



Blood product transfusions on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a narrative review

Ewa Olek¹, Michał Pasiński¹, Artur Słomka², Giuseppe M. Raffa^{3,4}, Steven E. Lebowitz⁵, Michele Pilato^{3,4}, Konstanty Szuldrzyński⁶, Piotr Suwalski¹, Roberto Lorusso^{7,8}, Mariusz Kowalewski^{1,7,9}

¹Clinical Department of Cardiac Surgery, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of Interior and Administration, Centre of Postgraduate Medical Education, Warsaw, Poland; ²Department of Pathophysiology, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Ludwik Rydygier Collegium Medicum Bydgoszcz, Poland; ³Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Heart and Vascular Center, Maastricht University Medical Centre, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ⁴Department for the Treatment and Study of Cardiothoracic Diseases and Cardiothoracic Transplantation, IRCCS-ISMETT (Istituto Mediterraneo per i Trapianti e Terapie ad alta specializzazione), Palermo, Italy; ⁵University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; ⁶Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of Interior and Administration in Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; ⁷Cardio-Thoracic Surgery Department, Heart and Vascular Centre, Maastricht University Medical Centre (MUMC), Maastricht, The Netherlands; ⁸Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht Maastricht, Maastricht, The Netherlands; ⁹Thoracic Research Centre, Innovative Medical Forum, Collegium Medicum Nicolaus Copernicus University, Bydgoszcz, Poland

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: E Olek, M Pasiński, A Słomka, GM Raffa, M Pilato, SE Lebowitz, P Suwalski, R Lorusso, M Kowalewski; (II) Administrative support: M Kowalewski, R Lorusso, P Suwalski; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: E Olek, M Pasiński; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: E Olek, M Pasiński; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: E Olek, M Pasiński, M Kowalewski; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Mariusz Kowalewski, MD. Clinical Department of Cardiac Surgery, Central Clinical Hospital of the Ministry of Interior and Administration, Warsaw, Poland. Email: kowalewskimariusz@gazeta.pl.

Background and Objective: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) remains amongst the most invasive measures to treat organ dysfunctions, such as refractory cardiogenic shock and/or respiratory failure, in many cases being considered a last resort. Hemodilution, hemolysis and coagulation disorders are very common during ECMO therapy, necessitating blood and blood products transfusions virtually in every patient undergoing ECMO. There exist no randomized data on blood product transfusion protocols in the ECMO population. The only evidence comes from observational studies and cardiopulmonary bypass experiences in patients undergoing cardiac surgery which should not be extrapolated to ECMO patients because of substantial differences in circuit composition, support duration, heparinization and access.

Methods: The current review attempts to summarize the existing evidence on blood product transfusions in patients undergoing ECMO therapy. We screened PubMed and Google Scholar for all reports on blood product transfusion in ECMO patients up until December 2020. The review summarizes separately available data on red blood cells, platelets, fresh frozen plasma and coagulation factors.

Key Content and Findings: There is a significant variability in-between centers regarding hemoglobin or hematocrit threshold for red blood cells transfusion in ECMO patients. Data from observational studies suggest that lower thresholds for red blood cell transfusion may not adversely influence survival while being more cost-effective. A gap in knowledge persists regarding the indications for platelet transfusions with some experienced centers adopting relatively low thresholds in non-bleeding patients.

Conclusions: Randomized controlled trials accessing restrictive or liberal strategies in blood product transfusions are necessary. Reported worse prognosis in patients with multiple transfusions should be associated with their worse baseline status rather than transfusions themselves.

Keywords: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO); transfusions; red blood cells; fresh frozen plasma; platelets

Received: 10 March 2021; Accepted: 11 April 2022; Published online: 06 May 2022.

doi: 10.21037/aob-21-30

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aob-21-30>

Introduction

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) can be a life-saving procedure providing temporal support for insufficient lungs, heart or both. While effective in restoring blood flow to vital organs, at the same time adequately oxygenating the blood, it also provokes a cascade of hematological and inflammatory repercussions. Its implementation is associated with various complications influencing patients' survival (1). Some of these are inherent to the device—exposure of blood to the artificial surface and high mechanical shear stress generated with centrifugal pumps can result in the development of an acquired coagulopathy (2,3). Others are a result of anticoagulation therapy, necessary to prevent circuit thrombosis, which puts patients at risk for serious bleeding, which occurs commonly (4-6).

