



Towards a clinically applicable histomolecular classification of lung adenocarcinomas?

Anne-Laure Désage¹, Tiphanie Picot², Fabien Forest^{2,3^}

¹Department of Pneumology, North Hospital, University Hospital of Saint Etienne, Saint Etienne, France; ²Department of Pathology and Molecular Pathology, North Hospital, University Hospital of Saint Etienne, Saint Etienne, France; ³Unité de Recherche en Cancérologie du CHU de Saint-Etienne (URCAS), Saint Etienne, France

Correspondence to: Fabien Forest. Department of Pathology, North Hospital, University Hospital of Saint Etienne, Molecular Genetic Platform of Cancer of Saint Etienne, Avenue Albert Raimond, CEDEX 2, 42055 Saint Etienne, France. Email: f.forest@univ-st-etienne.fr.

Comment on: Guidry K, Vasudevaraja V, Labbe K, *et al.* DNA Methylation Profiling Identifies Subgroups of Lung Adenocarcinoma with Distinct Immune Cell Composition, DNA Methylation Age, and Clinical Outcome. *Clin Cancer Res* 2022;28:3824-35.

Keywords: Lung; adenocarcinoma; EGFR; KRAS; subtyping

Submitted Jan 31, 2023. Accepted for publication Mar 30, 2023. Published online Apr 07, 2023.

doi: 10.21037/tlcr-23-68

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-23-68>

Lung adenocarcinoma is the most common histological type of lung cancer. It has been clear for several years that lung adenocarcinomas represent a very heterogeneous group in terms of prognosis and molecular features. Historically, the classification of these tumors was defined based on histopathology. The various WHO classifications of 1967, 1981, 1999, 2004, 2015, and 2021 have allowed for better definition and subtyping of certain entities (1). This subtyping correlates with prognosis for certain entities and with certain molecular abnormalities. For example, the recognition of invasive mucinous adenocarcinoma, which has a higher frequency of *KRAS* mutations and a higher proportion of oncogenic fusions than non-mucinous adenocarcinomas (1).

Nevertheless, this histopathological subtyping reaches its limits because it does not correlate perfectly with molecular abnormalities, it is not perfectly reproducible, it is improved by the use of immunohistochemistry, and above all it is not completely able to predict the therapeutic response (2-4). Excerpt for the histopathological type, histopathological subtyping of lung adenocarcinomas has limited use for the therapy of lung adenocarcinomas where stage, PD-L1 status and molecular biology have an important place.

The discovery of recurrent molecular abnormalities

in adenocarcinomas has led to diagnostic advances with molecularly defined entities. For example, the individualization of *SMARCA4*-deficient thoracic tumors or *NUT* cell carcinoma (5,6). Nevertheless, it is on the therapeutic level that molecular abnormalities have had an impact with clinical significance. On the opposite, while adenocarcinomas with *ALK* rearrangements reach a different population from other lung adenocarcinomas, have a different histopathological appearance, and different therapy, they are not recognized as a specific subtype whereas in other cancers some subtypes are defined by oncogenic fusions (7).

At the molecular level, the identification of oncogenic driver mutations in lung adenocarcinomas has led to improved overall patient survival and progression-free survival due to the availability of targeted therapies. Some of the frequent oncogenic drivers such as *EGFR* and *KRAS* mutations are mutually exclusive in untreated lung adenocarcinoma and occur in different populations. *EGFR* mutations are more frequent in non-smokers and in Asian patients, whereas *KRAS* mutations are more frequent in smokers (8,9). However, even though adenocarcinomas with oncogenic driver mutations or rearrangements share some common clinical or histopathological

[^] ORCID: 0000-0001-7582-3923.

features, adenocarcinoma subtyping is not based on these mutations (1). This can be contrasted with what has been described for other primary locations such as tumors of the central nervous system where, despite a lesser therapeutic range, gliomas have been subtyped according to the rearrangements or mutations present (10). For gliomas, the notion of histomolecular classification is no longer debated, whereas for lung adenocarcinomas, the notion of histomolecular classification is discussed (11).

