

# TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation

Section/Topic	Item	Checklist Item	Section
<b>Title and abstract</b>			
Title	1	D;V Identify the study as developing and/or validating a multivariable prediction model, the target population, and the outcome to be predicted.	Title
Abstract	2	D;V Provide a summary of objectives, study design, setting, participants, sample size, predictors, outcome, statistical analysis, results, and conclusions.	Abstract line 27-45
<b>Introduction</b>			
Background and objectives	3a	D;V Explain the medical context (including whether diagnostic or prognostic) and rationale for developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including references to existing models.	Intro para 1-2
	3b	D;V Specify the objectives, including whether the study describes the development or validation of the model or both.	Intro para 3
<b>Methods</b>			
Source of data	4a	D;V Describe the study design or source of data (e.g., randomized trial, cohort, or registry data), separately for the development and validation data sets, if applicable.	Methods para 1-4, FIG1
	4b	D;V Specify the key study dates, including start of accrual; end of accrual; and, if applicable, end of follow-up.	Methods para 1-2, FIG1
Participants	5a	D;V Specify key elements of the study setting (e.g., primary care, secondary care, general population) including number and location of centres.	Methods para 3 FIG1
	5b	D;V Describe eligibility criteria for participants.	Methods para 1-4, FIG1 Table 1
	5c	D;V Give details of treatments received, if relevant.	NA
Outcome	6a	D;V Clearly define the outcome that is predicted by the prediction model, including how and when assessed.	Methods para 5
	6b	D;V Report any actions to blind assessment of the outcome to be predicted.	NA
Predictors	7a	D;V Clearly define all predictors used in developing or validating the multivariable prediction model, including how and when they were measured.	Methods para 5-6
	7b	D;V Report any actions to blind assessment of predictors for the outcome and other predictors.	NA
Sample size	8	D;V Explain how the study size was arrived at.	Methods para 3-4
Missing data	9	D;V Describe how missing data were handled (e.g., complete-case analysis, single imputation, multiple imputation) with details of any imputation method.	NA
Statistical analysis methods	10a	D Describe how predictors were handled in the analyses.	Methods para 5-6
	10b	D Specify type of model, all model-building procedures (including any predictor selection), and method for internal validation.	Methods para 5-6
	10c	V For validation, describe how the predictions were calculated.	Methods para 5-6
	10d	D;V Specify all measures used to assess model performance and, if relevant, to compare multiple models.	Methods para 5-6
	10e	V Describe any model updating (e.g., recalibration) arising from the validation, if done.	NA
Risk groups	11	D;V Provide details on how risk groups were created, if done.	NA
Development vs. validation	12	V For validation, identify any differences from the development data in setting, eligibility criteria, outcome, and predictors.	NA
<b>Results</b>			
Participants	13a	D;V Describe the flow of participants through the study, including the number of participants with and without the outcome and, if applicable, a summary of the follow-up time. A diagram may be helpful.	NA
	13b	D;V Describe the characteristics of the participants (basic demographics, clinical features, available predictors), including the number of participants with missing data for predictors and outcome.	Table 1
	13c	V For validation, show a comparison with the development data of the distribution of important variables (demographics, predictors and outcome).	Table 2
Model development	14a	D Specify the number of participants and outcome events in each analysis.	Results para 1-3
	14b	D If done, report the unadjusted association between each candidate predictor and outcome.	NA
Model specification	15a	D Present the full prediction model to allow predictions for individuals (i.e., all regression coefficients, and model intercept or baseline survival at a given time point).	Results para 4-6, FIG 2-3
	15b	D Explain how to use the prediction model.	Results para 2-5, FIG 3
Model performance	16	D;V Report performance measures (with CIs) for the prediction model.	Figs 3-4, Results para 4-6
Model-updating	17	V If done, report the results from any model updating (i.e., model specification, model performance).	NA

## TRIPOD Checklist: Prediction Model Development and Validation

<b>Discussion</b>				
Limitations	18	D;V	Discuss any limitations of the study (such as nonrepresentative sample, few events per predictor, missing data).	Discussion para 7
Interpretation	19a	V	For validation, discuss the results with reference to performance in the development data, and any other validation data.	Discussion para 2-4
	19b	D;V	Give an overall interpretation of the results, considering objectives, limitations, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence.	Discussion para 1 and 6
Implications	20	D;V	Discuss the potential clinical use of the model and implications for future research.	Discussion para 5
<b>Other information</b>				
Supplementary information	21	D;V	Provide information about the availability of supplementary resources, such as study protocol, Web calculator, and data sets.	line 253-255
Funding	22	D;V	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study.	line 257-259

\*Items relevant only to the development of a prediction model are denoted by D, items relating solely to a validation of a prediction model are denoted by V, and items relating to both are denoted D;V. We recommend using the TRIPOD Checklist in conjunction with the TRIPOD Explanation and Elaboration document.

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-1174>