



# Targeting autophagy to inhibit wear debris-induced osteolysis

Olivier Camuzard<sup>1,2</sup>, Véronique Breuil<sup>1,3</sup>, Georges F. Carle<sup>1</sup>, Valérie Pierrefite-Carle<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>UMR E-4320 TIRO-MATOs CEA/DRF/BIAM, Université Nice Sophia Antipolis, Faculté de Médecine, Nice Cedex, France; <sup>2</sup>Service de Chirurgie Plastique et Réparatrice, CHU de Nice, France; <sup>3</sup>Service de Rhumatologie, CHU de Nice, France

*Correspondence to:* Dr. Valérie Pierrefite-Carle, PhD. MATOs UMR E4320 CEA/CAL/UNS, Faculté de Médecine, Avenue de Valombrose, 06107 Nice cedex, France. Email: pierrefi@unice.fr.

*Comment on:* Wang Z, Deng Z, Gan J, *et al.* TiAl6V4 particles promote osteoclast formation via autophagy-mediated downregulation of interferon-beta in osteocytes. *Acta Biomater* 2017;48:489-98.

Received: 10 April 2017; Accepted: 21 April 2017; Published: 25 May 2017.

doi: 10.21037/amj.2017.05.04

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj.2017.05.04>

## Wear debris-induced osteolysis and aseptic loosening

Arthroplasty surgery has become one of the most efficient procedures to restore joint function. However, implant failure due to bone loss is a major complication of joint replacement, with severe consequences both for the individual patient and for health-care systems. Peri-prosthetic loosening without concurrent infection or trauma is called aseptic loosening. It is estimated that up to 20% of all prosthetic implants will induce aseptic osteolysis, generally leading to implant loosening and surgical revision (1).

Although the pathophysiology of aseptic loosening is not completely understood, it is generally accepted that implant wear and subsequent release of biomaterial wear particles to the bone microenvironment are its main cause. The specific biological reactions are dependent on particle type, shape, size and quantity, as well as the patient's individual genetic variability (2). However, inflammation, formation of foreign body granulomas and bone resorption represent the main hallmarks leading to osteolysis (3).

## Biological mechanisms involved in wear debris-induced osteolysis

The stimulation of innate immune response by the release of biomaterial wear particles at the interface between bone and implant is the first event leading to local inflammation, which is mediated by monocytes/macrophages (4). These cells produce several pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, such as TNF $\alpha$ , IL-6, IL-8, IL1, IL-18, PGE2

or M-CSF, as well as enzymes such as metalloproteinases (MMPs). In turn, these secreted factors favour chronic inflammation, tissue fibrosis/necrosis and osteoclasts activation responsible for the osteolysis process around the implants (4). Indeed, macrophages, fibroblasts and mesenchymal stem cells can contribute to bone resorption in aseptic loosening (3), but osteoclasts were shown to be excessively activated at the implant site by wear debris from materials such as titanium (Ti), polymethyl methacrylate, polyethylene and cobalt chromium (5). In addition, wear debris not only increase bone resorption by activating macrophages and osteoclasts but also directly impairs bone formation by inhibiting the function of osteoblasts (OB) and osteocytes (OST), normally responsible of implant osseointegration. In OB, wear debris were shown to trigger IL-6 and IL-8 secretion, to decrease proliferation and to increase apoptosis (6,7). Wear particles also affect OB function by suppressing collagen synthesis and inducing MMP production (8,9). OST represent the terminally differentiated state of the OB lineage and express higher levels of OPG and RANKL than OB, which modulate bone resorption directly. Although OST are the most abundant cells in bone, the effect of wear debris on OST has been poorly explored. Several studies demonstrated that wear debris could promote osseointegration by upregulating pro-osteoclastogenic factors in OST. Lohmann *et al.* reported that ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene particles dose-dependently stimulated OST to secrete prostaglandin E2, which has been shown to stimulate osteoclasts and suppress OB (10). Wear debris were also shown to cause OST apoptosis and Akt inactivation in MLO-Y4 cells (11).

