Peer Review File

Article information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/vats-23-65

To Reviewer #1:

Thank you for giving me a chance to review this article. Dual ICG technique in pulmonary resection for HCC metastasis. The quality of figures seems to be fit to this journal. I judged as minor revision.

• Comment 1. Fig 2C mechanical stapler should be changed as linear stapler.

Response 1. Thank you for bringing this to our attention. I changed all instances of "four mechanical stapler" in the main text and figure legend to "linear stapler."

• Comment 2. Please provide figures of histopathological findings.

Response 2. Thank you for your valuable comment. I added the histological findings to Figure 3C.

To Reviewer #2:

•Comment 3. Regarding Figure 2A, it seems at a glance that the tumor is located caudal to the vein running superficially between the upper and middle lobes, i.e., the tumor appears to be located in S5. Since 3D reconstruction software may show the boundary of the interlobar portion as misaligned by a few millimeters, is it possible that the tumor is actually located on the S5 side of the incomplete fissure between upper and middle lobes? I recommend adding a sagittal CT image to Figure 1 if possible.

Response 3. Thank you for your important suggestion. As instructed, we have included a sagittal CT image in Figure 1B. Although there was a slight incomplete fissure, the tumor was located on the cranial side of the major fissure and was considered to be in S3.

•Comment 4. The heads of the "white arrows" in Figures are all small and their orientations are difficult to see. I recommend to enlarge the heads of the "white arrows" a little more.

Response 4. Your valuable comment is greatly appreciated. I made the white arrow larger and the white arrowhead also larger.

•Comment 5. There are redundant and repetitive expressions in the manuscript. For example, the expression "blood vessels" in line 33 is an expression that could be adequately understood using only "vessels." Also, in the legend in Figure 2B (line 188), the word "fluorescence" is repeated. If there are no problems with the submission rules of the "VATS", I recommend that the authors use translation software such as Deepl or ChatGPT, to improve the expressions of the manuscript.

Response 5. Thank you for addressing this issue. The manuscript was checked by a native speaker before submission, and the revised manuscript was checked again.