<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier	2.Material and methods:2.2 DNA extraction and whole-	
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.	exome sequencing	

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		N/A
Provide accession number in repository OR		
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		N/A
origin, genetic modification status.		

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age, genetic modification status. Provide accession number in repository OR supplier name, catalog number, clone number, OR RRID		N/A
Animal observed in or captured from the field: Provide species, sex and age where possible		N/A
Model organisms: Provide Accession number in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		N/A

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		N/A
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source		N/A

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Ethical Statement	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent	Ethical Statement	
obtained from study participants.		
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Supplemental table.3	

<u>Design</u>

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration		N/A
number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step-		N/A
by-step protocols are available.		
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been		
done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		N/A
Randomisation		N/A
Blinding		N/A
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	2. Methods: 2.1 Patients	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was		N/A
replicated in laboratory		
Define whether data describe technical or biological		N/A
replicates		
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of	Ethical Statement	
authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent		
committee(s), provide reference number for		
approval.		
Studies involving experimental animals: State details		N/A
of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or		
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number		
for approval.		
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of	Ethical Statement	
relevant permits obtained, provide details of		
authority approving study; if none were required		
authority approving study; if none were required,		
authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.		
explain why. Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC) If study is subject to dual use research of concern,	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a N/A
explain why. Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is		N/A
excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were		
determined and specified in advance.		

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	2. Methods: 2.4 Mutational signature analysis; 2.5	
tests.	Analysis of significantly mutated genes (SMGs); 2.6 Identification of significantly amplified/deleted regions; 2.8 Identification of primary and recurrence-specific driver genes by randomization test; 2.9 Pathway analysis; 2.12 Statistical analysis	

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available, including protocols for access or restriction on access.		N/A
If data are publicly available, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL.		N/A
If publicly available data are reused, provide accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where possible.	TCGA dataset was reused: 2. Methods: 2.11 Tumor purity and intra-tumor heterogeneity (ITH) analysis and 3. Results: 3.7 Clone analysis revealed similar tumor inter-heterogeneity between primary and recurrence LUAD. The URL of dataset: https://www.cancer.gov/about-nci/organization/ccg/research/structural-genomics/tcga	

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		N/A
If code is publicly available, provide accession number in repository, or DOI or URL.		N/A

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		N/
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		Α
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	ICMJE guidelines were followed, as the journal follows	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	ICMJE recommendations for publication.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with		
the manuscript.		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-22-793