

Audiometric hearing results after ossicular chain reconstruction with partial titanium clip prostheses

Nayellin Reyes-Chicuellar¹, Anthony Noor¹, Thomas Kertesz²

¹Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Department, Royal Darwin Hospital, Tiwi NT 0810, Australia; ²Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Department, Prince of Wales Hospital, Randwick, NSW 2031, Australia

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: N Reyes-Chicuellar; (II) Administrative support: All authors using SPSS Version 24 IBM, 2016 version; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: T Kertesz; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Dr. Nayellin Reyes-Chicuellar, MD, MBBS. 40 Leura Street, Rosny, Tasmania 7018, Australia. Email: dranayellin@live.com.mx.

Background: This paper reviews the outcomes of partial ossicular chain reconstruction using the Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden prosthesis (Clip) in comparison to the earlier generation Bell prosthesis (Bell).

Methods: A retrospective monocentric chart review. A cohort of patients undergoing ossicular chain reconstruction between 1 January 2014 and 31 December 2018.

Results: Forty-three patients, 7 children and 35 adults were included in the study. A successful hearing result was defined as air-bone gap (ABG) <20 dB. A total of 91% of cases resulted in an overall successful hearing. The overall pure tone audiogram (PTA) improved by 20 ± 0.63 dB (preop: 52.6 ± 19 , postop: 32.6 ± 14.8). The overall ABG improvement was 33.9 ± 11.4 (preop: 13 ± 7.6 , postop: 20.7 ± 3.8). The overall results of Clip being better (96%) than the results for Bell prosthesis (82%). A successful postoperative ABG of <20 dB was obtained in 91% of the patients (n=39). The Clip prosthesis had a significantly higher success rate of 96% (n=26) in comparison to the Bell prosthesis which was successful in 82% of patients (n=14) (P<0.001). Results showed a low complication rate. One revision procedure (2.3%) to modify the length was needed with a Clip prosthesis and one revision procedure was required in the Bell group due to extrusion; it was replaced with a Clip prosthesis

Conclusions: Clip prosthesis demonstrates promising outcomes partial ossicular chain reconstruction with higher rates of postoperative air-bone Gap reduction and minimal complications.

Keywords: Titanium Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Old-style Bell Partial Prosthesis; Ossicular Chain Reconstruction; Air-Bone Gap (ABG)

Received: 06 January 2020; Accepted: 24 September 2020; Published: 09 November 2020. doi: 10.21037/ajo-19-2

View this article at: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ajo-19-2

Introduction

Ossicular chain reconstruction remains the primary method of restoring conductive hearing deficits commonly seen in chronic middle ear disease (1,2). Since 1994, the German company Kurz has developed different forms of titanium ossicular prostheses. The earlier style Bell prosthesis (Bell) was designed as a partial ossicular replacement prosthesis (PORP) to be placed on the intact stapes capitulum (*Figure 1*). Design developments led to the newer Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis (Clip) which features a spring-loaded fastening mechanism to secure the coupling to a mobile stapes (*Figure 2*). The design is reported to reduce dislocation and extrusion of prosthesis with superior audiological outcomes. The purpose of this study is to compare postoperative audiological outcomes and complications of the Clip prosthesis with the Bell prosthesis.

We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/ajo-19-2).



Figure 1 Images reproduction with the authorization of Heinz Kurz GmbH Medizinetechnik. (A) Earlier style Bell partial prosthesis; (B) Titanium Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis.

Table 1 Indication	ı for	ossicular	chain	reconstruction
--------------------	-------	-----------	-------	----------------

Type of prosthesis	Indication	Frequency	Percent
Clip	Cholesteatoma	12	46.1
	CSOM without cholesteatoma	10	38.4
	Traumatic dislocation	3	11.5
	Revision prosthesis	1	3.9
Bell	Cholesteatoma	4	23.5
	CSOM without cholesteatoma	12	70.6
	Traumatic dislocation	1	5.9
	Revision prosthesis	0	0

Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis; CSOM, Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media.

