

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tbcr-23-32>

Reviewer Comments

Comment 1: Erlich tumor is already in the title, replace this keyword with another one.

Reply 1: Thanks for this precise observation. The keyword “Ehrlich tumor” was replaced by “*Tumor animal model*”

Comment 2: Introduction: The part of the introduction that addresses the issue of orphanhood was lost, as it was never mentioned further.

Reply 2: We agree with the Reviewer that this item was not considered further in the article, due to the focus being on features of the Ehrlich tumor. However, we believe that the data on social and family problems related to breast cancer is very important. While the number of cases and deaths from breast cancer are publicized in several sources and articles, the data of orphans are suppressed. Therefore, we think it is relevant to publicize and draw the attention of readers to this issue. To take up this idea, we added this sentence in the Conclusion: “*Indirectly, these models help to understand and minimize the problems faced in therapies and social issues related to breast cancer, such as the impact of the disease on patients’ families.*”

Comment 3: I don't think it's relevant to emphasize the inclusion of group studies. I believe that the group's works are important for the review, and will be included due to their scientific contribution, without it being necessary to highlight their inclusion. I suggest removing the phrase and keeping only the databases from which they will be taken.

Reply 3: Thanks for your comment. As suggested, the sentence was removed from the Methods.

Comment 4: Table 2 lacks column titles, please add

Reply 4: The column titles were included as:

Tumor type	Applications	References
------------	--------------	------------
