Low-dose spectral CT perfusion imaging of lung cancer quantitative analysis in different pathological subtypes
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Background: To explore the value of the quantitative parameters of low-dose computed tomography (CT) perfusion in the diagnosis of lung cancers of different pathological types.

Methods: Eighty-five patients with lung cancer confirmed by pathology underwent enhanced spectral CT imaging with a General Electric (GE) Revolution Xtream CT scanner, including 7 patients with lung squamous cell carcinoma, 8 patients with small cell carcinoma, 67 patients with lung adenocarcinoma, and 3 patients with other pathologies. The low-dose CT perfusion parameters [blood flow (BF), blood volume (BV), time of arrival (IRF TO), maximum slope of increase (MSI), mean transit time (MTT), positive enhancement integral (PEI), time to peak (TTP) and time to maximum (Tmax)] were calculated and compared among the first three groups. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the quantitative parameters among the three groups, and the Bonferroni method was used to correct for multiple comparisons.

Results: Among the quantitative parameters, MSI was significantly different among the three lung cancers (adenocarcinoma vs. squamous cell carcinoma vs. small cell carcinoma: 11.37±8.74 vs. 2.35±0.88 vs. 1.40±0.26, respectively; P=0.016). The MSI of lung adenocarcinoma was lower than that of non-adenocarcinoma (P=0.001), and the MSI of small cell carcinoma was lower than that of non-small cell carcinoma (P=0.014). There were no significant differences in the other parameters among these three groups (P>0.05).

Conclusions: Low-dose CT perfusion parameters may have a certain value in classifying the pathological type of lung cancer.
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Introduction

At present, the diagnosis of pulmonary nodules by conventional noninvasive computed tomography (CT) of the chest is widely performed based on their morphological characteristics and the degree of enhancement. However, there is some overlap in the morphology and enhancement of different lung nodules (1) and the ability to discriminate lung cancer from these nodules is limited. It is even more difficult to pathologically differentially diagnose different pathological types of lung cancer. In clinical practice, histopathology is the gold standard for the qualitative diagnosis of pulmonary nodules. Usually, it is necessary to use invasive modalities, such as fluoroscopically guided bronchoscopy-transbronchial biopsy (TBB) and percutaneous transthoracic needle lung biopsy (PTNB), to acquire lesion cells or tissues and achieve cytological, histological, immunohistochemical or molecular
pathological diagnosis. However, some pathological results may not be available because of the physical intolerance of certain patients to these invasive methods. Therefore, the use of noninvasive imaging methods to infer the potential histopathological type of lung nodules has been a popular research topic in recent years. CT perfusion imaging is a noninvasive functional imaging technique that reflects the hemodynamic alterations in lung nodules (2,3) via quantitative analysis involving multiple parameters, including blood flow (BF), blood volume (BV), time of arrival (IRF TO), maximum slope of increase (MSI), mean transit time (MTT), permeability surface area product (PS), positive enhancement integral (PEI), time to peak (TTP), and time to maximum (Tmax). This technique can yield a new CT imaging mode for objectively evaluating the hemodynamic characteristics of pulmonary nodules and provide a new method to assess lung lesions (3).

The purpose of this study was to investigate the value of CT perfusion imaging parameters (BF, BV, IRF TO, MSI, MTT, PS, PEI, TTP and Tmax) to pathologically differentially diagnose the different types of lung cancer. We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-20-3479).

Methods

Patients

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This prospective study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute (NO.: 2021KT04), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. We analyzed a total of 85 patients from Peking University Cancer Hospital between January 2018 and August 2018. All initial patients included in the study had pathologically confirmed lung cancer and complete clinical and imaging data. Patients were selected for this investigation according to the following inclusion criteria: (I) lung nodule diameters greater than 6 mm; (II) no contraindications to the administration of iodinated contrast materials; (III) age greater than 18 years; and (IV) pathology- and biopsy-confirmed diagnoses of different subtypes of lung cancer confirmed by pathology and biopsy. The exclusion criteria included the following: (I) allergies or contraindications to the administration of iodinated contrast materials; (II) severe liver and renal insufficiency (glomerular filtration rate (GFR) <60 mL/min), thyrotoxicosis, pregnancy, child-bearing age, or lactation; (III) previous therapy including antitumor or anti-inflammatory treatment; and (IV) poor scanning coordination or poor image quality. A total of 85 patients (40 males, 45 females; age range, 42–81 years; mean age, 59.9 years) were enrolled in the current study. All patients underwent scanning with spectral CT perfusion imaging.