Methods

Following institutional review board approval (no. 004834UNSW), A de-personalized chart review of all patients who underwent partial ossicular replacement using a Kurz titanium prosthesis from January 2014 to December 2018 was undertaken. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013), individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. All operation was performed by a single surgeon, the senior author (TRK). All the patients had cartilage interposition grafts between the prosthesis and the tympanic membrane. Cohorts were stratified based on the use of either the Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden or the old-style Bell Prosthesis. All procedures were analyzed in a postsurgical data base. Pre-operative and post-operative

audiograms recorded thresholds at 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz according to AAO-HNS guidelines. All patients completed audiograms 1 week before and three months post-surgery. These results were compared and used for statistical analysis using JASP Statistics Software (Sir Harold Jeffreys' Statistics Program, University of Amsterdam). Successful reconstruction was determined by a postoperative air-bone gap of 20 dB or less (3,4). A paired sample t-test with a Wilcoxon signed rank correction was done for statistical validity.

Results

Forty-three patients underwent partial ossicular chain reconstruction during the study period. This cohort comprised both adults and children with ages ranging from 7 to 77 years (*Figure 1*). Of these 8 were children and 35 were adults. Follow up ranged from 6 months to 3 years with a mean of 22 months. The indications for an Ossicular Chain Reconstruction are summarized in *Table 1*. The most common indication overall was cholesteatoma followed closely by chronic suppurative otitis media (CSOM) without cholesteatoma.

Overall hearing results

The preoperative and the postoperative audiogram are included in our statistical analyses. The pure tone audiogram (PTA) and the air-bone gap (ABG) included 0.5, 1, 2 and 3 kHz (3,5-7). The overall hearing thresholds for the PTA and ABG values are shown in *Table 2*. The overall PTA improved by 20 ± 0.63 dB (preop: 52.6 ± 19 , postop: 32.6 ± 14.8), which is statistically significant. The overall ABG improvement was 33.9 ± 11.4 (preop: 13 ± 7.6 , postop: 20.7 ± 3.8). There was a very small difference in improvement

Australian Journal of Otolaryngology, 2020

Table	2	Mean	overall	hearing	results
-------	---	------	---------	---------	---------

	Clip (n=26)	Bell (n=17)	Overall (n=43)
PTA (0.5, 1, 2, 3 kHz) (dB)			
Pre	50.4±16	62.2±13	52.6±19
Post	28.8±11.9	39.9±17	32.6±14.8
Improvement	21.6±4.1	22.3±4	20±4.2
Р	<0.01	<0.01	<0.001
ABG (0.5, 1, 2, 3 kHz) (dB)			
Pre	32.1±8.9	34.7±7.8	33.9±11.4
Post	10.3±5.9	13.5±7.4	13.0±7.6
Improvement	21.8 dB±3	21.2±0.4	20.7±3.8
Ρ	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

PTA, pure tone audiogram; ABG, air-bone gap; Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis.

Table 3 Success rates: defined in ABG <20 dB according to AA0-HNS guidelines

	0 0		
ABG (0.5, 1, 2, 3 kHz)	Total (n=43) (%)	Clip (n=26) (%)	Bell (n=17) (%)
<10 dB	51 (n=22)	61 (n=16)	36 (n=6)
<20 dB	40 (n=17)	35 (n=9)	47 (n=8)
<30 dB	9 (n=4)	4 (n=1)	17 (n=3)

ABG, air-bone gap; Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis.

between the results in Clip and Bell group for PTA (21.6±4.1 vs. 22.3±4 dB). A small difference between the results in Clip and Bell groups for ABG (21.8±3 vs. 21.2±0.4 dB) was also noted. Overall, A successful postoperative ABG of <20 dB was obtained in 91% of the patients (n=39) (Table 3 and Figure 2). The Clip prosthesis had a significantly higher success rate of 96% (n=26) in comparison to the Bell prosthesis which was successful in 82% of patients (n=14) (P<0.001). Furthermore, closure of the ABG to <10 dB was achieved in 61% of patients implanted with the Clip prosthesis in contrast to only 36% of those with the Bell prosthesis. A plot diagram of the paired sample t-test comparing PTA pre and post-surgery and ABG pre and post-surgery comparing Clip and Bell prostheses show that although both prosthesis succeed in improving PTA and ABG post-operatively, the Air Bone Gap is slightly more reduced using the Clip-Piston prosthesis (Figures 3,4). Repeated measures Anova with a Post Hoc test was used in order to compare the two prostheses. The difference between the two prosthesis was statistically significant (P<0.01). The Clip prosthesis showed a moderate effect size

(Cohen's d=-0.42) when comparing the PTA results (*Table 4*) and a small effect size (Cohen's d=-0.25) in comparison of the ABG (*Table 5*, *Figure 5*).