These adverse events can be ameliorated with blood products transfusion. Unfortunately, there are no specific recommendations to guide the treatment of patients on ECMO in terms of blood products management (7). Randomized controlled trials focusing on transfusion requirements in the ICU or after cardiac surgery did not include ECMO patients (8,9). Lack of established guidelines for blood products administration in this population is the cause of high variability between centers.

This analysis aims to review studies evaluating transfusion management on ECMO and explore the current outcomes associated with it. We present the following article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at <https://aob.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aob-21-30/rc>).

Methods

We searched online databases, PubMed and Google Scholar, with keywords: “ECMO”, “transfusions”, “blood products”, “red blood cells”, “platelets”, “fresh frozen plasma”, “cryoprecipitate”. Retrospective and observational studies were considered with no restriction on the date of publication, up to December 31, 2020. Search strategy is summarized in *Table 1*. We excluded publications with no information about blood products

management on ECMO therapy and those about pediatric patients. There were no restrictions regarding ECMO modality. In the tables some studies are mentioned twice if they contained data of two separate subgroups (e.g., veno-arterial (VA) and veno-venous (VV) patients).

Red blood cells

Transfusion of red blood cells is frequently required over the course of therapy (5,10), which is predictable because bleeding represents the most common complication in patients on ECMO, especially on veno-arterial (VA) modality (11,12). Red blood cells are routinely transfused when bleeding occurs to improve oxygen delivery to tissues. Nonetheless, red blood cell (RBC) supply has some drawbacks. A study by Shorr *et al.* (13) reported that transfusion of packed RBCs increases the risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia, suggesting that fewer transfusions can improve patient's outcomes. Also, transfusion, in general, is associated with immunomodulation, which may increase infection risk (14,15). There is a positive association between transfused RBC units and mortality in ECMO patients (6). This association, however, is probably confounded by the relationship between patients with more comorbidities requiring more RBC transfusions. These conditions demand more aggressive treatment, which is correlated with poor survival as demonstrated in the study by Omar *et al.* (16) wherein non-survivors needed more RBC units than survivors. High mortality observed in included studies evokes the question about transfusion triggers which could help optimize patients' care. Such a trigger is the hemoglobin (Hb) threshold. There are two strategies in RBC transfusion depending on Hb level—restrictive when transfusion is performed at a Hb level of 7–9 g/dL, and liberal with a Hb level between 10–12 g/dL. Doyle *et al.* (17) compared these strategies and suggested that the restrictive approach to RBC transfusion during ECMO has similar survival outcomes as the liberal approach and is more cost-effective. A recent meta-analysis reported that adopting a lower transfusion threshold in ECMO settings was associated with a lower rate of transfusion and lower risks of mortality (18) which can also be observed in the studies included herein. However, the authors noted that the results

Table 1 The search strategy summary

Items	Specification
Date of search	5–7 January 2021
Databases and other sources searched	PubMed, Google Scholar
Search terms used	“ECMO”, “transfusions”, “blood products”, “red blood cells”, “platelets”, “fresh frozen plasma”, “cryoprecipitate”
Timeframe	Up to 31 December 2020
Inclusion	Retrospective and observational studies, expert opinions, guidelines in English regarding adult population
Selection process	Two authors (EO, MP) independently screened databases. Discrepancies were resolved by discussion

