



Concurrent or sequential letrozole with adjuvant breast radiotherapy

Orit Kaidar-Person, Timothy M. Zagar

Department of Radiation Oncology, University of North Carolina, NC, USA

Correspondence to: Orit Kaidar-Person, MD. Department of Radiation Oncology, University of North Carolina, Campus Box 7512, 101 Manning Drive, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. Email: o_person@rambam.health.gov.il.

Comment on: Bourcier C, Kerns S, Gourgou S, *et al.* Concurrent or sequential letrozole with adjuvant breast radiotherapy: final results of the CO-HO-RT phase II randomized trial†. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27:474-80.

Submitted Apr 05, 2016. Accepted for publication Apr 13, 2016.

doi: 10.21037/tcr.2016.05.01

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2016.05.01>

Bourgier *et al.* (1,2) performed a thoughtful randomized clinical trial in the hopes that it would shed light on a clinically relevant topic, that lacks good evidence—what is the ideal sequence of endocrine therapy (ET) and radiation (RT) in women who receive breast conserving therapy for early stage hormone-receptor positive breast cancer? Moreover, the investigators also sought to identify a biomarker for radiation-induced subcutaneous fibrosis (RISF), using cutting edge for a translational science.

Hormone-receptor positive breast cancer is the most common sub-type (as opposed to hormone-receptor negative), and as a result, ET (tamoxifen and aromatase inhibitors) and RT are often given in the adjuvant setting as both have been independently proven to reduce the risk of breast cancer recurrence and death (3-5). Furthermore, in low-risk breast cancer patients, the combination of both adjuvant treatments have shown a statistically significant reduction in ipsilateral breast cancer recurrence (ET: 4.1% versus breast RT+ET: 1.3%, $P=0.0002$) (6). While many studies have attempted to define a low risk population that might benefit from omitting RT (6-9), controversy still remains (10) and as a result, both treatments are still given to a large population of breast cancer patients (11). This makes the trial by Bourcier *et al.* (1,2) especially relevant to a large community of patients, since hormone positive breast cancer is commonly seen in the postmenopausal population, who are often treated by ET and RT (3-5).

The sequencing of ET and RT has theoretical oncological and cosmetic ramifications. ET is thought to arrest the hormone-dependent-cancer cells in the early G1 phase of the cell cycle, which happens to be the most RT-resistant phase; RT's lethal effects are most efficient at the G2/M cell cycle

phase. Therefore, concomitant treatment can potentially compromise the efficacy of RT (12). However, clinical studies have not clearly demonstrated an inferior outcome for concomitant HT and RT (1,2,13-17). Also pre-clinical studies, including by Azria *et al.* (18), found conflicting results, indicating that there may be various pathways that influence outcome. This might contribute to the fact that even though Azria (18) showed that letrozole sensitized human breast cancer cell-line to RT, in the clinical setting the investigators did not observe differences in oncological outcomes at a median follow up of 74 months (1). As a phase II design, low risk breast cancer, small number of patients and short follow up, the study might have not been powered to detect such differences (1).

The primary emphases of this current report include RT induced-toxicities, quality of life (QOL), cosmetic outcome and potential biomarkers for RT-induced normal tissue injury. RT-induced subcutaneous fibrosis did not differ between the two study arms. While lung toxicity was assessed by repeated computed tomography (CT) and did not differ between the groups, cardiac toxicity was assessed according to CTCAE v 3.0. Although no symptomatic cardiac toxicity was reported, the information provided by the authors does not enable the reader to reach a conclusion about the validity of the cardiac reported results (number of left breast cancer treated, RT techniques to reduce cardiac dose). As we previously published (19), there are a few RT techniques that may minimize cardiac exposure and better/more sensitive measures to assess acute and late cardiac toxicity (19). QOL in this trial was assessed using QIC-C30/BR-23 EORTC, which might not be the best tool to capture ET and/or RT associated QOL issues.

The investigators should be congratulated for performing their thoughtful translational studies. Both radiation-induced lymphocyte apoptosis (RILA) and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were evaluated to potentially identifying a sub-population of patients that might be more subjected to RT-associated toxicity. RT-induced lymphocyte apoptosis was shown to be a potential biological dosimeter and the concept of the differences in RILA among patients and RT-associated toxicity is intriguing. The investigators found that among all the risk factors studied, RILA was significantly predictive of grade >2 fibrosis. Although the number of patients who had >2 fibrosis was low (n=5), these findings are thought-provoking and should be further evaluated. The association between lung V20, V30 and RISF grade >2 might be attributed to large separation (thus more skin exposed) (1).

