<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	No commercial reagents used; all data was pre-existing	х
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	from a database.	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.	No cell lines used	х
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of	No primary cultures used	х
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID	No animals used	х
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	No animals used	х
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID	No model organisms used	х

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	No plants used	x
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	No microbes used	х

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Line 91 in methods section; Institutional IRB approved	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Line 91 in methods section; Institutional IRB approved with waiver of consent	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Line 150-152 in patient and tumor characteristics; Also in Table 1 (line 258)	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration	This is a retrospective analysis	х
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		

Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-	No laboratory protocol	х
by-step protocols are available.		

Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Yes, Line 137-143 in sample population	
Randomisation	No, retrospective analysis, no randomization	х
Blinding	No, retrospective analysis, no blinding	х
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Line 93-98 in patient selection	

Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was	This is not a laboratory based study	х
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological	This is not a laboratory based study	х
replicates		

Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Line 91 in methods section; Institutional IRB approved	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	No experimental animals	х
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	None used	x

Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern,		х
state the authority granting approval and reference		
number for the regulatory approval		

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is	Line 137-143 describes included samples	
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Line 128-133 describes statistics utilized in the data	
tests.	analysis section	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
State whether newly created datasets are available,	Data was accessed from a commercial database that is	
including protocols for access or restriction on	not publicly available	
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		х
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		х
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		х
If code is publicly available, provide accession		х
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	х
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article Information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-20-1076	