129020270.1757475OverP32883-0.8919ko04105Insuli angaling pathway0.129040270.13683429OverP32883-0.8919ko04104Insuli angaling pathway0.129040270.13683429OverP32883-0.8919ko05105Hepatosollare carcinoma0.1392040270.13683429OverP32883-0.8919ko05104Insuli angaling pathway0.1392040270.13683429OverP32883-0.8919ko05105Hepatosollare carcinoma0.1392040270.13683429 </td <td>ko04921</td> <td>Oxytocin signaling pathway</td> <td>0.097944018</td> <td>0.145143881</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>-1.00902</td>	ko04921	Oxytocin signaling pathway	0.097944018	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.00902
kod4919Tyrold hormone signaling pathway0.100814310.138638429OverP32883-0.39643kod471Apeinopiole signaling pathway0.1022483450.138638429OverP32883-0.98035kod437Apeinopiole signaling pathway0.1036783030.138638629OverP32883-0.98032kod5200MucroPhaki nancer0.1039754530.15863865OverP32883-0.90181kod210Appicasi0.116410410.234178121OverP32883-0.90342kod5100mTG signaling pathway0.1164530580.1184796867OverP32883-0.90384kod4002Chernokine signaling pathway0.120702850.19831255OverP32883-0.90381kod4002Chernokine signaling pathway0.1209040270.1777776OverP32883-0.90391kod4002Insulin signaling pathway0.1209040270.1775775OverP32883-0.88919kod4104Anophag-animal0.1209040270.138638429OverP32883-0.88919kod4104Hapaticallur carcinoma0.13071740.14862526OverP32883-0.88919kod5104Hapationg pathway0.13987180.138638429OverP32883-0.87539kod5104Hapationg pathway0.139871830.138638429OverP32883-0.8754kod5104Hapationg pathway0.139871840.138638429OverP32883-0.8754kod5107Kaposi sacconical socicieti frepresvinus infection0.138	ko04068	FoxO signaling pathway	0.099380205	0.145143881	Over	P32883	-1.0027
kołd/17 Spłingolijd isjanije pathway 0.102245345 0.136636429 Over P2883 -0.99035 kold/371 Apein signaling pathway 0.10367303 0.136636429 Over P22883 -0.99432 kod/371 Metorbopin signaling pathway 0.10367403 0.166636655 Over P32883 -0.99188 kod200 Metorbopin signaling pathway 0.10367463 0.186708687 Over P32883 -0.93385 kod160 moralina inginaling pathway 0.114643058 0.181708687 Over P32883 -0.93385 kod160 Ason guidance 0.12267628 0.19873225 Over P32883 -0.93385 kod1610 kodamore 0.12267628 0.19873225 Over P32883 -0.93385 kod4062 Chemokine signaling pathway 0.124678671 0.184796867 Over P32883 -0.93385 kod4010 Inatiai signaling pathway 0.12606427 0.1775745 Over P32883 -0.88919 kod4101 Inatiai signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.13	ko04919	Thyroid hormone signaling pathway	0.100814313	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.99648
Local Control Link Control Direction Direction Direction Direction kcol4712 Neurotrophin signaling pathway 0.108175433 0.138638429 Over P32883 -0.99132 kcol4722 Neurotrophin signaling pathway 0.108175453 0.158638655 Over P32883 -0.99138 kcol410 Apoptosis 0.118641841 0.234178121 Over P32883 -0.93385 kcol4150 mTOR signaling pathway 0.118643058 0.184476687 Over P32883 -0.93385 kcol4160 Hepathis C 0.118643058 0.184796687 Over P32883 -0.93385 kcol4030 Aven guidance 0.12078285 0.18439687 Over P32883 -0.93385 kcol4040 Atonguidane pathway 0.12964027 0.14668256 Over P32883 -0.88919 kcol4010 Inaulin signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.14866329 Over P32883 -0.88919 kcol4140 Autophayanimal 0.129064027 0.14866329 Over<	ko04071	Sphingolipid signaling pathwav	0.102246345	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.99035
Natura (non-space partma) Non-space Non-space Non-space Non-space Non-Space Non-space 0.1937543 0.151215165 Over P3283 -0.9738 Non-Space Non-Space 0.19375453 0.156836655 Over P3283 -0.93385 Non-Space Non-Space 0.116453058 0.184796687 Over P3283 -0.93385 Non-Space Non-Space 0.116453058 0.16146051 Over P3283 -0.93385 Non-Space Non-Space 0.116453058 0.16140513 Over P3283 -0.93385 Non-Space Non-Space 0.116453058 0.19312225 Over P3283 -0.93385 Non-Space Non-Space 0.12064027 0.17757475 Over P3283 -0.88919 Non-Space Insuming pathway 0.12064027 0.136536429 Over P3283 -0.88919 Non-Space Insuming pathway 0.12064027 0.136536429 Over P3283 -0.8794 Noo-Space Insumi	ko04371	Apelin signaling pathway	0 103676303	0 136636429	Over	P32883	-0.98432
Instant Instant <t< td=""><td>ko04722</td><td></td><td>0 10510/10</td><td>0 151215165</td><td>Over</td><td>P32883</td><td>-0 07838</td></t<>	ko04722		0 10510/10	0 151215165	Over	P32883	-0 07838
maccade matrix 0.193/933 0.1930/933 0.097 P32883 -0.39314 ko04120 Apoptosis 0.11643058 0.18479667 Over P32883 -0.39384 ko04150 mTOR sipaling pathway 0.116453058 0.1814796677 Over P32883 -0.93385 ko04020 Aenoskie signaling pathway 0.12078285 0.19312525 Over P32883 -0.93385 ko04030 Axon guidance 0.120078285 0.19312525 Over P32883 -0.93385 ko04030 Rap1 signaling pathway 0.124976671 0.184796687 Over P32883 -0.89319 ko04010 Insulin signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.148882265 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko04140 Insulin signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.89794 ko04140 Rastignaling pathway 0.1390942 0.994 P32883 -0.87738 ko04140 Restignaling pathway 0.13959183 0.13663429 Over P32883	ko05206		0 100275450	0 156626655	Over	D20802	_0.06102
