



The role of alloplastic temporomandibular joint (TMJ) replacement in the management of condylar resorption – a narrative review of the literature

Louis G. Mercuri^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL, USA; ²Department of Bioengineering, University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA; ³Stryker/TMJ Concepts, Ventura, CA, USA

Correspondence to: Louis G. Mercuri, DDS, MS. 604 Bonnie Brae Place, River Forest, IL 60305, USA. Email: louis_g_mercuri@rush.edu.

Background and Objective: It has been hypothesized that condylar resorption is the result of maladaptive articular bone remodeling due to increased or constant temporomandibular joint (TMJ) functional overload surpassing the innate capacity of the local bone and cartilage to adapt to the situation. The literature has consistently demonstrated the successful utilization of alloplastic temporomandibular joint reconstruction devices (TMJR) in the management of end-stage TMJ disease. In light of the safety and efficacy demonstrated by TMJR in such cases over the years, this paper will discuss the rationale, advantages and disadvantages related to the use of TMJR devices for the management of mandibular condylar resorption.

Methods: A review of the pertinent English language orthopedic and TMJ literature on PubMed (pubmed.gov) between the years 1996 and 2021 was conducted using the terms “bone adaptive remodeling” and “TMJ condylar resorption”.

Key Content and Findings: Based on the effects of increased or extreme joint overload resulting in a maladaptive remodeling of the TMJ condyle, potential comorbid factors, the severity and activity of the process, as well as patients’ desires, the following management options were found and can be considered: no treatment, orthodontics, medical management with orthognathic surgery, disc repositioning with orthognathic surgery and alloplastic TMJ replacement. Based on the relevant literature reviewed, TMJR appears to be a safe and effective surgical option for the management of patients with end-stage condylar resorption.

Conclusions: Based on the literature reviewed for this paper, TMJR is a safe and effective surgical option for the management for both the skeletally mature and skeletally immature patient with end-stage condylar resorption. Therefore, it also appears appropriate for surgeons to consider a management option for condylar resorption such as TMJR that does not depend on a biomechanically compromised, degenerated condyle with documented biological maladaptive capacity under loading functions. Future studies with large condylar resorption subject cohorts should be pursued to further support this management option.

Keywords: Alloplastic temporomandibular joint (TMJ) replacement; temporomandibular joint reconstruction devices (TMJR); condylar resorption

Received: 20 January 2022; Accepted: 16 May 2022; Published online: 26 May 2022.

doi: 10.21037/fomm-22-3

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/fomm-22-3>

Introduction

Over 30 years, the literature has consistently demonstrated the successful utilization of alloplastic temporomandibular joint reconstruction devices (TMJR) in the management

of end-stage temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disease in skeletally mature patients (1). This paper will discuss the rationale, advantages and disadvantages related to the use of TMJR devices for the management of mandibular condylar

Table 1 Criteria used for narrative review

Items	Specification
Date of search	12/1/2021
Databases and other sources searched	PubMed.gov
Search terms used	“Bone adaptive remodeling”, “TMJ condylar resorption”
Timeframe	1996 to 2021
Inclusion and exclusion criteria	All pertinent papers, English language
Selection process	The author

resorption. The author presents this article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at <https://fomm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/fomm-22-3/rc>).

Methods

A review included the pertinent English language orthopedic and TMJ literature between the years 1996 and 2021 cited in PubMed (pubmed.gov) using the terms “bone adaptive remodeling” and “TMJ condylar resorption” (Table 1).

Background

Arnett *et al.* stated condylar resorption is the result of maladaptive articular bone remodeling due to increased or constant TMJ functional overload surpassing the innate capacity of the local bone and cartilage to adapt to the situation (2,3).

From their ovariectomized rat studies, Nogami *et al.* and Yang *et al.* concluded that estrogen deficiency and mechanical overloading of the TMJ play a role in the morphologic changes in the mandibular condyle and changes in the osseous microstructure, which are more apparent in areas of poor bone quality (4,5). However, the exact role of estrogen deficiency in TMJ condylar resorption is still undetermined.