might be influenced by studies’ bias and their heterogeneity. Nevertheless, such information can be valuable for medical teams. The international survey performed by Martucci *et al.* (19) demonstrated that the majority of participants do not set the pre-specified threshold Hb level as a trigger for transfusions. The study also showed an inverse relationship between center’s volume and Hb threshold for RBC transfusion. Here, we present an overview of recent studies regarding transfusion of red blood in ECMO patients (*Table 2*) along with hemoglobin thresholds used (*Table 3*). In ECMO settings, the decision about the type of cannulation is crucial in terms of bleeding prevention and possible transfusion. The study by Kanji *et al.* (20) showed that the percentage of patients cannulated peripherally experiencing bleeding was much lower than those cannulated centrally. Moreover, the peripheral cannulation group needed fewer RBC units. This is consistent with the fact that central cannulation is proven to put patients at higher risk of bleeding (27). Therefore, peripheral cannulation should be chosen when possible.

Coagulation disorders

Another significant aspect of ECMO management is anticoagulation. The most widely used anticoagulant is unfractionated heparin (UFH) (28), which carries a risk of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT). In addition, systemic anticoagulation may favor severe bleeding (29). Notwithstanding, the introduction of heparin-bonded circuits and new generations of oxygenators enables performing ECMO therapy without or with minimal heparin administration (30,31). A systematic review by Fina *et al.* (32) confirmed the feasibility of ECMO without

systemic anticoagulation in selected circumstances, mostly in post-cardiotomy treatment and during active bleeding. However, further investigation is needed to evaluate the benefits of such management.

Thrombocytopenia is a common finding among patients on ECMO, occurring in up to 21% of cases (33). Although the underlying pathophysiology is not completely understood, an interplay between a primary disease process causing increased platelet consumption, platelet aggregation due to interaction with an artificial surface and effects of pharmacological agents, likely takes place (34). Contact with artificial surfaces and high shear stress during ECMO run lead to enhanced platelet aggregation and consumption. Platelet receptor shredding (35,36) and a loss of von Willebrand factor, which is necessary for platelet adequate function (36), both occur in the ECMO setting. Balle *et al.* showed impaired platelet aggregation and decreased activation on day 1 of ECMO support compared to control individuals, however, the difference disappeared when adjusted for platelet count, opposing the functional impairment of platelets during ECMO (37). Mandatory anticoagulation, usually achieved with unfractionated heparin, creates a risk of HIT, which is estimated to occur in 3.7% (33) of ECMO patients. Undeniably, the patient’s primary disease often leads to increased platelet consumption with or without sepsis and disseminated intravascular coagulation. In fact, several studies showed that thrombocytopenia in patients on ECMO was not associated with duration of support, but rather with platelet count at initiation of ECMO and severity of disease process, assessed with Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II score (38,39). Finally, VA- ECMO patients specifically could be exposed to factors contributing

Table 2 Baseline patient characteristics

Study	Study type	No. of patients	Reasons for ECMO	Age (years)	VA/VV ECMO (%)
Ang 2009 (4)	Retrospective	42	CS	46.8±12.7	88% vs. 12%
Kanji 2010 (20) Central cannulation	Retrospective	28	CS, HF with hypoxia	52±14	100% vs. 0%
Kanji 2010 (20) Peripheral cannulation	Retrospective	22	CS, HF with hypoxia	46±16	100% vs. 0%
Agerstrand 2015 (21)	Retrospective	38	ARDS	33±21	10.6% vs. 89.4%
Omar 2015 (16)	Retrospective	154	cardiac and pulmonary	51	82% vs. 12%
Voelker 2015 (22)	Retrospective	18	ARDS	37.1±15.6	0% vs. 100%
Mazzeffi 2016 (6) VA ECMO	Retrospective	54	PCS and other	50±21.1	100% vs. 0%
Mazzeffi 2016 (6) VV ECMO	Retrospective	64	ARDS and other	50±21.1	0% vs. 100%
Buscher 2017 (23) VA ECMO	Retrospective	32	CS	48±16	100% vs. 0%
Buscher 2017 (23) VV ECMO	Retrospective	16	ARDS	35±13	0% vs. 100%
Cahill 2018 (24)	Retrospective	30	CS, cardiomyopathy	60.7±12.4	100 vs. 0%
Swol 2018 (25)	Retrospective	32	lung failure, sepsis	54	6.2% vs. 93.8%
Guimbretiere 2019 (5) VA ECMO	Observational prospective	410	CS, post-cardiotomy	54.6±14.1	100% vs. 0%
Guimbretiere 2019 (5) VV ECMO	Observational prospective	99	N/R	48.2±16.9	0% vs. 100%
Martucci 2019 (10)	Observational prospective	82	ARDS	42±11	0% vs. 100%
Esper 2021 (26)	Retrospective	676	PCS, CS, respiratory shock and other	50.3	100% vs. 0%