Complications

One patient in the Clip prosthesis cohort required a revision procedure due to the inadequate length of the prosthesis. This was replaced by a longer prosthesis without complication. No patients experienced extrusion of their prostheses or sensorineural hearing loss. One revision OCR in the Bell cohort was needed as it extruded, subsequently it was replaced with a Clip prosthesis. No patients experienced sensorineural hearing loss.

Discussion

The titanium Clip has been designed to improve operative and hearing outcomes over previous generation of prostheses developed for ossicular chain reconstruction (8). With flexible feet at the base of the prosthesis, a secure





Figure 2 Age histogram. Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis.



Figure 3 Hearing results by procedure.



Figure 4 Plots of PTA pre-surgery and post-surgery and ABG pre- and post-surgery using Clip. PTA, pure tone audiogram; ABG, air-bone gap; Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis.

coupling can be achieved with the stapes capitulum with ease of application leading to reduced displacement and improved sound conduction (9-11). This study aimed to evaluate the audiological outcomes and safety profile of this prosthesis and compare this to the earlier Bell prosthesis.

Our overall results demonstrate a significant improvement in hearing outcomes with both prostheses.

The average improvements in the ABG for the Clip and Bell prosthesis were 21.8 and 21.2 dB, respectively. Similarly, favorable outcomes have also been reported in the literature. In their study of 130 patients receiving the Clip prosthesis, Kahue *et al.* (12) observed a reduction in the median ABG and PTA of 11 dB in comparison to preoperative values. Similarly, Gostian *et al.* (13) demonstrated stable hearing

Australian Journal of Otolaryngology, 2020

Table 4 Post hoc comparisons-type of prosthesis PTA

	Maan difference		95% CI for mean difference		05	1	Qahara'a d	Dhalm
		Mean difference	Lower	Upper	- 3E	L	Coneris d	FIIOIIII
Clip	Bell	-11.502	-19.96	-3.044	4.188	-2.746	-0.419	0.009

PTA, pure tone audiogram; Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis.

Table 5 Post	hoc comparisons—ty	pe of prosthesis ABG
--------------	--------------------	----------------------

		Maan difforance	95% CI for mean difference		05		Oshara'a d	Dhaha
	Mean d	Mean difference –	Lower	Upper	SE	l	Conensa	FIIOIIII
Clip	Bell	-2.926	-6.478	0.625	1.759	-1.664	-0.254	0.104

ABG, air-bone gap; Clip, Kurz Clip-Piston Dresden Prosthesis; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis.



Figure 5 Plots of PTA pre- and post-surgery and ABG pre- and post-surgery using Bell. PTA, pure tone audiogram; ABG, air-bone gap; Bell, earlier generation Bell prosthesis.

outcomes in their long-term study (6.5 years) where a reduction in ABG of 8.9 dB was seen. In our cohort of patients receiving using the Clip prosthesis, successful ossicular reconstruction, defined as an ABG of 20 dB or less, was achieved in 91% of patients. This was a significant improvement over the Bell prosthesis which exhibited a success rate of 82%. Furthermore, an ABG of 10 dB or less was achieved in 61% of patients in the Clip versus just 36% of those in the Bell cohort. The superiority of these outcomes is substantiated by the previously mentioned authors where success rates of 72% (13) and 63% (12) were achieved using the Clips prosthesis.