Preparation prior to the examination

All patients underwent scanning with spectral CT imaging by a standard protocol. The CT scan was performed during a breath hold following deep inspiration with the patient in the supine position. Before scanning, each patient was instructed on how to breathe during the scan.

CT examination

CT examinations were performed with the patient in the supine position in a Revolution Xtream CT scanner (GE Healthcare, WI, USA). The scanning range was from the apex of the lung to the end of the diaphragmatic surface of the lung bottom; that is, the scanning direction was from head to foot. A plain chest scan was performed first, followed by CT perfusion imaging. Patients were administered contrast material via right median cubital venous access through a bolus injection. Continuous dynamic scanning of the local target lesion was performed 5 s after the start of contrast injection, with a range of 16 mm, lasting for 40 s. The injection flow rate and dose of iohexol (300 mg/mL), a nonionic contrast medium, were similar to those of previous studies (4,5). The injection dose was 40 mL (≤70 kg body weight) at a flow rate of 5 mL/s or 50 mL (>70 kg body weight) at a flow rate of 6 mL/s, followed by 30 mL of saline solution at the same injection rate, which helped reflect the blood perfusion state of the lesion. Acquisition parameters included tube voltage 80/140 kvp, the AutomA technique, a helical pitch of 0.985, a helical tube rotation time of 0.6 s, scan field of view of 500 mm, a collimation zone of 40 mm and a slice thickness of 5 mm. CT Dose Index vol (CTDIvol) for CT perfusion acquisition was of 32.08 mGy.

Quantitative analysis of spectral CT images

By using the Perfusion 4 analysis software with an AW4.7 workstation (GE HealthCare), two senior chest radiologists
analyzed the pulmonary nodule perfusion images, as shown in Figure 1. The input artery selected was the aorta or major branch at the same level. The region of interest (ROI) comprising the pulmonary nodule and aorta were selected; to avoid liquefaction, necrosis, hemorrhage, calcification, pulmonary vessels and bronchi, the ROI was made as large as possible at the section showing the maximum size of the lesion and placed with an area of approximately 1/3–2/3 that of the lesion. Average values were obtained by measuring twice at 1-week intervals. The CT perfusion imaging parameters of the ROIs of the lung nodules (Figure 2) were obtained: BF, BV, IRF TO, MSI, MTT, PS, PEI, TTP and Tmax.

Pathological evaluation

Pathological specimens were routinely fixed in 10% formalin and embedded in paraffin. Tissue sections were cut at a thickness of 4 μm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Pathological diagnoses were made by two experienced senior pathologists based on the new World Health Organization (WHO) histological classification of lung tumors in 2015 (6).

Statistical analysis

SPSS software (Version 18.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform the statistical analysis. Measurement data are expressed as $\bar{x} \pm s$. First, the quantitative CT perfusion imaging parameters (BF, BV, IRF to, MSI, MTT, PS, PEI, TTP and Tmax) were compared among three groups of lung cancer, including adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell lung cancer (SCLC), using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or the Kruskal-Wallis test. Second, the Bonferroni method was used to compare between pairs of groups. Finally, the perfusion parameters were compared between lung adenocarcinoma and non-lung adenocarcinoma and between small cell carcinoma and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). A $P$ value less than 0.05 indicated statistical significance.

Results

Clinical results

Of the eighty-five lung cancer patients, 66 had nonmucinous adenocarcinoma (77.6%), 7 had squamous cell carcinoma (8.2%), 8 had small cell carcinoma (9.4%), and 1 each had mucinous adenocarcinoma, sarcomatoid carcinoma, carcinoid carcinoma and large cell carcinoma (1.2%). All lesions were confirmed by biopsy and surgical pathology.

Comparison of quantitative spectral CT perfusion imaging parameters for lung adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma

The spectral CT imaging parameters of lung adenocarcinoma, lung squamous cell carcinoma and SCLC are shown in Tables 1-3 and Figure 1A,B,C. Among the different quantitative parameters, MSI was significantly different among adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma...
and small cell carcinoma (11.37±8.74 vs. 2.35±0.88 vs. 1.40±0.26, P=0.016) and decreased gradually across the subtypes, as shown in Figure 1A and Figures 2-4. There were statistically significant differences in the MSI between lung adenocarcinoma and non-lung adenocarcinoma and between SCLC and NSCLC (P<0.05 for both). The MSI of lung adenocarcinoma was higher than that of NSCLC (11.37±8.74 vs. 1.87±0.76, P=0.001), and the MSI of SCLC was lower than that of NSCLC (1.40±0.26 vs. 10.77±6.72, P=0.014). However, there was no significant difference in any of the spectral CT parameters between SCLC and lung squamous cell carcinoma (P>0.05).

