

## Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/ajo-24-1>

### Reviewer A

Thank you for a well-written and timely paper. You address the limitations of the study really well in your section on limitations. We know that studies have shown most guidelines are written in English too advanced for most laymen to properly understand. We know the prompts will be very variable often containing spelling mistakes and more misleading than we appreciate. The AI is programmed to warn that they should not be relied upon and the patient needs to have a review, so 100% result is expected and that should be stated in the results. The interesting part is the recommendation to urgently seek attention from a surgeon or emergency in post op bleeding and battery ingestion was not 100%, and the failure to offer stroke as a cause on facial palsy also concerning. You have addressed this in the manuscript and I agree with your conclusion but this story and conclusion does NOT match your abstract.

Abstract conclusion "The implications of this study suggest that AI systems can act an additional resource for patients to access Information" - your abstract seems to endorse the AI – I recommend changing the abstract to match your discussion and conclusion better ie emphasis that the study found AI is not yet a substitute for medical review but may help augment patient understanding.

Otherwise, great paper.

Review A Comment: I recommend changing the abstract to match your discussion and conclusion better ie emphasis that the study found AI is not yet a substitute for medical review but may help augment patient understanding.

**Reply:** We have modified our text as advised.

**Changes in text:** Please see tracked changes in lines 83-84

### Reviewer B

This is a well-written paper and also timely.

I have only one question. Did the authors review the authenticity of references provided by ChatGPT or Bard?

If so, this would be interesting to comment on in the paper.

**Reply:** We did not review this aspect, and therefore did not discuss it in our manuscript.

**Changes in text:** Nil