kool 200 Approbasis 0.1110/11041 0.2341/81/21 0.vor P32883 -0.93385 kool150 mTOR signaling pathway 0.116453058 0.184796687 Over P32883 -0.93385 kool450 Axon guidance 0.12078285 0.195312525 Over P32883 -0.93385 kool4002 Chemokine signaling pathway 0.12078285 0.195312525 Over P32883 -0.93385 kool4002 Chemokine signaling pathway 0.12064027 0.1757475 Over P32883 -0.88919 kool4101 Insulin signaling pathway 0.12064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.88919 kool4101 Insulin signaling pathway 0.13064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.87934 kool5161 Hopatotilar carcinoma 0.132904027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.86793 kool5161 Hopatotilar sanesconce 0.133231178 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.86646 kool5162 Kaposi saconar-associated herpesvirus infection 0.15820494 </td <td>K005206</td> <td></td> <td>0.109375453</td> <td>0.100000000</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32003</td> <td>-0.90108</td>	K005206		0.109375453	0.100000000	Over	P32003	-0.90108
Koul isou Int H signaling pathway 0.116433058 0.18479667 Over P22883 -0.93385 ko05160 Hepatitis C 0.11643053 0.161440513 Over P32883 -0.93385 ko04080 Axon guidance 0.12076285 0.195312625 Over P32883 -0.93385 ko040910 Bagt signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.14862256 Over P32883 -0.89919 ko040910 Insulin signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.14862256 Over P32883 -0.89919 ko04011 Insulin signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.89919 ko04140 Hopatocellular carcinoma 0.139064027 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.8794 ko04140 Hapattits B 0.131641146 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.8646 ko05167 Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.14284132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7894 ko05167 Karao ricarcinogenesis 0.16927988 0.1366	K004210		0.110041041	0.2341/8121	Over	r02000	-0.93914
ko05160 Hepatitis C 0.114653058 0.161440513 Over P32883 -0.93385 ko04360 Axon guidance 0.122078285 0.198312525 Over P32883 -0.91336 ko04060 Rapt signaling pathway 0.124878671 0.184796687 Over P32883 -0.89319 ko04010 Insuina signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.14686256 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko04140 Insuina signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.146863269 Over P32883 -0.8919 ko04140 Autophay—animal 0.13924178 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.87794 ko04141 Hapatitis B 0.133231178 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.86646 ko04142 Ras signaling pathway 0.13939178 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.86646 ko04141 Rassignaling pathway 0.13929183 0.16663429 Over P32883 -0.86774 ko05167 Horga is accoma-associated herpswins infection 0.14929188 0.16663429	ко04150	m I UK signaling pathway	0.116453058	0.184796687	Over	P32883	-0.93385
k04360 Axon guidance 0.122078285 0.195312525 Over P32883 -0.91336 k004002 Chemokine signaling pathway 0.124678671 0.144796687 Over P32883 -0.90351 k004015 Hapat signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.17757475 Over P32883 -0.88919 k004215 Hepatocellular carcinoma 0.129064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.88919 k004216 Hepatocellular carcinoma 0.129064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.88919 k00525 Hepatocellular carcinoma 0.129064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.8794 k005161 Hapatocellular carcinoma 0.129064027 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.8646 k005161 Hepatits B 0.13959183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.8646 k005162 Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpsvirus infection 0.14284132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 k005205 Protoslycans in cancer 0.169927986 <t< td=""><td>ko05160</td><td>Hepatitis C</td><td>0.116453058</td><td>0.161440513</td><td>Over</td><td>P32883</td><td>-0.93385</td></t<>	ko05160	Hepatitis C	0.116453058	0.161440513	Over	P32883	-0.93385
ko04062 Chemokine signaling pathway 0.124878671 0.184796687 Over P32883 -0.90351 ko04015 Rap1 signaling pathway 0.12004027 0.17757475 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko04910 Insuin signaling pathway 0.12004027 0.148682260 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko05225 Hepatocellular carcinoma 0.12004027 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.87994 ko04140 Hepatosellular carcinoma 0.13184416 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.87594 ko04140 Ras signaling pathway 0.135992 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.87594 ko04216 Cellular senescence 0.13759183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.8674 ko05205 Proteoglycans in cancer 0.13929184 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05166 Human Troell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.16927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05016 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0	ko04360	Axon guidance	0.122078285	0.195312525	Over	P32883	-0.91336
