<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	Supplementary Table 1	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	res (indicate where provided, section, paragraph)	n/a
Provide accession number in repository OR		n/a
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		n/a
origin, genetic modification status.		, -
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		n/a
genetic modification status. Provide accession		
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		n/a
field: Provide species, sex and age where		
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		n/a
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		
Digente and migration	Vac (indicate where way ided, section (as your wh)	
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession		n/a
number if available, and source (including location		
for collected wild specimens)		
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		n/a
accession number if available, and source		
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Nottingham Research Ethics Committee (Ref 2020313)	, .
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number	and R & D approval (03H101)	
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	This study used archival tissues with Ethics approval.	
obtained from study participants.	,	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	All patients in this breast cancer study were female.	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		n/a
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	Patients & Methods section	, .
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		n/a
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria		
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was		n/a
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological		n/a
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Not a clinical trial. Laboratory research using archival	n/a
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent	tissue. No human participants involved. Ethics approval	
committee(s), provide reference number for	and R & D approval given under Human Research	
approval.	participants section.	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		n/a
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if		n/a
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
explain why.		
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		n/a
state the authority granting approval and reference		
		1

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	NO. See section of manuscript headed 'Analysis Cohorts'	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	See section of manuscript headed 'Statistical analysis	
tests.	of IHC biological (steroid receptor, RTK-kinase and	
	proliferation) endpoints versus patient outcome'	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	Data underlying the findings described in this	II/d
including protocols for access or restriction on	manuscript may be obtained upon request as long as	
access.	the request is in keeping with the terms under which	
	the University of Nottingham received the data.	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		n/a
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.		
F		_
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		
If code is publicly available, provide accession		
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	CONSORT and ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article Information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tbcr-20-31