No, number; VA, veno-arterial; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VV, veno-venous; CS, cardiogenic shock; HF, heart failure; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; PCS, post-cardiotomy cardiogenic shock; N/R, not reported.

to thrombocytopenia such as open-heart surgery and prolonged resuscitation for cardiac arrest.

Platelets

The literature on platelet transfusion among patients on ECMO is sparse. In a meta-analysis by Jiritano *et al.*, only 3 of 21 studies reported rates of platelet transfusion which varied between 0–50% of patients (33). Other studies, not included in this meta-analysis, reported even higher rates of platelet transfusion (5,10). No guidelines currently exist on platelet transfusion thresholds in patients on ECMO. ELSO 2017 guidelines only state that in a bleeding patient, platelets should be transfused to reach a level of 100,000/ μ L (40).

More recent COVID-specific guidelines suggest a platelet threshold of 50,000/ μ L, while allowing for lower thresholds if no clinically significant bleeding is present (41). However, they emphasize that not enough evidence exists to guide transfusion thresholds. The usual practice is to transfuse platelets when counts fall below 80,000/ μ L (40), although several experienced centers use a more conservative approach and transfuse platelets only when they fall below 40,000–50,000/ μ L (5,10), or even as low as 20,000 in non-bleeding patients (38). Recent Canadian expert consensus suggests a platelet transfusion threshold at 50,000/ μ L with consideration of a higher threshold in patients undergoing cannulation, decannulation, high-risk procedures or those deemed to be at high-risk of bleeding. They also discourage

Table 3 Outcomes

Study	Transfusion thresholds (g/dL)	RBC count (units)	PLT count (units)	FFP count (units)	Cryoprecipitate count (units)	Mortality	Time on ECMO (days)	Bleeding	Thrombosis
Ang 2009 (4)	10	10	3	4	4.5	73.2%	11	64.3%	0%
Kanji 2010 (20) Central cannulation	N/R	15.9	9.8	3	6.1	50%	2.5	64%	11%
Kanji 2010 (20) Peripheral cannulation	N/R	7.9	4.4	1.2	0.5	46%	3	18%	9%
Agerstrand (21) 2015	7	1	N/R	N/R	N/R	26.3%	9±3.3	26.3%	21.1%
Omar 2015 (16)	N/R	Survivors: 23 Non-survivors: 34.7	35 55.2	12.1 13.9	27.5 25.7	66%	4.4	39.6%	N/R
Voelker 2015 (22)	7	29.6±39	N/R	N/R	N/R	38.9%	21.7±30	N/R	N/R
Mazzeffi 2016 (6) VA ECMO	10	21	3	7	N/R	59.3%	7±6.6	68.5%	16.7%
Mazzeffi 2016 (6) VV ECMO	10	15	1	2	N/R	34.4%	7±6.6	39.1%	9.4%
Buscher 2017 (23) VA ECMO	8	2 per day (p.d.)	0.4 p.d.	1 p.d.	0.9 p.d.	31%	N/R	N/R	N/R
Buscher 2017 (23) VV ECMO	8	0.7 p.d.	0.1 p.d.	0.1 p.d.	0.1 p.d.	31%	N/R	N/R	N/R
Cahill 2018 (24)	8	15.3	2.5	4.2	0.9	63.3%	7.4±8.2	43.3%	N/R
Swol 2018 (25)	8	N/R	N/R	N/R	N/R	34.4%	10.3±12	N/R	N/R
Guimbretiere 2019 (5) VA ECMO	8	11.9	3	10	N/R	43.9%	7.4±6.1	59.8%	59.8%
Guimbretiere 2019 (5) VV ECMO	8	9.4	3	9.8	N/R	40.4%	10.5±10.2	34.3%	34.3%
Martucci 2019 (10)	8	8	6	10.9	N/R	23.2%	14±10.4	41.5%	N/R
Esper 2021 (26)	7	12	2	4	0	42.3%	7.2	N/R	N/R

