

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tbcr-23-34>

Reviewer Comments

Comment 1: Results could be improved with statistical uncertainties on figures, such as the average Hus of cystic and solid lesions.

Reply 1: I have added the averages to Table 1 and 2, thank you for this valuable improvement.

Comment 2: Findings could be compared to the other research papers cited in the introduction, even though for different organs.

Reply 2: I think the other papers have successfully shown that chest CT can diagnose a cyst and it follows it should be able to do so in the breast.

Comment 3: Table 3 could be improved by adding a labels column to the left with “Average HU” etc.

Reply 3: Thank you, the changes have been made.

Comment 4: Figure 5 would be improved by Comparing cystic and solid lesions directly rather than with and without contrast – i.e. change the legend to solid and cystic, and x-axis labels to with and without contrast.

Reply 4: Thank you for your valuable comment, I found it most interesting that the cystic lesions are similar with or without contrast and the solid lesions enhance and that all solid lesions are higher HU then cystic with or without contrast. I don't think this point would be shown as clearly by inverting image.