<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	Mouse anti-AQP9 (1:1,000, sc74409; Santa Cruz). HRP-labeled Goat Anti-Mouse IgG antibody(IgG, 1:50; A0216, Beyotime, China) β-Actin Mouse Monoclonal Antibody(IgG, 1:1000; AF0003,	
	Beyotime, China)	
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	HCC cell lines (Hep3B, Huh-7, Li-7, and SUN182) were purchased from Shanghai Institute of Cell Biology (Shanghai, China) and a	
Provide accession number in repository OR	normal liver cell line (QSG-7701) was purchased from Beyotime	
supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID	(Shanghai, China).	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		NO
origin, genetic modification status.		
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		NO
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		NC
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		NC
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		NO
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		NC
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Ethics Committee of Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	reference number,2018-L006	
Provide statement confirming informed consent	This Section is uploaded as an additional file	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	A total of 45 subjects, 33 males, 12 females, 4 were between 30 and 40 years old, 13 were between 40 and 50 years old, 16 were between 50 and 60 years old, and 12 were over 60 years old.	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		NO
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step- by-step protocols are available.		NO
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		NO
Randomisation		NO
Blinding		NO
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		NO
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	All experiments were performed in triplicate.	, .
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	We did three biological repetitions, and three technical repetitions each time.	
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Ethics Committee of Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University	li/ a
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	reference number,2018-L006	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		NO
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		NO
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	NO

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Forty five subjects did not receive preoperative intervention or	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were	chemotherapy, and patients with preoperative treatment were	
determined and specified in advance.	excluded.	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 and	
tests.	GraphPad Prism 8.02 software. Data were represented as the mean	
	\pm standard deviation (SD) and differences between groups of data	
	were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		NO
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		NO
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		NO
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		, .
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		NO
If code is publicly available, provide accession		NO
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		1

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-3158