

Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR) Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: [doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x](https://doi.org/10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x)). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	Methods/paragraph12,14	
Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID	Methods/paragraph6	
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of origin, genetic modification status.	Primary cells have not been used in the study.	n/a
Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID	Animals have not been used in the study.	n/a
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible	Animals have not been used in the study.	n/a
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID	Animals have not been used in the study.	n/a
Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)	Plants have not been used in the study.	n/a
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source	Microbes have not been used in the study.	n/a
Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Methods/paragraph3	
Provide statement confirming informed consent obtained from study participants.	Methods/paragraph3	
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Results/paragraph3 Table1	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.	The research in this article is not a clinical trial	n/a
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-by-step protocols are available.	Methods/paragraph24	
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination	Methods/paragraph3	
Randomisation	Methods/paragraph3	
Blinding		
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Methods/paragraph3	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Methods/paragraph28	
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	Methods/paragraph28	
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Methods/paragraph3	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	The research in this article does not involve animal experiments	n/a
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Methods/paragraph3	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	study is not subject to dual use research of concern	n/a

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Methods/paragraph3	
Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of tests.	Methods/paragraph28	
Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.	Results/paragraph1-8	
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.	Paragraph10	
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	Results/paragraph6	
Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided:	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.	code is not publicly available	n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		n/a

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of discipline-specific guidelines, established and endorsed through community initiatives. Journals have their own policy about requiring specific guidelines and recommendations to complement MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI, ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist (eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with the manuscript.	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows ICMJE recommendations for publication.	

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-21-885>