Section/Topic	ltem No*	Checklist item†	Reported on Page Number/Line Number	Reported on Section/Paragraph
TITLE				
Title	1	Identify the report as a systematic review incorporating a network meta-analysis (or related form of meta- analysis).	1/2	Title
ABSTRACT	•	•	•	
Structured summary	2	 Provide a structured summary including, as applicable: Background: main objectives Methods: data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal; and <i>synthesis methods, such as network meta-analysis</i>. Results: number of studies and participants identified; summary estimates with corresponding confidence/credible intervals; <i>treatment rankings may also be discussed. Authors may choose to summarize pairwise comparisons against a chosen treatment included in their analyses for brevity</i>. Discussion/Conclusions: limitations; conclusions and implications of findings. Other: primary source of funding; systematic review registration number with registry name. 	2/23–49	Abstract
INTRODUCTION	•	·		•
Rationale	3	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known, <i>including mention of why a network meta-analysis has been conducted</i> .	5,6/55-81	Introduction
Objectives	4	Provide an explicit statement of questions being addressed, with reference to participants, interventions, comparisons, outcomes, and study design (PICOS).	6/81-84	Introduction
METHODS55				
Protocol and registration	5	Indicate whether a review protocol exists and if and where it can be accessed (e.g., Web address); and, if available, provide registration information, including registration number.	6/85-88	Method
Eligibility criteria	6	Specify study characteristics (e.g., PICOS, length of follow-up) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) used as criteria for eligibility, giving rationale. Clearly describe eligible treatments included in the treatment network, and note whether any have been clustered or merged into the same node (with justification).	7/112-117	Method/Selection criteria
Information sources	7	Describe all information sources (e.g., databases with dates of coverage, contact with study authors to identify additional studies) in the search and date last searched.	6/89-93	Method/Search strategy

Checklist of Items to Include When Reporting a Systematic Review Involving a Network Meta-analysis

Search	8	Present full electronic search strategy for at least one database, including any limits used, such that it could be repeated.	Appendix2	Appendix2
Study selection	9	State the process for selecting studies (i.e., screening, eligibility, included in systematic review, and, if applicable, included in the meta-analysis).	6-7/94-99	Method/Study selection
Data collection process	10	Describe method of data extraction from reports (e.g., piloted forms, independently, in duplicate) and any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators.	7/100-104	Method/Data extraction
Data items	11	List and define all variables for which data were sought (e.g., PICOS, funding sources) and any assumptions and simplifications made.	7/102-103	Method/Data extraction
Geometry of the network	S1	Describe methods used to explore the geometry of the treatment network understudy and potential biases related to it. This should include how the evidence base has been graphically summarized for presentation, and what characteristics were compiled and used to describe the evidence base to readers.	Appendix Figure2 Legend	Appendix Figure2 Legend
Risk of bias within individual studies	12	Describe methods used for assessing risk of bias of individual studies (including specification of whether this was done at the study or outcome level), and how this information is to be used in any data synthesis.	7/105-111	Method/Quality assessment
Summary measures	13	State the principal summary measures (e.g., risk ratio, difference in means). Also describe the use of additional summary measures assessed, such as treatment rankings and surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) values, as well as modified approaches used to present summary findings from meta-analyses.	8/121-126	Method/Data analysis
Planned methods of analysis	14	Describe the methods of handling data and combining results of studies for each network meta-analysis. This should include, but not be limited to: Handling of multigroup trials; Selection of variance structure; Selection of prior distributions in Bayesian analyses; and Assessment of model fit.	8/127-128	Method/Data analysis
Assessment of inconsistency	S2	Describe the statistical methods used to evaluate the agreement of direct and indirect evidence in the treatment network(s) studied. Describe efforts taken to address its presence when found.	8/131-133	Method/Data analysis
Risk of bias across studies	15	Specify any assessment of risk of bias that may affect the cumulative evidence (e.g., publication bias, selective reporting within studies).	8/135-136	Method/Data analysis
Additional analyses	16	Describe methods of additional analyses if done, indicating which were prespecified. This may include, but not be limited to, the following: Sensitivity or subgroup analyses; Meta-regression analyses; <i>Alternative formulations of the treatment network; and</i> <i>Use of alternative prior distributions for Bayesian analyses (if applicable).</i>	8/136-137	Method/Data analysis

