

Peer Review File

Article information: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-2841>

Reviewer comments

Abstract

Comment 1: I recommend to rewrite focusing on the importance of the results. Also, Instead to write: "Breast cancer is always the most important cause of cancer-related deaths", should be better "BC is the leading cancer-related deaths among women".

Reply 1: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 2, line 30)

Methods

Comment 2: Data Collection

When the data collection was performed?

Reply 2: Thanks a lot for your comments. We conducted data collection on April 3, 2020.

Comment 3: Line 99-100: "without the restriction of language or publication year", however, the title it is described the range of 2010-2019. Why?

Reply 3: Thanks a lot for your comments. We first performed a search of all publications with no age limit to determine whether the term was of research value and plotted Figure 1. Then, a decade (2010-2019) with a large number of publications was selected for further literature search.

Comment 4: Line 101: Replace "On the one hand" with "First".

Reply 4: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 5, line 98)

Comment 5: Line 102: Replace "On the other hand" with "Second".

Reply 5: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 5, line 99)

Comment 6: Line 120-121: Please, verify the year of Bradford's Law, since papers are registering the data as from 1948 (PMID: 22514511)

Reply 6: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 6, line 118)

Comment 7: Line 150-151: It would be more appropriate to write that the centrality and density had been interpreted based on M Callon (1991) method.

Reply 7: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 7, line 147-148)

Comment 8: Line 164: "...higher betweenness was", change the verb to "is" and insert the reference.

Reply 8: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 8, line 161)

Results

Comment 9: Line 184-185: It is described, in the inclusion criteria, that there was no limitation in year publication; however, the papers published in 1996 were not included, why?

Reply 9: Thanks a lot for your comments. This is the overall consideration that the team is based on, first of all, the number of documents in the early years is relatively small, and the proportion of the overall number of publications is relatively low. Secondly, with the gradual increase in the number of literatures, we believe that the number and quality of literature in the ten years from 2010 to 2019 are more appropriate.

Comment 10: Line 188: "which peaked in 2015 the publications were 446 and 5.7% in all publications". It is a confusing sentence.

Reply 10: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 9, line 182-183)

Comment 11: Line 189-190: This affirmation is based on what reason?

Reply 11: Thanks a lot for your comments. This is due to the fact that there is still a relatively steady increase in the number of publications each year as the number of publications has grown to a relatively stable value. We believe that the number of literatures in this field has passed the stage of rapid growth and has reached a relatively stable stage of development.

Comment 12: Line 203-206: Please, rewrite those phrases. English review is necessary.

Reply 12: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 10, line 199-202)

Comment 13: Line 244: remove "was"

Reply 13: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in

the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 11, line 237)

Comment 14: Line 272: insert “by” after ‘represented’

Reply 14: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 13, line 265)

Comment 15: Discussion: This needs to be completely rewritten. It is not adequate how the ideas are discussed and was not debated the results from the study with literature. It was just made a review without a clear debate. Please, improve the discussion, show properly your results and the importance to the field.

Reply 15: Thank you very much for your comments, it is important to our article. We have made changes based on your suggestion.

Changes in the text: we have modified our text as advised (see Page 13-19, line 281-412)

Comment 16: Table 1 = It is not necessary to write many decimals in percentage. Please, reduce to one or two numbers. Also, include the impact factor and ISSN of each one.

Reply 16: We attach great importance to your comments and have made changes in the text.

Changes in the text: we added some data as advised (see Page 33-36, line 708-709)

Comment 17: Table 2 = You need to define “Degree” through the text.

What is the difference between “Percentage” to “Cumulative percentage”?

There are so many keywords, you can summarize in the Top10, as classically it is done and well accept in the literature.

Reply 17: Thanks for your comments.

We explain degree on page 8 of the text, lines 156-158.

Percentage refers to the ratio of the frequency of occurrence to the total frequency, and the cumulative percentage is the accumulation of the previous percentages from top to bottom.

The higher the cumulative frequency of these keywords, the more representative the problem is. In most cases, based on experience, we will take 60% of the cumulative frequency as the boundary.

Comment 18: Table 6 = Is it possible to create a list (supplementary list) describing the MeSH terms represented by the green nodes?

Reply 18: Thanks a lot for your comments. The MeSH terms represented by the green nodes are all listed in Table 2, and the serial numbers correspond one-to-one. (See Page 34-38, line 710-711)