**Discussion**

Primary lung cancer has the highest incidence among cancers worldwide (7). It is also the deadliest form of cancer in China (8). According to the WHO in 2015, the three most common types of lung cancer are adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma (6). Different pathological types of lung cancer have different biological behaviors and treatment plans. A pathological diagnosis is the basis for developing a reasonable treatment plan and assessing prognosis. Traditional chest CT is used to infer the pathological type of lung cancer, mainly based
on clinical experience. Adenocarcinoma is a peripheral lung cancer, while small cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma are central cancers; in the early stage, small cell carcinoma often presents with hilar and mediastinal lymph node metastases; squamous cell carcinoma is prone to necrosis and cavity formation; and some early-stage adenocarcinomas exhibit ground glass opacities. In addition, the value of enhanced CT is limited in the differential diagnosis of different pathological types of lung cancer. Dynamic contrast-enhanced ultrasound or MRI is not an ideal option for the assessment of lung tumors because of the restriction to acoustic windows or scanning speed. However, CT perfusion imaging can evaluate the hemodynamic changes in tumors (4) and may be aid in the pathological and differential diagnosis of lung cancer through quantitative multiparameter analysis (5,9-15). Most of previous CT perfusion research is generally obtained through a bolus injection of contrast agent at a simple injection rate of at least 4 mL/s (from 4 to 8 mL/s) and a single injected iodine dose within the range of 12–18 g (about 20–60 mL of total iodinated contrast medium) for different studies with ignoring the relationship of injection rate, dose and weight. The injection dosage and speed were adjusted according to different body weights for more accurate and stable data in our study.

In this study, we compared the spectral CT perfusion imaging of small cell carcinomas and non-small cell carcinomas, adenocarcinomas and non-adenocarcinomas. The results showed that the perfusion parameters in small cell carcinomas were significantly different from those in non-small cell carcinomas, and the perfusion parameters in adenocarcinomas were significantly different from those in non-adenocarcinomas. The detailed results are shown in Tables 2 and 3.

| Table 2 | Comparison of perfusion parameters in small cell carcinomas and non-small cell carcinomas ($\bar{x} \pm s$) |
| Parameters | Non-small cell carcinoma (n=65) | Small cell carcinoma (n=5) | P |
| BF (mL/min/100 g) | 66.05±55.87 | 51.89±17.66 | 0.794 |
| BV (mL/min/100 g) | 2.75±2.27 | 4.26±2.17 | 0.376 |
| IRF T0 (s) | 4.69±2.58 | 1.62±0.22 | 0.337 |
| MSI (%) | 10.77±6.72 | 1.40±0.26 | 0.014 |
| MTT (s) | 4.96±3.36 | 7.74±5.77 | 0.244 |
| PS (mL/min/100 g) | 14.88±16.31 | 18.42±4.68 | 0.362 |
| PEI (HU) | 0.27±0.37 | 0.13±0.04 | 0.603 |
| Tmax (s) | 6.39±3.88 | 5.23±3.32 | 0.819 |
| TTP (s) | 18.60±10.43 | 20.72±0.57 | 0.489 |

BF, blood flow; BV, blood volume; IRF T0, time of arrival; MSI, maximum slope of increase; MTT, mean transit time; PS, permeability surface area product; PEI, positive enhancement integral; Tmax, time to maximum; TTP, time to peak.

| Table 3 | Comparison of parameters in adenocarcinomas and non-adenocarcinomas ($\bar{x} \pm s$) |
| Parameters | Adenocarcinoma (n=62) | Non-adenocarcinoma (n=8) | P |
| BF (mL/min/100 g) | 63.69±63.49 | 81.42±40.11 | 0.237 |
| BV (mL/min/100 g) | 2.65±2.50 | 4.83±1.53 | 0.054 |
| IRF T0 (s) | 3.51±2.78 | 1.51±0.24 | 0.098 |
| MSI (%) | 11.37±8.74 | 1.87±0.76 | 0.001 |
| MTT (s) | 4.00±3.01 | 6.28±3.74 | 0.126 |
| PS (mL/min/100 g) | 10.00±15.80 | 18.20±3.68 | 0.562 |
| PEI (HU) | 0.34±0.92 | 0.19±0.12 | 0.171 |
| Tmax (s) | 5.10±4.35 | 4.36±2.17 | 0.247 |
| TTP (s) | 17.31±10.31 | 18.94±2.64 | 0.871 |