Among the main works allowing a histomolecular classification of lung adenocarcinomas, The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) initiative is a major work (12). A work from TCGA looked at mutation profiles, structural rearrangements, copy number alterations, DNA methylation, mRNA, miRNA and protein expression of 230 lung adenocarcinomas (12). The authors proposed 6 subtypes by integrating histopathological data (12). Among the different molecular alterations, even though some mutations are recurrent, they are not used alone in current pathology for the classification of lung adenocarcinomas. Furthermore, several molecular classifications have been proposed with different systems but none of them has a clinical implication (13).

DNA methylation is an important factor among the epigenetic factors modifying the expression of certain genes. Indeed, methylation of a gene allows to modify its expression without modifying its sequence. For example, the methylation of a promoter usually leads to the repression of its expression. In brain tumor pathology, as well as in sarcomas, a classification system has been developed that allows to predict the histopathological type more precisely than the histopathological examination, based on the methylation profile (14,15). Moreover, in glioblastoma, *MGMT* promoter methylation is an important factor in predicting response to treatment.

In lung adenocarcinomas, gene methylation is not of major practical clinical interest to date. Nevertheless, Guidry *et al.* have identified several subgroups of lung adenocarcinomas with a distinct cell composition, a distinct DNA methylation age and these groups are correlated with clinical outcome (16). In the work of Guidry *et al.* the authors showed by studying the tumor microenvironment that immunologically warm tumors were richer in CD8 T cells and B cells and had lower levels of eosinophils (16). Nevertheless, overall survival was not correlated with immune phenotypes in this study (16). The composition of the microenvironment differed in patients with a history of smoking, where there was an increase in CD8⁺ T cells,

Tregs, B cells and neutrophils with low levels of NK cells, eosinophils and CD4⁺ T cells (16). The composition of the microenvironment varied according to ethnic group, but due to the small number of patients in each subgroup it was not possible to draw definite conclusions regarding the subgroup (16).

Among the correlations between the microenvironment and driver mutations, the main differences in the work of Guidry *et al.* were shown in adenocarcinomas with *KRAS* or *TP53* mutations where CD4⁺ T cells were decreased in case of either mutation (16).

DNA methylation allows an age calculation that correlates with biological age in humans. Using the Horvath clock approach to mDNA age, the authors showed a trend toward better survival in patients with mDNA ages greater than 75 years compared with younger patients (16). Interestingly, patients with *STK11*, *KEAP1*, and *ATM* mutations had a lower mDNA age (16). This is of interest because *STK11* and *KEAP1* mutations are correlated to poor response to immunotherapy (17). Patients with higher mDNA age had lower levels of Tregs and CD19⁺ B lymphocytes. In addition, these patients had higher levels of CD56⁺ NK cells, CD4⁺ T cells and CD14⁺ monocytes/macrophages (16). A work from our group found that lepidic, papillary components and *EGFR* mutations are frequent in patients with lung adenocarcinoma who are over 75 years old reinforcing the fact that lung adenocarcinomas might be different in older patients (9).

Guidry *et al.* used an unsupervised hierarchical clustering technique and individualized 6 molecular groups (16). Data from some of the subgroups are limited due to small numbers of patients, for example, subgroup 6 which includes only 5 tumors. However, data from some subgroups may be of interest for prognosis and therapy, with subgroup 1 with the highest mDNA age having no reported patient deaths and a trend toward better survival compared with groups 2 to 5 (16).

The data of Guidry *et al.* although limited because of the small number of patients, seems interesting to identify subgroups of patients with different prognosis that could benefit from personalized adjuvant therapy, beyond chemotherapy (16,18). Moreover, most patients with lung adenocarcinoma present at a metastatic stage. However, the tumor microenvironment seems to differ according to the metastatic site, and it is not certain that the data obtained on the primary site can be extrapolated to the metastatic sites (19).

