PROCESS 20	PROCESS 2018 Checklist				
Section	Item	Checklist Description	Reported on page	Reported on section	
Title	1	Both the words "case series" and the area of focus should appear in the title (e.g. disease, exposure/intervention or outcome)	1	Title	
Abstract	2a	Introduction - what is the unifying theme of the case series.	2	Introduction	
	2b	Methods - describe what was done, how and when was it done and by whom.	2	Methods	
	2c	Results - what was found.	2	Results	
	2d	Conclusion - what have we learned and what does it mean	2	Conclusion	
Introduction	3	Background and relevance - Explain the scientific background and rationale for the case series (e.g. specify the unifying theme - common disease, exposure, intervention and outcome). The introduction should explain why this study needed.	4	Introduction	
Methods	4a	Registration - state the research registry number in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki - "Every research study involving human subjects must be registered in a publicly accessible database" (this can be obtained from; ResearchRegistry.com or ClinicalTrials.gov or ISRCTN). If a protocol exists already, state where it can be accessed (must be publicly accessible).		Methods	

4b	Study design - state the study is a case series. In addition, it is necessary to state whether the case series is: 1) prospective or retrospective in design; 2) single or multi-centre; and 3) cases are consecutive or non-consecutive.	5	Methods
4c	Setting - describe the setting(s)and nature of the institution in which the patient was managed; academic, community or private practice setting? Location(s), and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	5	Methods
4d	Participants - describe the relevant characteristics of the participants (comorbidities, tumour staging, smoking status, etc). State any eligibility (inclusion/exclusion) criteria and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe length and methods of follow-up.	5	Methods
4e	Pre-intervention considerations e.g. Patient optimisation: measures taken prior to surgery or other intervention e.g. treating hypothermia/hypovolaemia/hypotension in burns patients, ICU care for sepsis, dealing with anticoagulation/other medications and so on.		
4f	Types of intervention(s) deployed (pharmacological, surgical, physiotherapy, psychological, preventive) and reasoning behind treatment offered.	5-7	Methods, trans-oral, trans- cervical
4g	Intervention details – details on how the intervention was carried out. For surgery, for	6-7	Trans-oral / Trans-

	example, include information on anaesthesia,		cervical
	patient position, use of tourniquet and other		approach
	relevant equipment, preparation used, sutures,		
	devices, surgical stage (1 or 2 stage, etc). For		
	pharmacological therapies, include		
	formulation, dosage, strength, route and		
	duration.		
4h	Who performed the procedures – the operator	5-7	Methods
	position and their experience experience		
	(position on the learning curve for the technique		
	if established, specialisation and prior relevant		
	training). For example, 'A junior resident, three		
	years into specialized training'. Degree of		
	novelty for a surgical technique/device should		
	be mentioned and a comment on learning		
	curves should be made for new		
	techniques/devices.		
4i	Quality control - what measures were taken to	5	Methods
	reduce inter or intra-operator variation, ensure		
	quality, and maintain consistency between each		
	case in the delivery of the intervention e.g.		
	independent observers, lymph node counts,		
	standard surgical technique.		
	Standard Gargiotal Commique.		
4j	Post-intervention considerations – following the	5	Methods
	main intervention: 1) when were the patients		
	followed-up; 2) where; 3) what did follow-up		
	entail (additional tests, scans, clinical		
	examination) and what were the results of		
	these; and 4) were there any post-operative		
	instructions.		

Results	5a	Participants - reports numbers involved and	9	Patient
Results	Ja		9	information /
		their characteristics (including their		
		comorbidities and smoking status, as well as		diagnosis
		other demographic details). For all cancer		
		patients it is necessary to include details on		
		tumour staging (e.g. TNM)		
	5b	Changes to reports – report any changes in the	9-10	Management
		interventions during the course of the case		and
		series (what the change was, reasons for the		outcomes
		change, what learning occurred, together with		
		rationale and a diagram if appropriate).		
	5c	Outcomes and follow-up - Clinician assessed	9-10	Management
		and patient-reported outcomes (when		and
		appropriate, including, for example		outcomes
		questionnaires or comments at outpatient visits)		
		should be stated. Include details on the time		
		periods at which assessed. Relevant		
		photographs/radiological images should be		
		provided e.g. 12 month follow-up. Describe loss		
		to follow-up (express as a percentage) and any		
		explanations for it.		
	5d	Intervention adherence/compliance - where	Not	
		relevant how well patients adhered to and	relevant	
		tolerated their treatment. For example, post-		
		operative advice (heavy lifting for abdominal		
		surgery) or tolerance of chemotherapy and		
		pharmacological agents.		
	_	Advance sounds III P C	0.40	NA
	5e	Adverse events – all complications and adverse	9-10	Management
		or unanticipated events should be described in		and
		detail and ideally categorised in accordance		outcomes
		with the Clavien-Dindo Classification. How they		

		were prevented, diagnosed and managed.		
		Blood loss, operative time, wound		
		complications, re-exploration/revision surgery,		
		30-day post-op and long-term		
		morbidity/mortality may need to be specified. If		
		there were no complications or adverse		
		outcomes this should also be included.		
Discussion	6a	Summarise key results	13-15	Discussion
	6b	Placing results in context – describe all relevant	13-15	Discussion
		literature, describe the prevailing gold standard		
		should one exist, and describe how findings		
		reported compare with established therapies.		
		State the implications for clinical practice		
		guidelines and any relevant hypotheses that		
		have been generated as a result of this worth		
	6c	Strengths and limitations of the study	15	Discussion
	6d	Future – State the further research that can be		
		done to build on the findings and methodology		
		discussed. State the study design next best		
		suited to address these areas.		
	6e	Rational – ensure any conclusions made have strong rationale	13-15	Discussion
Conclusions	7a	State the key conclusions from the study	15	Conclusion
	7b	State what needs to be done next, further	15	Discussion
		research with what study design.		
Additional	8a	State any conflicts of interest	1	Title page
Information				
	8b	State any sources of funding	Not applicable	

8c	State Ethics - state whether ethical approval	Not	
	was needed and if so, what the relevant judgement reference was?	applicable	
	Judgement reference was?		

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ajo-21-5 *As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section may be used as an alternative reference.