Section/item	ltem No	Recommendation	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	Page 4, line 57 to 59	Abstract/ paragraph 2
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	Page 4, line 57 to 76	Abstract/ paragraph 2
Introduction				
Background/ rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	Page 7, line 85 to 126	Introduction/ paragraph 1-4
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	Page 9, line 129 to 136	Introduction/ paragraph 5
Methods				
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	Page 9, line 129 to 136	Introduction/ paragraph 5
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	Page 9, line 141 to 162	Methods/ paragraph 1
Participants	6	 (a) <i>Cohort study</i> – Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up <i>Case-control study</i> – Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls <i>Cross-sectional study</i> – Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants 	Page 9, line 143 to 155	Methods/ paragraph 1
		(b) Cohort study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed Case-control study —For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	N/A	N/A
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	Page 9, line 143 to 162	Methods/ paragraph 1
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	Page 10, line 164 to 223	Methods/ paragraph 2-7
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	Page 9, line 149 to 153	Methods/ paragraph 1
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Page 9, line 144 to153	Methods/ paragraph 1
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	Page 9, line 144 to 223	Methods/ paragraph 1-7

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

1			
12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	Page 13, line 226 to 242	Methods/ paragraph 8-9
	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Page 13, line 226 to 242	Methods/ paragraph 9
	(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A	N/A
	(d) Cohort study —If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study —If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study —If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	Page 9, line 144 to153	Methods/ paragraph 1
	(e) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A	N/A
		·	
13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study – eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	Page 14, line 246 to 247	Results/ paragraph 1
	(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	Page 14, line 246 to 247	Results/ paragraph 1
	(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A	N/A
14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders	Page 13, line 246 to 253	Results/ paragraph 1
	(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	N/A	N/A
	(c) Cohort study —Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	N/A	N/A
15*	Cohort study – Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	N/A	N/A
	Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary measures of exposure	N/A	N/A
	Cross-sectional study – Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures	Page 14, line 246 to 330	Results/ paragraph 1-8
16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	N/A	N/A
	(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N/A	N/A
	(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N/A	N/A
17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	Page 14, line 246 to 330	Results/ paragraph 1-8
			·
18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	Page 17 line 334 to 345	Discussion/ paragraph 1
19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	Page 17, line 349 to 367	Discussion/ paragraph 2
	13* 14* 15* 16 17 18	(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Cross-sectional study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed (e) Describe any sensitivity analyses 13* (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram 14* (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest (c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) 15* Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures of exposure 16 (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included (c) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized (c) If relevant	(c) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Page 13, line 226 to 242 (c) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions Page 13, line 226 to 242 (c) Explain how missing data were addressed N/A (d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed Page 9, line 144 to 153 Cross-sectional study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed Page 9, line 144 to 153 (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed Page 14, line 246 to 247 (c) Consider use of a flow diagram N/A Page 13, line 246 to 243 (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and page 13, line 246 to 253 potential confounders Page 13, line 246 to 243 (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest N/A (c) Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures of exposure N/A (a) Give unadjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confider on advy they were included N/A (b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized N/A (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity an

Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	Page 20, line 422 to 423	Discussion/ paragraph 7			
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	Page 17, line 350 to 355	Discussion/ paragraph 2			
Other information							
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	Page 21, line 451 to 452	Acknowledgments			

*Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tlcr-23-137

*As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.