ko04015 Rap1 signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.17757475 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko04910 Insulin signaling pathway 0.129064027 0.148682256 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko05225 Hepatocellular carcinoma 0.129064027 0.13863429 Over P32883 -0.88919 ko0140 Autophagy—animal 0.13184146 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.87994 ko04014 Hepattis B 0.133231178 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.87539 ko04014 Hepattis B 0.133231178 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.87539 ko04014 Ras signaling pathway 0.136992 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.8574 ko04150 Kapess-carce 0.1387548132 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.8574 ko05167 Kapos iarcorna-associated herpesvirus infection 0.14927988 0.13663429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05167 Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.13663429 </td <td>ko04062</td> <td>Chemokine signaling pathway</td> <td>0.124878671</td> <td>0.184796687</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>-0.90351</td>	ko04062	Chemokine signaling pathway	0.124878671	0.184796687	Over	P32883	-0.90351
kod4910Insulin signaling pathway0.1290640270.148682256OverP32883-0.88919ko05255Hepatocellular carcinoma0.1290640270.36636429OverP32883-0.86919ko04140Autophag—animal0.131844160.36636429OverP32883-0.87994ko05161Hepattits B0.1332311780.36636429OverP32883-0.87539ko04014Ras signaling pathway0.1359920.36636429OverP32883-0.86646ko04156Cellular senescence0.1387591830.36636429OverP32883-0.85774ko05167Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection0.1428841320.136636429OverP32883-0.84502ko05168Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection0.1699279880.136636429OverP32883-0.76974ko05165Human Immunodeficiency virus 1 infection0.181426960.136636429OverP32883-0.76974ko05165Human Immunodeficiency virus 1 infection0.181426960.136636429OverP32883-0.7403ko05165Human Immunodeficiency virus 1 infection0.181426960.136636429OverP32883-0.73408ko05165Human Immunodeficiency virus 1 infection0.191019670.136636429OverP32883-0.73408ko04104MAPK signaling pathway0.191019670.136636429OverP32883-0.71601ko04110MAPK signaling pathway0.2026411830.136636429OverP32883	ko04015	Rap1 signaling pathway	0.129064027	0.17757475	Over	P32883	-0.88919
kobs225 Hepatocellular carcinoma 0.129064027 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.88919 ko04140 Autophagy-animal 0.13184146 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.8794 ko05161 Hepatitis B 0.133231178 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.87539 ko04014 Ras signaling pathway 0.1359992 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.86764 ko04218 Cellular senescence 0.138759183 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.85774 ko05167 Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.142884132 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.85702 ko05166 Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.76974 ko0516 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.7403 ko0516 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32833 -0.7403 ko0410 Regulation of actin cy	ko04910	Insulin signaling pathway	0.129064027	0.148682256	Over	P32883	-0.88919
kod4140 Autophagy—animal 0.13184146 0.13686429 Over P32883 -0.87994 kod5161 Hepattis B 0.133231178 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.87539 kod014 Ras signaling pathway 0.1359992 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.86646 kod218 Cellular senescence 0.138759183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.85774 kod5167 Kapoi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.14284132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.84502 kod5166 Human T-cell elukemia virus 1 infection 0.16927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 kod5160 Human munodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 kod5160 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842602 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 kod5160 MAPK signaling pathway 0.9101967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 kod4010 MAPK signaling pathway	ko05225	Hepatocellular carcinoma	0.129064027	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.88919
ko05161 Hepatitis B 0.133231178 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.87539 ko04014 Ras signaling pathway 0.1359992 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.86646 ko04218 Cellular senescence 0.138759183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.85774 ko05167 Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.14284132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.84502 ko05205 Proteoglycans in cancer 0.159204948 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05203 Viral carcinogenesis 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05160 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.1842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05016 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.18446902 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.191001967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton </td <td>ko04140</td> <td>Autophagy-animal</td> <td>0.131844146</td> <td>0.136636429</td> <td>Over</td> <td>P32883</td> <td>-0.87994</td>	ko04140	Autophagy-animal	0.131844146	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.87994