The wide-genome association study (GWAS) is a well-known international effort to identify SNPs associated with RT toxicity (20). This type of international collaboration should also be commended, as large numbers of patients are needed in order to “overcome” the wide diversity between patients and obtain clinical validity. It takes considerable effort to examine many genetic variants in different individuals to see if any variant is associated with RT induced toxicity. The investigators report that two SNPs were identified as being significantly associated with RILA, again exciting new evidence that should be further evaluated.

We agree with the authors' conclusions that it seems that letrozole can be given safely, concomitantly with adjuvant breast RT. However, the true impact of this sequence might be only demonstrated in a larger population study with longer follow-up.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, Translational Cancer Research. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr.2016.05.01>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Bourcier C, Kerns S, Gourguo S, et al. Concurrent or sequential letrozole with adjuvant breast radiotherapy: final results of the CO-HO-RT phase II randomized trial†. *Ann Oncol* 2016;27:474-80.
2. Azria D, Belkacemi Y, Romieu G, et al. Concurrent or sequential adjuvant letrozole and radiotherapy after conservative surgery for early-stage breast cancer (CO-HO-RT): a phase 2 randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010 ;11:258-65.
3. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG), Davies C, Godwin J, et al. Relevance of breast cancer hormone receptors and other factors to the efficacy of adjuvant tamoxifen: patient-level meta-analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet* 2011;378:771-84.
4. Early Breast Cancer Trialists' Collaborative Group (EBCTCG), Dowsett M, Forbes JF, et al. Aromatase inhibitors versus tamoxifen in early breast cancer: patient-level meta-analysis of the randomised trials. *Lancet* 2015;386:1341-52.
5. Clarke M, Collins R, Darby S, et al. Effects of radiotherapy and of differences in the extent of surgery for early breast cancer on local recurrence and 15-year survival: an overview of the randomised trials. *Lancet* 2005;366:2087-106.
6. Kunkler IH, Williams LJ, Jack WJ, et al. Breast-conserving surgery with or without irradiation in women aged 65 years or older with early breast cancer (PRIME II): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16:266-73.
7. Hughes KS, Schnaper LA, Bellon JR, et al. Lumpectomy plus tamoxifen with or without irradiation in women age 70 years or older with early breast cancer: long-term follow-up of CALGB 9343. *J Clin Oncol* 2013;31:2382-7.

8. Fyles AW, McCready DR, Manchul LA, et al. Tamoxifen with or without breast irradiation in women 50 years of age or older with early breast cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2004;351:963-70.
9. Blamey RW, Bates T, Chetty U, et al. Radiotherapy or tamoxifen after conserving surgery for breast cancers of excellent prognosis: British Association of Surgical Oncology (BASO) II trial. *Eur J Cancer* 2013;49:2294-302.
10. Walker GA, Kaidar-Person O, Kuten A, et al. Radiotherapy as sole adjuvant treatment for older patients with low-risk breast cancer. *Breast* 2012;21:629-34.
11. Soulos PR, Yu JB, Roberts KB, et al. Assessing the impact of a cooperative group trial on breast cancer care in the medicare population. *J Clin Oncol* 2012;30:1601-7.
12. Schmidberger H, Hermann RM, Hess CF, et al. Interactions between radiation and endocrine therapy in breast cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* 2003;10:375-88.
13. Pierce LJ, Hutchins LF, Green SR, et al. Sequencing of tamoxifen and radiotherapy after breast-conserving surgery in early-stage breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:24-9.
14. Ahn PH, Vu HT, Lannin D, et al. Sequence of radiotherapy with tamoxifen in conservatively managed breast cancer does not affect local relapse rates. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:17-23.
15. Harris EE, Christensen VJ, Hwang WT, et al. Impact of concurrent versus sequential tamoxifen with radiation therapy in early-stage breast cancer patients undergoing breast conservation treatment. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:11-6.
16. Ishitobi M, Shiba M, Nakayama T, et al. Treatment sequence of aromatase inhibitors and radiotherapy and long-term outcomes of breast cancer patients. *Anticancer Res* 2014;34:4311-4.
17. Valakh V, Trombetta MG, Werts ED, et al. Influence of concurrent anastrozole on acute and late side effects of whole breast radiotherapy. *Am J Clin Oncol* 2011;34:245-8.
18. Azria D, Larbouret C, Cunat S, et al. Letrozole sensitizes breast cancer cells to ionizing radiation. *Breast Cancer Res* 2005;7:R156-63.
19. Zagar TM, Cardinale DM, Marks LB. Breast cancer therapy-associated cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Clin Oncol* 2016;13:172-84.
20. Available online: <http://www.mountsinai.org/profiles/barry-s-rosenstein>

Cite this article as: Kaidar-Person O, Zagar TM. Concurrent or sequential letrozole with adjuvant breast radiotherapy. *Transl Cancer Res* 2016;5(S1):S120-S122. doi: 10.21037/tcr.2016.05.01