

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tbcr-23-36>

Reviewer Comments

Comment 1: Dear authors,

the manuscript proposed is well-written and has significant educational value. The gap in knowledge is clearly identified and addressed.

Anyhow, I believe the readability and adherence to the guidelines for an original article could be enhanced by making some adjustments. I suggest structuring the abstract into background, methods, results and conclusions. And for the same reason dividing the main text into introduction, methods, results, discussion and conclusion. Such adjustments can improve the overall organization of the manuscript.

Furthermore, I suggest considering the addition of a box that highlights the key findings and their impact. Such visual aid can make the central outcome of your work more accessible.

In the interest of clarity, I suggest stating acronyms clearly when they are first cited, as seen in line 62 and 66. This ensures the readers can understand the abbreviations used throughout the text easily.

I believe your work provides valuable insight and will be advantageous for those who read it. As such it should be considered for publication after minor revision.

Reply 1: Thank you sincerely for your thoughtful comments on this topic which we feel is of great importance to your readers. If it would be ok, we would like to submit our manuscript as an editorial as we feel that would be best suited for its style. Thank you for your consideration.