kouldit Ras signaling pathway 0.1356992 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.86646 kouldit Ras signaling pathway 0.138759183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.85774 kouldit Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.142884132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.84502 kouldit Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.142884132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.79804 kouldit Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 kouldit Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.18927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 kouldit Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 kouldit Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.18469202 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71806 kouldit MAPK signaling pathway 0.19010967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71806 <	ko05161	Hepatitis B	0.133231178	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.87539
knows kno knows knows k	ko04014	Ras signaling pathway	0.1359992	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.86646
No.0111 of control 0.100 / 05165 0.100 / 05165 0.10000029 0.0001 P3283 -0.897/4 k005167 Kaposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection 0.142884132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.79804 k005205 Proteoglycans in cancer 0.159204948 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.79904 k005166 Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 k005203 Viral carcinogenesis 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 k005170 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.18442902 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 k005016 Huntington disease 0.184469202 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.73408 k004010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.19101967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71691 k004810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.19202797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.69327 k004151 P13K-Akt	ko0/2019		0 138750192	0 136636420	Over	P32883	-0 85774
Kaposi sarcoma-associated nergesvirus infection 0.142884132 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.84502 ko05205 Proteoglycans in cancer 0.159204948 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.79804 ko05166 Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05203 Viral carcinogenesis 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05170 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko05016 Huntington disease 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.19101967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.192302797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0	NUU4210		0.100708100	0.100000429			-0.00//4
ko05205 Proteoglycans in cancer 0.159204948 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.79804 ko05166 Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05203 Viral carcinogenesis 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05170 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko05016 Hunington disease 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.191001967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04110 MAPK signaling pathway 0.192302797 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71601 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.21792332 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.23	KOU516/	Raposi sarcoma-associated herpesvirus infection	0.142884132	0.130030429	Over	P32883	-0.84502
ko05166 Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05203 Viral carcinogenesis 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05170 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko05016 Huntington disease 0.184469202 0.136636429 Over O89090 -0.73408 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.19101967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04151 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.19202797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923322 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617	ko05205	Proteoglycans in cancer	0.159204948	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.79804
ko05203 Viral carcinogenesis 0.169927988 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.76974 ko05170 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842966 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko05016 Huntington disease 0.184469202 0.136636429 Over O89090 -0.73408 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.191001967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.192302797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.21792332 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko05166	Human T-cell leukemia virus 1 infection	0.169927988	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.76974
