<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Method section/paragraph 1:	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
	MTT, Promega, G4100	
	MMP9 antibody, Abcam, ab73734	
	ERK1/2 antibody, Abcam, ab196883	
	pERK1/2 antibody, Abcam, ab79483	
	qPCR SuperMIX, TOYOBO, QPK-201	
	Puerarin, Chengdu Herbpurify, G-008	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	Method section/paragraph 2: A549	
Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		N/A
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		N/A
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		N/A
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		N/A

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		N/A
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		N/A

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		N/A
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent		N/A
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.		N/A

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		N/A
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		N/A
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		N/A
Randomisation		
Blinding	Method section : Experiment and analysis were double-blinded.	
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		N/A
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	res (mulcate where provided, section, paragraph)	N/A
replicated in laboratory		14,71
Define whether data describe technical or biological	Method section: All biological replicates were	
replicates	performed at least three times.	
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	N/A
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		N/A
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		N/A
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		N/A
state the authority granting approval and reference		

<u>Analysis</u>

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		N/A
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Method section/paragraph 6 : Data was presented as	
tests.	means ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Normally	
	distributed data were compared by unpaired Student's	
	t-test for two groups comparisons. P values < 0.05 were	
	considered significant difference. Data statistically	
	analysis were using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad	
	Software., San Diego, CA, USA).	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,		N/A
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		N/A
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		N/A
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		N/A
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	Method section/paragraph 6 : GraphPad Prism 5.0 was open source software.	
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		N/A

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.	Footnote section/paragraph 1	
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-22-2246