RBC, red blood cells; PLT, platelets; FFP, fresh frozen plasma; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; VA, veno-arterial; VV, veno-venous; N/R, not reported.

platelet transfusion when platelet dysfunction is suspected without thrombocytopenia, unless objective evidence, such as aggregometry and cytometry, is available (34). A study by Esper *et al.* assessed survival prognosis associated with platelet transfusions for patients on VA-ECMO. They reported increased mortality associated with platelet transfusion at 90 days and 1 year. This may be explained by the systemic inflammatory response to platelets, which usually come from multiple donors, as well as by functional and biochemical changes occurring in stored platelets (26). However, several important factors (such as age, cannulation

type, and creatinine levels on ECMO) remained unadjusted in their analysis. Since no randomized controlled trial has ever addressed this issue, no conclusion can be made whether platelet transfusion worsens the prognosis, or if patients with the more severe underlying disease have more profound thrombocytopenia and consequently are given more platelet transfusions.

Fresh Frozen plasma and coagulation factors

Evidence on fresh frozen plasma, or plasma factors

transfusion in ECMO-supported patients is almost non-existent, with only a handful of studies published on the subject. ELSO 2017 guidelines recommend daily fibrinogen measurements with the intention to maintain a range between 250 to 300 mg/dL with infusion of fresh frozen plasma or fibrinogen (40). The most feared complication during ECMO treatment is uncontrolled bleeding. ELSO 2017 guidelines allow for fresh frozen plasma or specific clotting factors transfusion in this scenario if there is evidence for these deficiencies (40). Patients on VA-ECMO for PCS may be especially vulnerable to bleeding due to the surgical wound and often long CPB times (11). 2020 ELSO guidelines pay extra attention to this issue, underscoring the importance of determining the presence of underlying factor deficiency with ACT, aPTT, factor Xa activity, fibrinogen levels and thromboelastography. In cases of massive bleeding, ELSO 2020 guidelines recommend administering packed cells, fresh frozen plasma and platelets in a 6:1:1 ratio to avoid a further dilution of coagulation factors (28).

An important factor contributing to coagulation disorders among patients on ECMO is acquired von Willebrand factor disorder (AVWD). Large-molecule von Willebrand factor (vWF) unfolds in ECMO circuits, making it more vulnerable to cleavage with proteinases (42). Kalbhenn *et al.* observed diminished levels of vWF in all 100 investigated patients as soon as one hour after implantation. They recommend routine monitoring of vWF, and prophylactic desmopressin administration to those with AVWD without apparent bleeding and VWF-containing-factor VIII concentrate if bleeding does occur (36). It is essential to keep in mind that, during ECMO, an interplay between bleeding and coagulation takes place, as plasma anticoagulants are also depleted. Therefore, the ELSO 2017 guidelines state that if clotting in the circuit occurs despite normal or high doses of heparin, and if antithrombin 3 assay is not available, fresh frozen plasma should be given until the clotting is controlled (40).

Conclusions

This review focusing on transfusion in ECMO patients has shown large variability in blood products management between centers which might be a result of a lack of specific recommendations. Worse outcomes in patients with a larger number of transfusions should be viewed as a reflection of patients' deteriorating condition rather than be associated with the transfusion themselves.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the Guest Editor (Gennaro Martucci) for the series "Blood Transfusion Practice in ECMO Patients" published in *Annals of Blood*. The article has undergone external peer review.