In total, the data proposed by Guidry *et al.* could be of

clinical interest, it allows the identification of subgroups, although promising it could be interesting to verify the response to adjuvant therapies according to the proposed subtypes in an ancillary study (16). Molecular classification of lung adenocarcinoma remains an issue, but multiple approaches might be useful to identify specific subgroups in order to propose the most adequate treatment for patients.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Translational Lung Cancer Research*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://tldr.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/tlcr-23-68/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. WHO. Classification of Tumors Editorial Board Thoracic Tumors. 5th edition. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, 2021.
2. Pelosi G, Scarpa A, Forest F, et al. The impact of immunohistochemistry on the classification of lung tumors. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 2016;10:1105-21.
3. Casteillo F, Guy JB, Dal-Col P, et al. Pathologic Subtypes of Lung Adenocarcinoma Brain Metastasis Is a Strong Predictor of Survival After Resection. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2018;42:1701-7.
4. Da Cruz V, Yvoret V, Casteillo F, et al. Histopathological subtyping is a prognostic factor in stage IV lung adenocarcinoma. *Lung Cancer* 2020;147:77-82.
5. Perret R, Chalabreysse L, Watson S, et al. SMARCA4-deficient Thoracic Sarcomas: Clinicopathologic Study of 30 Cases With an Emphasis on Their Nosology and Differential Diagnoses. *Am J Surg Pathol* 2019;43:455-65.
6. Fekkar A, Emprou C, Lefebvre C, et al. Thoracic NUT carcinoma: Common pathological features despite diversity of clinical presentations. *Lung Cancer* 2021;158:55-9.
7. Nishino M, Klepeis VE, Yeap BY, et al. Histologic and cytomorphic features of ALK-rearranged lung adenocarcinomas. *Mod Pathol* 2012;25:1462-72.
8. Forest F, Stachowicz ML, Casteillo F, et al. EGFR, KRAS, BRAF and HER2 testing in metastatic lung adenocarcinoma: Value of testing on samples with poor specimen adequacy and analysis of discrepancies. *Exp Mol Pathol* 2017;103:306-10.
9. Forest F, Patoir A, Dal-Col P, et al. Lepidic, Papillary Components and EGFR Mutations are Frequent in Patients With Lung Adenocarcinoma Who are Over 75 Years Old. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 2019;27:667-71.
10. Appay R, Tabouret E, Macagno N, et al. IDH2 mutations are commonly associated with 1p/19q codeletion in diffuse adult gliomas. *Neuro Oncol* 2018;20:716-8.
11. Nicholson AG, Scagliotti G, Tsao MS, et al. 2021 WHO Classification of Lung Cancer: A Globally Applicable and Molecular Biomarker-Relevant Classification. *J Thorac Oncol* 2022;17:e80-3.
12. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. *Nature* 2014;511:543-50.
13. Huang X, Zhang F, Lin J, et al. Systematically analyzed molecular characteristics of lung adenocarcinoma using metabolism-related genes classification. *Genet Mol Biol* 2023;45:e20220121.
14. Capper D, Jones DTW, Sill M, et al. DNA methylation-based classification of central nervous system tumours. *Nature* 2018;555:469-74.
15. Forest F, Masliah-Planchon J, Berger C, et al. High-grade childhood intra-parenchymal brain tumor clustering with ATRT and expanding the cancer spectrum related to inherited SMARCE1 truncating variations. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 2022;10:24.
16. Guidry K, Vasudevaraja V, Labbe K, et al. DNA Methylation Profiling Identifies Subgroups of Lung

- Adenocarcinoma with Distinct Immune Cell Composition, DNA Methylation Age, and Clinical Outcome. *Clin Cancer Res* 2022;28:3824-35.
17. Skoulidis F, Goldberg ME, Greenawalt DM, et al. STK11/LKB1 Mutations and PD-1 Inhibitor Resistance in KRAS-Mutant Lung Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Discov* 2018;8:822-35.
 18. Désage AL, Tissot C, Bayle-Bleuez S, et al. Adjuvant

Cite this article as: Désage AL, Picot T, Forest F. Towards a clinically applicable histomolecular classification of lung adenocarcinomas? *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2023;12(5):953-956. doi: 10.21037/tlcr-23-68

- chemotherapy for completely resected IIA-IIIa non-small cell lung cancer: compliance to guidelines, safety and efficacy in real-life practice. *Transl Lung Cancer Res* 2022;11:2418-37.
19. Forest F, Laville D, Habougit C, et al. Histopathological and molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma skin metastases reveals specific features. *Histopathology* 2021;79:1051-60.