RESULTS‡				
Study selection	17	Give numbers of studies screened, assessed for eligibility, and included in the review, with reasons for exclusions at each stage, ideally with a flow diagram.	9/144-147	Results/Study characteristics
Presentation of network structure	S3	Provide a network graph of the included studies to enable visualization of the geometry of the treatment network.	Appendix Figure2	Appendix Figure2
Summary of network geometry	S4	Provide a brief overview of characteristics of the treatment network. This may include commentary on the abundance of trials and randomized patients for the different interventions and pairwise comparisons in the network, gaps of evidence in the treatment network, and potential biases reflected by the network structure.	2/34-35	Abstract/Results
Study characteristics	18	For each study, present characteristics for which data were extracted (e.g., study size, PICOS, follow-up period) and provide the citations.	9/148-162	Results/Characteris tics of included studies
Risk of bias within studies	19	Present data on risk of bias of each study and, if available, any outcome level assessment.	12/210-212	Results
Results of individual studies	20	For all outcomes considered (benefits or harms), present, for each study: 1) simple summary data for each intervention group, and 2) effect estimates and confidence intervals. <i>Modified approaches maybe needed to deal with information from larger networks</i> .	Appendix Figure4	Appendix Figure4
Synthesis of results	21	Present results of each meta-analysis done, including confidence/credible intervals. <i>In larger</i> <i>networks, authors may focus on comparisons versus a particular comparator (e.g., placebo or standard care),</i> <i>with full findings presented in an appendix. League tables and forest plots maybe considered to summarize</i> <i>pairwise comparisons.</i> If additional summary measures were explored (such as treatment rankings),these should also be presented.	9-11/164-212	Results/Meta- analysis
Exploration for inconsistency	S5	Describe results from investigations of inconsistency. This may include such information as measures of model fit to compare consistency and inconsistency models, P values from statistical tests, or summary of inconsistency estimates from different parts of the treatment network.	12/210-212	Results
Risk of bias across studies	22	Present results of any assessment of risk of bias across studies for the evidence base being studied.	11-12/206-210	Results
Results of additional analyses	23	Give results of additional analyses, if done (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta-regression analyses, <i>alternative network geometries studied, alternative choice of prior distributions for Bayesian analyses</i> , and so forth).	11-12/206-210	Results

DISCUSSION				
Summary of evidence	24	Summarize the main findings, including the strength of evidence for each main outcome; consider their relevance to key groups (e.g., health care providers, researchers, and policymakers).	12-13/213-254	Discussion
Limitations	25	Discuss limitations at study and outcome level (e.g., risk of bias), and at review level (e.g., incomplete retrieval of identified research, reporting bias). Comment on the validity of the assumptions, such as transitivity and consistency. Comment on any concerns regarding network geometry (e.g., avoidance of certain comparisons).	14/255-266	Discussion
Conclusions	26	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence, and implications for future research.	14/267-272	Discussion
FUNDING				•
Funding	27	Describe sources of funding for the systematic review and other support (e.g., supply of data); role offunders for the systematic review. This should also include information regarding whether funding has been received from manufacturers of treatments in the network and/or whether some of the authors are content experts with professional conflicts of interest that could affect use of treatments in the network.	None	None

* Boldface indicates new items to this checklist.

+ Text in italics indicates wording specific to reporting of network meta-analyses that has been added to guidance from the PRISMA statement.

‡ Authors may wish to plan for use of appendices to present all relevant information in full detail for items in this section.

Article information: <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tgh-23-95</u> *As the checklist was provided upon initial submission, the page number/line number reported may be changed due to copyediting and may not be referable in the published version. In this case, the section/paragraph may be used as an alternative reference.