

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj-23-107>

Reviewer A:

Comment 1:

Well written review of management of recurrent malignant and non malignant pleural effusions.

Response 1:

We thank the reviewer for their taking time to review our article.

Reviewer B:

Comment 1:

The review by Ajmani et al was well written, and the topic was comprehensively covered with updated references. For better engagement of readers, the authors can consider to introduce figures or flowcharts that summarize management approach.

Response 1:

Thank you for taking time to review our article. We have included a flowchart summarizing our typical management practice (Figure 1).

Reviewer C:

Comment 1:

These authors have provided an extensive review of the management of malignant pleural effusions and benign pleural effusions. There is significant detail in the text. The information is summarized in 2 tables. They provided their own recommendations at the end of most sections. I would encourage them to create a third table. This should include their recommendations for various clinical syndromes associated with pleural effusion. I think the columns could involve the diagnosis, first recommendation, second recommendation, comments. This would help the reader to find a quick summary and possibly make this review more useful.

Response 1:

Thank you for the constructive comment. We have added a table (Table 3) summarizing our key recommendations.