While the precise pathophysiology of condylar resorption is unknown, excessive mechanical loading beyond the adaptive capacity of the TMJ remains one of its most acknowledged risk factors. Masticatory functional loading forces subject the load bearing TMJ structures to high degree of mechanical stress (6). The condyle is reactive to loading which is exhibited by bone remodeling that occurs when the condyle is placed under continuous stress (6). This has been demonstrated to occur after orthognathic

surgery (7). Higher occurrence of resorption of the subchondral bone was seen in animal studies when TMJ condyles were placed under excessive functional mechanical stress (8-10). Evidence suggests that pathological condylar resorption occurs when functional loading stress exceeds an adaptive remodeling threshold (11).

Frost’s Utah Paradigm of Skeletal Physiology examined the adaptive capacity of joints to remodel as well as the outcomes of constant joint overload resulting in maladaptive remodeling (12). When functional loading exceed the adaptive modeling threshold, remodeling increases bone strength and mass (12-16). Where loading remains below that threshold, remodeling stops. This permits bones to become stronger than necessary when dealing with typical loads, this is termed the bone “strength safety factor” (17).

Therefore, when functional loading on a joint exceeds its ability to remodel and adapt the articular cartilage and underlying bone degenerate. This becomes manifested as pain and skeletal dysfunction. On TMJ imaging this process will be appreciated as loss of bony architecture. Clinically, the patient will demonstrate a loss of mandibular posterior vertical dimension, a Class II facial profile, with or without an anterior open bite.

Arnett *et al.* speculated on a number of factors capable of resulting in the decreased adaptive capacity of a TMJ that exhibits both the radiographic and clinical signs of condylar resorption.

These factors were the age of the patient, comorbid systemic illness, endocrine- and immune-related systemic disorders that might disturb bone growth, maturation, and maladaptive bone remodeling (2).

Results

Based on the literature reviewed for this presentation,

the incidence of TMJ condylar resorption is uncertain ranging from 1–31% (18-20). In a survey of Midwest Angle Society orthodontists, Handelman reported the frequency of condylar resorption was found to be roughly 1 in 5,000 orthodontic patients. Of the cases in this survey, 62.5% had no history of orthognathic surgery, while 37.5% followed orthognathic surgery. While in the literature, the incidence of condylar resorption after orthognathic surgery was reported to be 2–5% (21).

Based on the effects of increased or extreme joint overload resulting in a maladaptive remodeling of the TMJ condyle, potential comorbid factors, the severity and activity of the process, as well as patients' desires, the following management options can be considered.

No treatment/occlusal appliance

If the condylar resorption is no longer active, especially when the aesthetic affects are acceptable to the patient no treatment could be a possible option. With this option, a full coverage occlusal appliance should be used at night to circumvent excessive parafunctional forces on the TMJ (21,22).

Orthodontics

During the active phase of condylar resorption, concomitant orthodontics is contraindicated as it could hasten the maladaptive bony remodeling process. When in remission, orthodontic management without orthognathic surgery is possible in patients with moderate skeletal and occlusal discrepancies. However, in all condylar resorption cases that progress to necessitating orthognathic surgery, orthodontics is required to align the maxillary and mandibular dentition to achieve maximum dental occlusion following surgery (21).

Medical management with orthognathic surgery

The pharmacologic control of the condylar resorptive process both before and after orthognathic surgery has been proposed (23). The regimen consists of anti-inflammatory drugs such as the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), plus vitamin D and calcium supplementation, as well as an antioxidant diet to increase bone density. It is essential that a rheumatologist who understands condylar resorption prescribe and monitor any biologic medication

that may be utilized as part of this regimen (21).

Combined orthognathic and TMJ disc repositioning surgery

Wolford and Gonçalves proposed a protocol for managing condylar resorption with a concomitant dentofacial deformity by repositioning salvageable articular discs to the condyle with an anchor and bimaxillary orthognathic surgery. However, this protocol should only be employed within 4 years of the onset of the signs and symptoms of condylar resorption, and only when there is an undamaged articular disc (24).

TMJ reconstruction

Condylar resorption results in biological, physiologic and biomechanically compromised host condylar bone due to the presence of osteoclastic over osteoblastic activity. So, expecting that compromised articular bone to be stable when utilizing autogenous reconstruction with a costochondral graft (25,26), orthognathic surgery alone (27,28), or distraction osteogenesis (29,30) is naïve to the situation.

