



The contributions of hypoxia to poor outcomes in pancreatic cancer

Maarten F. Bijlsma^{1,2^}

¹Laboratory of Experimental Oncology and Radiobiology, Center for Experimental and Molecular Medicine, Amsterdam UMC Location University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands; ²Cancer Center Amsterdam, Cancer Biology, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Correspondence to: Maarten F. Bijlsma. Amsterdam UMC Location University of Amsterdam, CEMM/LEXOR, Room G2-131, Meibergdreef 9, 1105AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Email: m.f.bijlsma@amsterdamumc.nl.

Comment on: Zoa A, Yang Y, Huang W, *et al.* High expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha predicts poor prognosis in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a meta-analysis and database validation protocol. *Transl Cancer Res* 2022;11:3080-91.

Submitted Sep 02, 2022. Accepted for publication Oct 12, 2022.

doi: 10.21037/tcr-22-2167

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-22-2167>

Cells need oxygen to efficiently synthesize energy carriers through the electron transport chain. In the absence of oxygen, this occurs much less efficiently and is not preferred in normal cells. In fact, a lack of oxygen (hypoxia) is a danger to most tissue types, and cells are endowed with robust response mechanisms to cope with this austerity. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha (HIF1 α) is central in the signaling cascade that senses hypoxia and mounts the appropriate responses (1). HIF1 α levels and activity are post-translationally rather than transcriptionally regulated: in the presence of oxygen, HIF1 α levels are kept low by ubiquitylation and subsequent degradation. In the absence of oxygen, this degradation is prevented and HIF1 α accumulates. Together with its beta subunit, the transcription of target genes is then initiated. These genes are involved in for instance angiogenesis [vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (2)], and tissue homeostasis [Sonic Hedgehog (3,4)].

Tumor cells utilize metabolic routes not favored by normal cells (5). For instance, cancer cells have long been known to rely on glycolysis even when sufficient oxygen is available, and may use nutrients that are not likely sources for non-malignant cells (6). As a consequence of this flexibility, cancer cells are much less hindered by hypoxia than healthy cells are. Instead, hypoxia in cancer tissue is typically associated with highly unfavorable tumor biology and clinical outcome (7). It appears that the

HIF1 α -driven gene expression programs that function to salvage normal tissue, endow cancer cells with increased malignant properties. In fact, some tumors may even overexpress HIF1 α under normoxic conditions to initiate the transcription of genes that support their proliferative, invasive and therapy resistant capacities (8).

One cancer type in which metabolic flexibility is particularly high and thought to contribute to poor outcome is the most common form of pancreatic cancer; pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) (9). These cancers are characterized by an abundance of non-tumor cells and extracellular material together known as stroma (10). The mechanical properties of the dense stroma hamper perfusion which limits the penetrance of for instance chemotherapeutics, but also nutrients such as oxygen. In addition, mechanisms that drive HIF1 α accumulation and transcriptional activity in cases (or tumor regions) in which oxygen is sufficiently present may also be at play. Given the extremely poor prognosis of PDAC, and frequent occurrence of HIF1 α expression in this disease, the contributions of hypoxia to poor outcome are of large (pre) clinical interest (7).

In the study by Zoa *et al.* published in this issue of *TCR*, the contributions of HIF1 α expression to outcome in PDAC are reported (11). The authors performed a systematic search for publications that report HIF1 α expression (by immunohistochemistry) and clinical data,

[^] ORCID: 0000-0001-6627-3229.

and performed a meta-analysis on the key outcome variables from 11 publications including 764 patients. In this group, 408 patients were reported as HIF1 α -high and 356 were defined as HIF-1 α low. In line with previous observations from biomedical and clinical studies, the authors found that high HIF1 α levels strongly associate with unfavorable tumor characteristics such lymph node metastases, higher tumor staging, and as a result poor prognosis with hazard ratios approaching 2. An interesting finding is that tumor size, which is often associated with poor vascularization and thus hypoxia, was not significantly associated with HIF1 α .