BF, blood flow; BV, blood volume; IRF T0, time of arrival; MSI, maximum slope of increase; MTT, mean transit time; PS, permeability surface area product; PEI, positive enhancement integral; Tmax, time to maximum; TTP, time to peak.
imaging parameters of different pathological types of lung cancer and found that the MSI was significantly different among adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma. The MSI of adenocarcinoma was higher than that of squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma (Figure 1 vs. Figure 2). Moreover, when adenocarcinoma and non-adenocarcinoma were compared, the MSI of adenocarcinoma was higher than that of non-adenocarcinoma. In terms of histopathology, adenocarcinoma mostly exhibits adenoid differentiation and tubular or glandular structures, while small cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma have dense internal cells and structures, and squamous cell carcinoma often further exhibits tumor nests, keratinized beads or intercellular bridges. In addition, the proportions of tumor parenchyma and stroma among adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma lead to differences in structure, density, degree of blood perfusion and retention time, which may be reflected by the MSI. The blood perfusion speed of adenocarcinoma is greater than that of lung squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma. The only similar research was performed by Wang and coworkers (16), who reported pathological subtypes of lung cancer with spectral CT parameters. After a comparative study of 29 patients with squamous cell carcinoma and 15 with adenocarcinoma, they found that the slope of the
spectral attenuation characteristic curve and the single-energy CT values at 40 keV of adenocarcinoma were higher than those of squamous cell carcinoma. This study indicated that the net CT enhancement value for lung adenocarcinoma at the arterial phase was higher than that for squamous cell carcinoma, which might also indirectly prove that the enhancement rate of adenocarcinoma is higher than that of squamous cell carcinoma. This might explain why the maximum ascending slope of adenocarcinoma was higher than that of squamous cell carcinoma. The pathological explanation may be that adenocarcinoma is prone to form abundant and uniform sieves, such as capillaries, and that its tumor microvessel density is higher than that of squamous cell carcinoma, which more easily forms solid masses (17–20). The microvessel density of the tumor directly reflects the tumor blood supply and affects the enhancement degree and speed of the CT contrast agent. Therefore, the MSI, reflecting the CT perfusion velocity, of adenocarcinoma is higher than that of squamous cell carcinoma. However, previous studies by other pathologists demonstrated that the microvessel density of NSCLC was often lower than that of SCLC, which seems to be inconsistent with the results of this study. The reason for this discrepancy might be that the specimens of the pathological study were all solid masses, while in our study, ground glass opacities were observed in 61.2% (41/67) of the nodules, most with adherent growth, which could easily lead to the formation of abundant tumor microvessels and faster blood perfusion. In addition, there are no effective spectral CT perfusion imaging parameters that can reflect the difference in blood perfusion between lung squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma, which may also reflect that there is no significant difference between the two types of cancer.

Theoretically, the different pathological tissue components of lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma and small cell carcinoma should also have different hemodynamic characteristics, and the corresponding CT perfusion imaging parameters should have certain differences. In this study, we found that only MSI was different among the different pathological types of lung cancer. Our study has several limitations. Our sample had a certain bias, with few patients with small cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. Although this is related to the epidemiology of lung cancer itself, this unbalanced sample size was nevertheless a concern. Another limitation was the disproportion of ground glass opacities and solid densities among the lung cancers, which might affect the stability of the results. Therefore, it will be necessary to increase the sample size and perform stratified analyses on solid lung lesions and ground glass lung adenocarcinoma to obtain more reliable research results.

Conclusions

In conclusion, spectral CT perfusion imaging techniques have an important role in the differential diagnosis of different pathological types of lung cancer. There were significant differences in the MSI among adenocarcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and small cell carcinoma; specifically, the MSI of adenocarcinoma was higher than that of both squamous cell carcinoma and small carcinoma. MSI may play a significant role in the diagnosis of different pathological types of lung cancer, which may be revealed through future in-depth studies with larger sample sizes.
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