

## Peer Review File

Article Information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/vats-23-46>

### Review comments

#### Reviewer A:

**Comment 1:** *Thank you for your interesting paper. Pulmonary metastasectomy plays an important role in the management of extra-thoracic malignancies. Nowadays is mandatory to consider the advantages of minimally invasive VATS technique. Your paper well summarizes the pros and cons of PM for skin and soft tissue cancers with particular attention to this topic. In my opinion, your paper could be published with minor revisions. For example, two reviews on PM for sarcomas deserve to be cited at least for the common aspects : Digesu 2016 & Gherzi 2021*

**Reply 1:** We thank the reviewer for the kind comments. We have added the relevant citations to the sarcoma section and thank the reviewer for drawing our attention to these other reviews.

#### Reviewer B:

**Comment 1:** *The topic is very interesting, but a narrative review is not sufficient to achieve an adequate level of evidence. I would suggest a systematic review according to PRISMA.*

**Reply 1:** We acknowledge the reviewer's concern regarding achieving an appropriate level of evidence. Undoubtedly, a systematic review according to PRISMA would be of benefit. However, this review intended to be a narrative review to summarize the existing literature rather than a systematic review.