



# Transthoracic lung biopsy: diagnostic accuracy and complications

Masahiro Sano, Masahide Oki

Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Hospital Organization Nagoya Medical Center, Nagoya, Japan

*Correspondence to:* Masahide Oki, MD. Department of Respiratory Medicine, National Hospital Organization Nagoya Medical Center, 4-1-1 Sannomaru, Naka-ku, Nagoya 460-0001, Japan. Email: masahideo@aol.com.

*Comment on:* Gadaleta CD, Iezzi R, Tanzilli A, *et al.* Pilot clinical study on the prevention of complications after lung biopsy by the MIPP kit PNX device. *Transl Cancer Res* 2022;11:4338-48.

**Keywords:** Transthoracic lung biopsy (TTLB); diagnostic accuracy; complications; pneumothorax; air embolism

Submitted Nov 26, 2022. Accepted for publication Dec 19, 2022. Published online Feb 07, 2023.

doi: 10.21037/tcr-22-2703

**View this article at:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-22-2703>

Small peripheral pulmonary lesions are increasingly being detected because of the development of radiological technologies and frequent computed tomography (CT) screening for lung cancer. Non-surgical diagnostic methods for peripheral lung nodules include transthoracic lung biopsy (TTLB) (e.g., CT-, fluoroscopy-, and ultrasound-guided lung biopsy), and transbronchial lung biopsy (e.g., bronchoscopy).

The diagnostic sensitivity of bronchoscopy is lower for small peripheral than central pulmonary lesions. Previously, fluoroscopy was the only method available to confirm that the biopsy needle had reached the target lesion during bronchoscopy. However, two-dimensional fluoroscopy does not accurately visualize the spatial relationship between the biopsy needle and the target lesion. Additionally, certain lesions (e.g., ground glass nodules and lesions obscured by mediastinal structures) are not easily visualized on fluoroscopy, making their diagnosis difficult. Radial probe endobronchial ultrasound can be used to confirm that the biopsy instrument has reached the lesion. Furthermore, navigation systems have been used to visualize the bronchial pathway to the target lesion. These two techniques have improved the diagnostic yield of bronchoscopic lung biopsy for peripheral pulmonary lesions (1).

TTLB has long been used for the diagnosis of nodular shadows in the lung periphery. It involves percutaneous puncture of the lung target lesion under CT or ultrasound guidance to collect tissue. A thin or thick needle for aspiration cytology may be used to perform fine-needle aspiration (FNA) or cutting-needle core biopsy (CNCB),

respectively. The sensitivity and false positive rate of TTLB for malignancy is >90% and 1–2%, respectively (2). However, the false negative rate is high (20–30%). Therefore, although TTLB is useful for the definitive diagnosis of malignancy, it cannot exclude the diagnosis (2). The sensitivity of TTLB depends on the nodule size, imaging technique (CT or fluoroscopy), needle size (especially important for diagnosing lymphoma and benign), number of needle passes, and availability of rapid cytology (2). Similar to bronchoscopic lung biopsy, TTLB is less sensitive when the nodule size is <20 mm, with a sensitivity of 78% for nodule size <15 mm (3,4). CT- and fluoroscopy-guided TTLB has a sensitivity of 92% and 88%, respectively (2).

Complications are more common with TTLB than with bronchoscopy. And complications of TTLB with CNCB are more frequent (38.8%) than with FNA (24.0%) (5). The complications of TTLB include air embolism, post-examination pleural seeding, hemoptysis, and pneumothorax. Air embolism is an infrequent (<0.21%) but fatal complication (6,7). Risk factors for air embolism include deep needle puncture, supine position, puncture above the left atrium, and endotracheal intubation. There was no significantly increased risk of air embolism with needle passage through the lung field, although it was associated with a trend toward increased risk (8). In patients with stage I lung cancer, TTLB was associated with more than a 2-fold increased risk of postoperative pleural recurrence and, in patients younger than 55 years, with reduced time to recurrence and overall survival (9). Bleeding occurred in 4.1% and 1.7% of cases of CNCB and

FNA, respectively (5).

The most common complication of TTLB is pneumothorax. It occurs in 25.3% and 18.8% of cases of TTLB with CNCB and FNA, respectively, requiring chest drainage in 5.6% and 4.3%, respectively (5). Old age, smoking, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, small lesion size, target lesion distant from the chest wall, need to penetrate between the lobes, and the number of punctures increase the risk of pneumothorax after TTLB (10-16). Injection of blood, collagen, fibrin glue, or saline into the puncture hole may prevent pneumothorax during TTLB. The first study of injection of blood into the puncture hole was published in 1988 and did not find any difference in the incidence of pneumothorax (17). Although it is unclear whether blood infusion prevents pneumothorax, the incidence of pneumothorax is significantly lower with infusion of collagen (8% vs. 28%), fibrin glue (19.2% vs. 40.6%), and saline (6.2–8.0% vs. 26.1–34.0%) (18-22).

Gadaleta *et al.* clarified that percutaneous lung biopsy using a new device MIPP-Kit PNX reduces the incidence of adverse events, such as pneumothorax, pulmonary hemorrhage, hemothorax, and chest wall hematoma, compared to conventional methods (23). The MIPP-Kit PNX is designed to inject BioGlue, an adhesive made from a mixture of bovine serum albumin and glutaraldehyde, into a lesion after a needle puncture and tissue collection with CT guidance. This specialized kit allows the two aforementioned agents to be mixed at the target site. The pleural defect may be particularly wide in cases of lesion distant from the chest wall, multiple punctures, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In such cases, MIPP-kit can rapidly fill the TTLB pleural defect with BioGlue to prevent pneumothorax and hemothorax, thereby increasing the safety of TTLB and reducing the associated medical costs. A large-scale prospective study is required to confirm the benefit of this kit.

## Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

## Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Cancer Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE

uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tcr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tcr-22-2703/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of this work and ensuring that any questions related to the accuracy or integrity of this work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Oki M, Saka H. Augmented fluoroscopy and cone beam CT-guided needle biopsy using a steerable guiding sheath: a promising approach for peripheral pulmonary lesions. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2021;10:3701-4.
2. Schreiber G, McCrory DC. Performance characteristics of different modalities for diagnosis of suspected lung cancer: summary of published evidence. *Chest* 2003;123:115S-28S.
3. Li H, Boiselle PM, Shepard JO, et al. Diagnostic accuracy and safety of CT-guided percutaneous needle aspiration biopsy of the lung: comparison of small and large pulmonary nodules. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1996;167:105-9.
4. Laurent F, Latrabe V, Vergier B, et al. CT-guided transthoracic needle biopsy of pulmonary nodules smaller than 20 mm: results with an automated 20-gauge coaxial cutting needle. *Clin Radiol* 2000;55:281-7.
5. Heerink WJ, de Bock GH, de Jonge GJ, et al. Complication rates of CT-guided transthoracic lung biopsy: meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol* 2017;27:138-48.
6. Tomiyama N, Yasuhara Y, Nakajima Y, et al. CT-guided needle biopsy of lung lesions: a survey of severe complication based on 9783 biopsies in Japan. *Eur J Radiol* 2006;59:60-4.
7. Ibukuro K, Tanaka R, Takeguchi T, et al. Air embolism and needle track implantation complicating CT-guided percutaneous thoracic biopsy: single-institution experience. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;193:W430-6.

8. Glodny B, Schönherr E, Freund MC, et al. Measures to Prevent Air Embolism in Transthoracic Biopsy of the Lung. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2017;208:W184-91.
9. Hong H, Hahn S, Matsuguma H, et al. Pleural recurrence after transthoracic needle lung biopsy in stage I lung cancer: a systematic review and individual patient-level meta-analysis. *Thorax* 2021;76:582-90.
10. Heyer CM, Reichelt S, Peters SA, et al. Computed tomography-navigated transthoracic core biopsy of pulmonary lesions: which factors affect diagnostic yield and complication rates? *Acad Radiol* 2008;15:1017-26.
11. Khan MF, Straub R, Moghaddam SR, et al. Variables affecting the risk of pneumothorax and intrapulmonary hemorrhage in CT-guided transthoracic biopsy. *Eur Radiol* 2008;18:1356-63.
12. Chakrabarti B, Earis JE, Pandey R, et al. Risk assessment of pneumothorax and pulmonary haemorrhage complicating percutaneous co-axial cutting needle lung biopsy. *Respir Med* 2009;103:449-55.
13. Kakizawa H, Toyota N, Hieda M, et al. Risk factors for severity of pneumothorax after CT-guided percutaneous lung biopsy using the single-needle method. *Hiroshima J Med Sci* 2010;59:43-50.
14. Wiener RS, Schwartz LM, Woloshin S, et al. Population-based risk for complications after transthoracic needle lung biopsy of a pulmonary nodule: an analysis of discharge records. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;155:137-44.
15. Loh SE, Wu DD, Venkatesh SK, et al. CT-guided thoracic biopsy: evaluating diagnostic yield and complications. *Ann Acad Med Singap* 2013;42:285-90.
16. Vagn-Hansen C, Pedersen MR, Rafaelsen SR. Diagnostic yield and complications of transthoracic computed tomography-guided biopsies. *Dan Med J* 2016;63:A5239.
17. Bourgouin PM, Shepard JA, McLoud TC, et al. Transthoracic needle aspiration biopsy: evaluation of the blood patch technique. *Radiology* 1988;166:93-5.
18. Lang EK, Ghavami R, Schreiner VC, et al. Autologous blood clot seal to prevent pneumothorax at CT-guided lung biopsy. *Radiology* 2000;216:93-6.
19. Engeler CE, Hunter DW, Castaneda-Zuniga W, et al. Pneumothorax after lung biopsy: prevention with transpleural placement of compressed collagen foam plugs. *Radiology* 1992;184:787-9.
20. Petsas T, Siambilis D, Giannakenas C, et al. Fibrin glue for sealing the needle track in fine-needle percutaneous lung biopsy using a coaxial system: Part II--Clinical study. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 1995;18:378-82.
21. Billich C, Mucic R, Brenner G, et al. CT-guided lung biopsy: incidence of pneumothorax after instillation of NaCl into the biopsy track. *Eur Radiol* 2008;18:1146-52.
22. Li Y, Du Y, Luo TY, et al. Usefulness of normal saline for sealing the needle track after CT-guided lung biopsy. *Clin Radiol* 2015;70:1192-7.
23. Gadaleta CD, Iezzi R, Tanzilli A, et al. Pilot clinical study on the prevention of complications after lung biopsy by the MIPP kit PNX device. *Transl Cancer Res* 2022;11:4338-48.

**Cite this article as:** Sano M, Oki M. Transthoracic lung biopsy: diagnostic accuracy and complications. *Transl Cancer Res* 2023;12(2):233-235. doi: 10.21037/tcr-22-2703