

## Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/amj-23-36>

### Review Comments

1. References need to be in order - first reference is in line no. 81 whereas second reference in line 52.

Reply 1: We appreciate the reviewers for their suggestions and have modified the text accordingly.

Changes in the text 1: We have edited out references as advised (see text and references section)

2. A lot go grammatical errors; font needs to be adjusted in the references.

Reply 2: We thank the reviewers for their comments and have modified our text.

Changes in the text 2: We have modified our text and fixed grammatical errors as advised (see text)

3. Not well presented.

Reply 3: We are appreciative of the reviewer's comments and have adjusted our text.

Changes in the text 3: We have modified our text to better present the case data as advised (see text)

4. Case report is otherwise a good example of a very resistant tumor.

Reply 4: We thank the reviewers for this comment.

5. Would appreciate long term data on the case if possible.

Reply 5: We agree with the reviewers comment, and thank the reviewers for this suggestion

Changes in the text 3: We have modified our text and added long term data for this as advised (see page 6, lines 141-142)