More recently, polyethylene wear particles were shown to stimulate RANKL production and osteocytic osteolysis resulting in loss of OST periacetabular bone (12).

### Role of autophagy in wear debris-induced osteolysis

Autophagy is a cell cleaning process highly conserved in eukaryotic cells consisting in lysosomal degradation of unnecessary or damaged organelles and misfolded proteins. In addition to its basal role in cell homeostasis, autophagy is also a stress-responsive mechanism for survival purposes. Autophagy can be upregulated in response to various stresses such as starvation, oxidative stress, hypoxia, or toxicant exposure, where it acts primarily as a protective mechanism (13). In addition, autophagy is triggered by various metals and controls inflammation through regulatory interactions with innate immune signalling pathways (14). In this context, autophagy appears as a good candidate to be involved in response to wear debris in cells of the peri-prosthetic region. The work of Wang *et al.*, which is the subject of this commentary, showed that Ti-alloy particles (TiPs) promote osteoclastogenesis by downregulating the anti-osteoclastogenic factor IFN- $\beta$  via autophagy in OST (15). First, these authors showed that in control conditions, OST-conditioned medium inhibited osteoclast differentiation from bone marrow monocytes via IFN- $\beta$ . The presence of TiPs induced an autophagosome number increase in OST *in vitro* and *in vivo*, which results in decreased IFN- $\beta$  production, leading to osteoclastogenesis derepression. In addition, pharmacological or genetic autophagy inhibition restores IFN- $\beta$  levels and prevented the TiPs-induced osteoclastogenesis. Although the mechanism bridging autophagy activation and decreased IFN- $\beta$  production is still unknown, Jounai *et al.* demonstrated that Atg5–Atg12 conjugate negatively regulates the type I IFN production pathway by direct association with the retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) and IFN- $\beta$  promoter stimulator 1 (IPS-1) through the caspase recruitment domains (CARDs) (16). In addition, it was demonstrated that hepatitis C virus requires the unfolded protein response and autophagy pathways to repress innate antiviral immunity and in particular, IFN- $\beta$  production (17). Moreover, the measurement of the autophagic flux after a prolonged treatment of OST with TiPs would be of interest. Indeed, autophagy is a dynamic mechanism in which vesicles are formed and rapidly degraded by fusion with the lysosome, in a process called “autophagic flux”. Several studies have

shown that metal exposure can first trigger autophagy and then block this process, likely due to lysosome impairment (18). Nevertheless, the work of Wang *et al.* suggests that autophagy could be a therapeutic target in OST to inhibit wear debris-induced osteolysis. Interestingly, the same group already demonstrated that an autophagy upregulation was observed in OB and macrophages both *in vitro* and *in vivo* in the presence of respectively, CoCrMo metal particles or TiPs (7,19). In OB, autophagy was involved in apoptosis upregulation. In macrophages, autophagy inhibition dramatically reduces TiPs-induced TNF- $\alpha$  expression. In both studies, inhibition of autophagy with 3-MA decreased the severity of osteolysis in animal models. Thus, autophagy seems to be triggered by the presence of wear debris in the three main cell types involved in aseptic loosening, i.e., OST, OB and macrophages. In addition, autophagy was also shown to be involved in osteoclast differentiation (20). Altogether, these reports suggest that transient and local autophagy modulation could be a new therapeutic option to prevent wear debris-induced osteolysis. This could be performed by including autophagy modulators within the prosthetic biomaterials, allowing the local and slow release of these pharmacological agents. However, additional studies will be required to establish the long-term benefits of such a strategy.

### Acknowledgements

*Funding:* None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was commissioned and reviewed by the Section Editor Zhantao Deng (Department of Orthopedics, Jinling Hospital, Medical School of Nanjing University, Nanjing, China).

*Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj.2017.05.04>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article

distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

## References

1. Marshall A, Ries MD, Paprosky W, et al. How prevalent are implant wear and osteolysis, and how has the scope of osteolysis changed since 2000? *J Am Acad Orthop Surg* 2008;16 Suppl 1:S1-6.
2. Bitar D, Parvizi J. Biological response to prosthetic debris. *World J Orthop* 2015;6:172-89.
3. Drees P, Eckardt A, Gay RE, et al. Mechanisms of disease: Molecular insights into aseptic loosening of orthopedic implants. *Nat Clin Pract Rheumatol* 2007;3:165-71.
4. Nich C, Takakubo Y, Pajarinen J, et al. Macrophages- Key cells in the response to wear debris from joint replacements. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 2013;101:3033-45.
5. Masui T, Sakano S, Hasegawa Y, et al. Expression of inflammatory cytokines, RANKL and OPG induced by Titanium, cobalt-chromium and polyethylene particles. *Biomaterials* 2005;26:1695-702.
6. Lochner K, Fritsche A, Jonitz A, et al. The potential role of human osteoblasts for periprosthetic osteolysis following exposure to wear particles. *Int J Mol Med* 2011;28:1055-63.
7. Wang Z, Liu N, Liu K, et al. Autophagy mediated CoCrMo particle-induced peri-implant osteolysis by promoting osteoblast apoptosis. *Autophagy* 2015;11:2358-69.
8. Vermes C, Roebuck KA, Chandrasekaran R, et al. Particulate wear debris activates protein tyrosine kinases and nuclear factor kappaB, which down-regulates type I collagen synthesis in human osteoblasts. *J Bone Miner Res* 2000;15:1756-65.
9. Jonitz-Heincke A, Lochner K, Schulze C, et al. Contribution of human osteoblasts and macrophages to bone matrix degradation and proinflammatory cytokine release after exposure to abrasive endoprosthetic wear particles. *Mol Med Rep* 2016;14:1491-500.
10. Lohmann CH, Dean DD, Bonewald LF, et al. Nitric oxide and prostaglandin E2 production in response to ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene particles depends on osteoblast maturation state. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2002;84-A:411-9.
11. Zhang Y, Yan M, Yu A, et al. Inhibitory effects of  $\beta$ -tricalciumphosphate wear particles on osteocytes via apoptotic response and Akt inactivation. *Toxicology* 2012;297:57-67.
12. Ormsby RT, Cantley M, Kogawa M, et al. Evidence that osteocyte perilacunar remodelling contributes to polyethylene wear particle induced osteolysis. *Acta Biomater* 2016;33:242-51.
13. Levine B, Kroemer G. Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. *Cell* 2008;132:27-42.
14. Chatterjee S, Sarkar S, Bhattacharya S. Toxic metals and autophagy. *Chem Res Toxicol* 2014;27:1887-900.
15. Wang Z, Deng Z, Gan J, et al. TiAl6V4 particles promote osteoclast formation via autophagy-mediated downregulation of interferon-beta in osteocytes. *Acta Biomater* 2017;48:489-98.
16. Jounai N, Takeshita F, Kobiyama K, et al. The Atg5 Atg12 conjugate associates with innate antiviral immune responses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:14050-5.
17. Ke PY, Chen SS. Activation of the unfolded protein response and autophagy after hepatitis C virus infection suppresses innate antiviral immunity in vitro. *J Clin Invest* 2011;121:37-56.
18. Pierrefite-Carle V, Santucci-Darmanin S, Breuil V, et al. Effect of natural Uranium on the UMR-106 osteoblastic cell line: impairment of the autophagic process as an underlying mechanism of Uranium toxicity. *Arch Toxicol* 2017;91:1903-14.
19. Liu N, Meng J, Wang Z, et al. Autophagy mediated TiAl<sub>6</sub>V<sub>4</sub> particle-induced peri-implant osteolysis by promoting expression of TNF- $\alpha$ . *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2016;473:133-9.
20. Pierrefite-Carle V, Santucci-Darmanin S, Breuil V, et al. Autophagy in bone: Self-eating to stay in balance. *Ageing Res Rev* 2015;24:206-17.

doi: 10.21037/amj.2017.05.04

**Cite this article as:** Camuzard O, Breuil V, Carle GF, Pierrefite-Carle V. Targeting autophagy to inhibit wear debris-induced osteolysis. *AME Med J* 2017;2:57.