Over 30 years, the literature has consistently demonstrated the successful utilization of alloplastic TMJR in the management of end-stage TMJ disease (1). Therefore, consideration should be giving to this surgical option which is not dependent on the compromised biological adaptive capacity of the articulation and the surrounding soft tissues. Understanding that TMJR is a biomechanical rather than biological solution to the management of anatomically distorted, maladaptive or dysfunctional joints resulting from end-stage disease (21,31-34).

Discussion

Condylar resorption management proved to be varied among the experienced TMJ surgeons Alsabban *et al.* (35) surveyed. In this study, 81 patients (81%) had already undergone 1 or more treatments that had failed. Of these, 52% had failed orthodontic treatment; 23% unsuccessful occlusal appliance therapy; 19% had relapsed orthognathic surgery; 9% failed occlusal equilibrations; 7% failed arthrocentesis; and 5% failed arthroscopic surgery.

The surgeon respondents reported managing 45% of these failed cases using a TMJR (39% with patient-fitted devices; 6% with stock devices), 32% with orthognathic

surgery, 17% with disc repositioning, 14% with another course of orthodontics, 9% only with medications, 6% with arthrocentesis, 6% with discectomy, and 6% with arthroscopic surgery. Some of these patients underwent multiple procedures (e.g., TMJR with orthodontics and orthognathic surgery). The longest follow-up period reported with favorable outcomes was 120 months (40–54.6 months) for all surgeons (35).

Counterclockwise mandibular rotation is always a component of the surgical management of condylar resorption. Expecting the remnant of a compromised deteriorated condyloid process, an avascular costochondral bone graft, a degenerated condyle after orthognathic surgery, or distraction to tolerate this movement which increases Class III lever forces at the TMJ to survive lacks scientific logic (13). The literature demonstrates the long-term stability of TMJR in the management of condylar resorption cases (21,31–41).

The advantages of TMJR in the management of condylar resorption include (I) availability; (II) no autogenous donor site; (III) custom components conform to the given anatomy; (IV) the materials are not susceptible to systemic or local pathology; (V) physical therapy can start early preventing intra-articular adhesions and decreasing muscle pain (41).

The relative disadvantages of TMJR in the management of condylar resorption include (I) cost; (II) potential for material sensitivity, wear; (III) longevity; and (IV) cannot be used in skeletally immature patients (41). See the Tiwana paper in this series for a discussion of this last relative “disadvantage”.

The major complications leading to revision and/or replacement reported for TMJR are infection, development of heterotopic bone around the articulation, material hypersensitivity, dislocation and persistent pain in the multiply operated patient (41,42).

Finally, in these cases when discussing device longevity, the issue of quality of life (QoL) must be discussed and considered. Stock TMJR devices have been reported to have a longevity of at least 10 years (43), custom TMJR devices at least 20 years (44), both with patient reported increased QoL. Is the increased QoL more important to the patient than the potential for revision and/or replacement? That is a question only the patient can answer.

Conclusions

Based on the literature reviewed for this paper, TMJR is a safe and effective surgical option for the management for

both the skeletally mature and skeletally immature patient with end-stage condylar resorption. Therefore, it also appears appropriate for surgeons to consider a management option for condylar resorption such as TMJR that does not depend on a biomechanically compromised, degenerated condyle with documented biological maladaptive capacity under loading functions. Future studies with large condylar resorption subject cohorts should be pursued to further support this management option.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Frontiers of Oral and Maxillofacial Medicine*, for the series “Indications for Alloplastic TMJ Replacement in Maxillofacial Surgery—an evidence-based review of the literature”. The article has undergone external peer review.