ko05170 Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection 0.181842696 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.7403 ko05016 Huntington disease 0.184469202 0.136636429 Over 089090 -0.73408 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.191001967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.192302797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.71601 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923322 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko05203	Viral carcinogenesis	0.169927988	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.76974
ko05016 Huntington disease 0.184469202 0.136636429 Over 089090 -0.73408 ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.191001967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.192302797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.71601 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923322 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko05170	Human immunodeficiency virus 1 infection	0.181842696	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.7403
ko04010 MAPK signaling pathway 0.191001967 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.71896 ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.192302797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.71601 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923322 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko05016	Huntington disease	0.184469202	0.136636429	Over	O89090	-0.73408
ko04810 Regulation of actin cytoskeleton 0.192302797 0.155952366 Over P32883 -0.71601 ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923322 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko04010	MAPK signaling pathway	0.191001967	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.71896
ko04151 PI3K-Akt signaling pathway 0.202641183 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.69327 ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923322 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko04810	Regulation of actin cytoskeleton	0.192302797	0.155952366	Over	P32883	-0.71601
ko04714 Thermogenesis 0.217923332 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.6617 ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko04151	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	0.202641183	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.69327
ko05165 Human papillomavirus infection 0.234178121 0.136636429 Over P32883 -0.63045	ko04714	Thermogenesis	0.217923332	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.6617
	ko05165	- Human papillomavirus infection	0.234178121	0.136636429	Over	P32883	-0.63045

KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes.

lable	S5 Clinical	I pathological factors of	endometrial cance.	paucuto																											
		Syster	nic therapy				Postoperative pathc	logy				Invasion									IHC mark	er.									
E C	EBRT V	Vaginal brachytherapy	Chemotherapy	lormone therapy	Grade	Age ^L	bepth of myometrial invasion (cm)	Myometrial invasion (cm)	ILVSI F	arametrial	Adnexa	Endocervical glandular	Cervical stromal connective tissue	Lymph node	KRAS	YWHAE	SP1	MSRA	NLRP3 0	aspase1	GSDMD	IL-1β E	R	Vim	CK7	Ki67	CD10	PAX-8	P16	P53	MLH1
-	0	0	0	0	0	83	0.3	÷	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	2	0	0	5	2	5	~	2	-	-	0	0	-	-	0	2
2	-	0	0	0	0	52	۲	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	2	2	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	-	-	-	-	2	0	0
с	-	0	0	0	0	67	0.8	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	N	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	2	-	0	0
4	0	0	0	0	0	56	0.5	÷	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	+	-	0	2	-	2	2	0	-	2	0	-
5	-	0	0	0	0	62	0.2	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	7	7	0	0	2	2	2	-	-	2	2	2	2
9	-	0	0	0	0	69	0.2	÷	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	2	0	2
7	0	0	0	0	0	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	5	0	-	2	2	0	2	0	N	2	2	-
ø	-	0	0	0	0	68	0.5	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	0	2	2	5	0	2	-	2	0	-	2	-	-	-
6	-	0	0	0	0	48	0.4	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2	2	-	2	2	0	-	-	-	2	-	2	-
10	-	0	0	0	-	60	0.2	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	7	.	0	0	2	2	2	2	-	2	-	-	-
11	-	0	0	0	-	59	0.5	2	0	0	0	٢	۲	0	0	2	7	7	-	5	7	0	0	0	2	2	-	-	2	0	-
12	-	0	0	0	-	50	0.3	÷	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	7	0	0	5	0	0	-	-	2	-	0	2	0	-	-
13	0	0	0	0	2	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	-	2	0	2	-	-	2	2	0	-
14	-	0	0	0	2	72	0.6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	5	0	÷	÷	0	0	2	-	0	2	-	0	-	0	0
15	-	0	0	0	2	59	0.8	2	-	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	5	0	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	2	2	0
16	-	0	0	0	2	80	0.3	÷	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	7	2	0	0	-	0	2	-	-	-	2	0	-
17	۲	0	۲	0	2	57	0.7	2	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	0 0	۲	0	2	-	-	2	2	÷