We that found that one patient experienced extrusion of the Bell prosthesis despite the use of cartilage interposition grafts. No such cases were experienced with the Clip prosthesis however one revision was required with this device due to inadequate length and persistent hearing loss. The Clip prosthesis was able to be replaced without any undue force on the stapes or subsequent complications. These low rates of displacement/extrusion compare far more favorable that those reported with earlier generation prostheses which have demonstrated displacement in up to 7.7% of cases (14). This is likely the consequence of the clip design optimizing adherence to the stapes superstructure. The design also requires a small amount of force to engage the clip onto the stapes capitulum. In our study, this did not result in disruption of the stapes or sensorineural hearing loss in any of our patients which supports the safety profile of its application. Indeed, in the two compared studies no significant events were reported as a result of the surgery and a minor extrusion rate of 1.5% in patients which was seen in the setting of recurrent middle ear disease (12). Several limitations can be described in this study. The retrospective nature of this investigation lends itself to

Page 6 of 7

inherent selection bias. This in addition to the small cohort size may influence the significance of the statistical analysis. With further recruitment and longer term follow up more definitive conclusions can be drawn and provide opportunity for subgroup analysis.

Conclusions

Partial ossicular chain reconstruction using the titanium Clip prosthesis provides excellent audiological outcomes superior to those seen with the earlier generation Bell prosthesis. Successful hearing restoration was seen in 91% of patients with a favorable safety profile. No cases of displacement, extrusion or sensorineural hearing loss were encountered.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the STROBE reporting checklist. Available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/ajo-19-2

Data Sharing Statement: Available at https://www.theajo. com/article/view/10.21037/ajo-19-2/dss

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at http://dx.doi. org/10.21037/ajo-19-2). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by institutional review board (no. 004834UNSW), individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with

the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

References

- Australian Bureau of Statisctics. Disability, Ageing and Cares. Hearing Impairment. 1993. Available online: https://www.ausstats.abs.gov.au/ausstats/free.nsf/0/D8A82 AF0D0089F10CA25722500049601/\$File/44350_1993.pdf
- Hogan A, Shipley M, Strazdins L, et al. Communication and behavioural disorders among children with hearing loss increases risk of mental health disorders. Aust N Z J Public Health 2011;35:377-83.
- Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium guidelines for the evaluation of results of treatment of conductive hearing loss. AmericanAcademy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Ffoundation, Inc. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1995;113:186-7.
- Shah KD, Bradoo RA, Joshi AA, et al. The efficiency of titanium middle ear prosthesis in ossicular chain reconstruction: our experience. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2013;65:298-301.
- Haberman RS 2nd, Salapatas AM. Hearing Outcomes after Ossicular Reconstruction with Removal of the Malleus. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2018;158:144-50.
- Roth JA, Pandit SR, Soma M, et al. Ossicular chain reconstruction with a titanium prosthesis. J Laryngol Otol 2009;123:1082-6.
- Vassbotn FS, Møller P, Silvola J. Short-term results using Kurz titanium ossicular implants. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2007;264:21-5.
- 8. Gottlieb PK, Li X, Monfared A, et al. First results of a novel adjustable-length ossicular reconstruction prosthesis in temporal bones. Laryngoscope 2016;126:2559-64.
- Mao M, Zhai J, Chen G, et al. Effect of ossicular chain reconstruction with titanium ossicular replacement prosthesis in mastoidectomy with synchronous ossiculoplasty. Lin Chung Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi 2014;28:708-11.
- Goode RL, Nishihara S. Experimental models of ossiculoplasty. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 1994;27:663-75.
- Birk S, Brase C, Hornung J. Experience with the use of a partial ossicular replacement prosthesis with a ball-andsocket joint between the plate and the shaft. Otol Neurotol 2014;35:1248-50.

Australian Journal of Otolaryngology, 2020

- Kahue CN, O'Connnell BP, Dedmon MM, et al. Short and Long-Term Outcomes of Titanium Clip Ossiculoplasty. Otol Neurotol 2018;39:e453-60.
- 13. Gostian AO, Kouame JM, Bremke M, et al. Long term results of the titanium clip prosthesis. Eur Arch

doi: 10.21037/ajo-19-2

Cite this article as: Reyes-Chicuellar N, Noor A, Kertesz T. Audiometric hearing results after ossicular chain reconstruction with partial titanium clip prostheses. Aust J Otolaryngol 2020;3:34.

Otorhinolaryngol 2016;273:4257-66.

 Hillman TA, Shelton C. Ossicular chain reconstruction: titanium versus plastipore. Laryngoscope 2003;113:1731-5.