Reporting Checklist: The author has completed the Narrative Review reporting checklist. Available at <https://fomm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/fomm-22-3/rc>

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://fomm.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/fomm-22-3/coif>). The series “Indications for Alloplastic TMJ Replacement in Maxillofacial Surgery—an evidence-based review of the literature” was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. LGM served as the unpaid Guest Editor of the series and serves as an unpaid editorial board member of *Frontiers of Oral and Maxillofacial Medicine* from July 2021 to June 2023. LGM receives consulting fees, support for travel when lecturing for Stryker/TMJ Concepts and participates in Stryker/TMJ Concepts as an advisor on clinical matters of safety and efficacy. The author has no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article

distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

- Balel Y, Tümer MK. A Bibliometric Analysis of International Publication Trends in Total Temporomandibular Joint Replacement Research (1986-2020). *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2021;79:1458.e1-1458.e12.
- Arnett GW, Milam SB, Gottesman L. Progressive mandibular retrusion--idiopathic condylar resorption. Part I. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1996;110:8-15.
- Arnett GW, Milam SB, Gottesman L. Progressive mandibular retrusion--idiopathic condylar resorption. Part II. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1996;110:117-27.
- Nogami S, Yamauchi K, Odashima K, et al. Influence of oestrogen deficiency and excessive mechanical stress on condylar head of mandible. *Oral Dis* 2020;26:1718-26.
- Yang HJ, Hwang SJ. Effects of 17 β -Estradiol Deficiency and Mechanical Overload on Osseous Changes in the Rat Temporomandibular Joint. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2020;78:214.e1-214.e14.
- Iwasaki LR, Gonzalez YM, Liu Y, et al. TMJ energy densities in healthy men and women. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 2017;25:846-9.
- Vandeput AS, Verhelst PJ, Jacobs R, et al. Condylar changes after orthognathic surgery for class III dentofacial deformity: a systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;48:193-202.
- Claus JDP, Koerich L, Weissheimer A, et al. Assessment of condylar changes after orthognathic surgery using computed tomography regional superimposition. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;48:1201-8.
- Nogami S, Yamauchi K, Shimizu Y, et al. Experimental comparison between tractional and compressive stress on temporomandibular joint. *Oral Dis* 2017;23:644-52.
- Kuang B, Zeng Z, Qin Q. Biomechanically stimulated chondrocytes promote osteoclastic bone resorption in the mandibular condyle. *Arch Oral Biol* 2019;98:248-57.
- Tanaka E, Detamore MS, Mercuri LG. Degenerative disorders of the temporomandibular joint: etiology, diagnosis, and treatment. *J Dent Res* 2008;87:296-307.
- Frost HM. Changing concepts in skeletal physiology: Wolff's Law, the Mechanostat, and the "Utah Paradigm". *Am J Hum Biol* 1998;10:599-605.
- Burr DB. Muscle strength, bone mass, and age-related bone loss. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997;12:1547-51.
- Forwood MR, Turner CH. Skeletal adaptations to mechanical usage: results from tibial loading studies in rats. *Bone* 1995;17:197S-205S.
- Kannus P, Sievänen H, Vuori I. Physical loading, exercise, and bone. *Bone* 1996;18:1S-3S.
- Mosley JR, Lanyon LE. Strain rate as a controlling influence on adaptive modeling in response to dynamic loading of the ulna in growing male rats. *Bone* 1998;23:313-8.
- Umamura Y, Ishiko T, Yamauchi T, et al. Five jumps per day increase bone mass and breaking force in rats. *J Bone Miner Res* 1997;12:1480-5.
- Hwang SJ, Haers PE, Seifert B, et al. Non-surgical risk factors for condylar resorption after orthognathic surgery. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2004;32:103-11.
- de Moraes PH, Rizzati-Barbosa CM, Olate S, et al. Condylar Resorption After Orthognathic Surgery: A Systematic Review. *Int J Morphol* 2012;30:1023-8.
- Valladares-Neto J, Cevidanes LH, Rocha WC, et al. TMJ response to mandibular advancement surgery: an overview of risk factors. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2014;22:2-14.
- Mercuri LG, Handelman CS. Idiopathic Condylar Resorption: What Should We Do? *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2020;32:105-16.
- Zhou J, Fu Y, Yu L, et al. A novel three-dimensional morphological analysis of idiopathic condylar resorption following stabilisation splint treatment. *J Oral Rehabil* 2021;48:560-7.
- Gunson MJ, Arnett GW, Milam SB. Pathophysiology and pharmacologic control of osseous mandibular condylar resorption. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;70:1918-34.
- Wolford LM, Gonçalves JR. Condylar resorption of the temporomandibular joint: how do we treat it? *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2015;27:47-67.
- Peacock ZS, Lee CCY, Troulis MJ, et al. Long-Term Stability of Condylectomy and Costochondral Graft Reconstruction for Treatment of Idiopathic Condylar Resorption. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2019;77:792-802.
- Mercuri LG. Costochondral Graft Versus Total Alloplastic Joint for Temporomandibular Joint Reconstruction. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2018;30:335-42.
- Catherine Z, Breton P, Bouletreau P. Condylar resorption after orthognathic surgery: A systematic review. *Rev*