This also brings up a possible caveat of the study: whether the HIF1 α in the included sections is upregulated by hypoxia, or molecular mechanisms that drive HIF1 α accumulation in normoxic conditions [e.g., *VHL* mutations (12)] cannot be discerned. Therefore, it is hard to untangle the contributions of true hypoxia from a more general genetic dysregulation that may impact on HIF1 α but also numerous other tumor-promoting pathways. In addition, immunohistochemical assessments rely on sampling of the tumor and are subject to intratumor heterogeneity. Indeed, the very high prognostic signal from by direct imaging-based measurements of hypoxia in the entire pancreas [see (13)] suggests that HIF1 α staining may under-report hypoxic foci in the tumor mass that are all at risk of generating highly malignant populations. A final concern that also pertains to heterogeneity between the studies included: patient characteristics, analysis methods, biomarker cutoff levels, and clinical annotation may differ widely (as also commented on by the authors). It is likely that a more homogenous group, analyzed by standardized means, and with much richer clinical annotation, would yield much more information.

These limitations notwithstanding, the work by Zoa *et al.* (11) is a solid basis from which to initiate follow-up research. The link between HIF1 α and poor outcome has been further consolidated, which will hopefully accelerate the development of clinically applicable inhibitors or biomarkers to guide current treatments, for instance radiation.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned

by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-22-2167/coif>). MFB has received research funding from Celgene, Lead Pharma, and Frame Therapeutics, has acted as a consultant for Servier, and has a patent on stromal biomarkers and anti-IL6 treatment; the listed were not involved in the research leading up to it. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Semenza GL. HIF-1, O(2), and the 3 PHDs: how animal cells signal hypoxia to the nucleus. *Cell* 2001;107:1-3.
2. Ramjiawan RR, Griffioen AW, Duda DG. Anti-angiogenesis for cancer revisited: Is there a role for combinations with immunotherapy? *Angiogenesis* 2017;20:185-204.
3. Bijlsma MF, Groot AP, Oduro JP, et al. Hypoxia induces a hedgehog response mediated by HIF-1 α . *J Cell Mol Med* 2009;13:2053-60.
4. Spivak-Kroizman TR, Hostetter G, Posner R, et al. Hypoxia triggers hedgehog-mediated tumor-stromal interactions in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res* 2013;73:3235-47.
5. Ward PS, Thompson CB. Metabolic reprogramming: a cancer hallmark even warburg did not anticipate. *Cancer Cell* 2012;21:297-308.
6. Warburg O, Geissler AW, Lorenz S. On growth of cancer cells in media in which glucose is replaced by galactose.

- Hoppe Seylers Z Physiol Chem 1967;348:1686-7.
7. Yamasaki A, Yanai K, Onishi H. Hypoxia and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 2020;484:9-15.
 8. Pugh CW, Ratcliffe PJ. The von Hippel-Lindau tumor suppressor, hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1) degradation, and cancer pathogenesis. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2003;13:83-9.
 9. Mizrahi JD, Surana R, Valle JW, et al. Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet* 2020;395:2008-20.
 10. Hosein AN, Brekken RA, Maitra A. Pancreatic cancer stroma: an update on therapeutic targeting strategies. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2020;17:487-505.
 11. Zoa A, Yang Y, Huang W, et al. High expression of hypoxia-inducible factor 1-alpha predicts poor prognosis in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: a meta-analysis and database validation protocol. *Transl Cancer Res* 2022;11:3080-91.
 12. Maxwell PH, Wiesener MS, Chang GW, et al. The tumour suppressor protein VHL targets hypoxia-inducible factors for oxygen-dependent proteolysis. *Nature* 1999;399:271-5.
 13. Liu D, Steins A, Klaassen R, et al. Soluble Compounds Released by Hypoxic Stroma Confer Invasive Properties to Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Biomedicines* 2020;8:444.

Cite this article as: Bijlsma MF. The contributions of hypoxia to poor outcomes in pancreatic cancer. *Transl Cancer Res* 2022;11(11):3935-3937. doi: 10.21037/tcr-22-2167