- Stomatol Chir Maxillofac Chir Orale 2016;117:3-10.
28. Politis C, Van De Vyvere G, Agbaje JO. Condylar Resorption After Orthognathic Surgery. *J Craniofac Surg* 2019;30:169-74.
 29. Schendel SA, Tulasne JF, Linck DW 3rd. Idiopathic condylar resorption and micrognathia: the case for distraction osteogenesis. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65:1610-6.
 30. van Strijen PJ, Breuning KH, Becking AG, et al. Condylar resorption following distraction osteogenesis: a case report. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;59:1104-7; discussion 1107-8.
 31. Mercuri LG. A rationale for total alloplastic temporomandibular joint reconstruction in the management of idiopathic/progressive condylar resorption. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65:1600-9. Erratum in: *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;66:208.
 32. Mercuri LG. Osteoarthritis, osteoarthrosis, and idiopathic condylar resorption. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2008;20:169-vi.
 33. Mercuri LG. Total Joint Replacement as a Treatment for Condylar Resorption. In: Handleman CS, Greene C. editors. *Seminars in Orthodontics*. 2013:116-26.
 34. Handleman CS, Mercuri LG. Idiopathic/Progressive Condylar Resorption: Orthodontic Perspective. In: Kandasamy S, Greene CS, Rinchuse DJ, et al. editors. *TMD and Orthodontics - A Clinical Guide for the Orthodontist*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2015:97-118.
 35. Alsabban L, Amarista FJ, Mercuri LG, et al. Idiopathic Condylar Resorption: A Survey and Review of the Literature. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2018;76:2316.e1-2316.e13.
 36. Mehra P, Nadershah M, Chigurupati R. Is Alloplastic Temporomandibular Joint Reconstruction a Viable Option in the Surgical Management of Adult Patients With Idiopathic Condylar Resorption? *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2016;74:2044-54.
 37. Chigurupati R, Mehra P. Surgical Management of Idiopathic Condylar Resorption: Orthognathic Surgery Versus Temporomandibular Total Joint Replacement. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am* 2018;30:355-67.
 38. Wang J, Veiszenbacher E, Waite PD, et al. Comprehensive treatment approach for bilateral idiopathic condylar resorption and anterior open bite with customized lingual braces and total joint prostheses. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2019;156:125-36.
 39. Chung CJ, Choi YJ, Kim IS, et al. Total alloplastic temporomandibular joint reconstruction combined with orthodontic treatment in a patient with idiopathic condylar resorption. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2011;140:404-17.
 40. Alexander R. Idiopathic condylar resorption. Report of a case. *N Y State Dent J* 2012;78:22-5.
 41. Mercuri LG. editor. *Temporomandibular Joint Total Joint Replacement – TMJ TJR – A Comprehensive Reference for Researchers, Material Scientists and Surgeons*. New York, NY, USA: Springer International Publishing, 2016.
 42. Amarista FJ, Mercuri LG, Perez D. Temporomandibular Joint Prosthesis Revision and/or Replacement Survey and Review of the Literature. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2020;78:1692-703.
 43. Leandro LF, Ono HY, Loureiro CC, et al. A ten-year experience and follow-up of three hundred patients fitted with the Biomet/Lorenz Microfixation TMJ replacement system. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2013;42:1007-13.
 44. Wolford LM, Mercuri LG, Schneiderman ED, et al. Twenty-year follow-up study on a patient-fitted temporomandibular joint prosthesis: the Techmedica/TMJ Concepts device. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015;73:952-60.

doi: 10.21037/fomm-22-3

Cite this article as: Mercuri LG. The role of alloplastic temporomandibular joint (TMJ) replacement in the management of condylar resorption—a narrative review of the literature. *Front Oral Maxillofac Med* 2023;5:34.