Reporting Checklist: The authors have completed the Narrative Review reporting checklist. Available at <https://aob.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aob-21-30/rc>

Conflicts of Interest: All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://aob.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aob-21-30/coif>). The series "Blood Transfusion Practice in ECMO Patients" was commissioned by the editorial office without any funding or sponsorship. The authors have no other conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

1. Esper SA, Levy JH, Waters JH, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the adult: a review of anticoagulation monitoring and transfusion. *Anesth Analg* 2014;118:731-43.
2. Cheung PY, Sawicki G, Salas E, et al. The mechanisms of platelet dysfunction during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in critically ill neonates. *Crit Care Med* 2000;28:2584-90.

3. Tauber H, Ott H, Streif W, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation induces short-term loss of high-molecular-weight von Willebrand factor multimers. *Anesth Analg* 2015;120:730-6.
4. Ang AL, Teo D, Lim CH, et al. Blood transfusion requirements and independent predictors of increased transfusion requirements among adult patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation -- a single centre experience. *Vox Sang* 2009;96:34-43.
5. Guimbreti re G, Anselmi A, Roisne A, et al. Prognostic impact of blood product transfusion in VA and VV ECMO. *Perfusion* 2019;34:246-53.
6. Mazzeffi M, Greenwood J, Tanaka K, et al. Bleeding, Transfusion, and Mortality on Extracorporeal Life Support: ECLS Working Group on Thrombosis and Hemostasis. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2016;101:682-9.
7. Mueller MM, Van Remoortel H, Meybohm P, et al. Patient Blood Management: Recommendations From the 2018 Frankfurt Consensus Conference. *JAMA* 2019;321:983-97.
8. H bert PC, Wells G, Blajchman MA, et al. A multicenter, randomized, controlled clinical trial of transfusion requirements in critical care. Transfusion Requirements in Critical Care Investigators, Canadian Critical Care Trials Group. *N Engl J Med* 1999;340:409-17.
9. Shehata N, Whitlock R, Fergusson DA, et al. Transfusion Requirements in Cardiac Surgery III (TRICS III): Study Design of a Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2018;32:121-9.
10. Martucci G, Panarello G, Occhipinti G, et al. Anticoagulation and Transfusions Management in Veno-Venous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Assessment of Factors Associated With Transfusion Requirements and Mortality. *J Intensive Care Med* 2019;34:630-9.
11. Rastan AJ, Dege A, Mohr M, et al. Early and late outcomes of 517 consecutive adult patients treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for refractory postcardiotomy cardiogenic shock. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;139:302-11, 311.e1.
12. Lo Coco V, Lorusso R, Raffa GM, et al. Clinical complications during veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in post-cardiotomy and non post-cardiotomy shock: still the achille's heel. *J Thorac Dis* 2018;10:6993-7004.
13. Shorr AF, Duh MS, Kelly KM, et al. Red blood cell transfusion and ventilator-associated pneumonia: A potential link? *Crit Care Med* 2004;32:666-74.
14. Buddeberg F, Schimmer BB, Spahn DR. Transfusion-transmissible infections and transfusion-related immunomodulation. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol* 2008;22:503-17.
15. Lannan KL, Sahler J, Spinelli SL, et al. Transfusion immunomodulation--the case for leukoreduced and (perhaps) washed transfusions. *Blood Cells Mol Dis* 2013;50:61-8.
16. Omar HR, Mirsaedi M, Socias S, et al. Plasma Free Hemoglobin Is an Independent Predictor of Mortality among Patients on Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support. *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0124034.
17. Doyle AJ, Richardson C, Sanderson B, et al. Restrictive Transfusion Practice in Adults Receiving Venovenous Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation: A Single-Center Experience. *Crit Care Explor* 2020;2:e0077.
18. Abbasciano RG, Yusuff H, Vlaar APJ, et al. Blood Transfusion Threshold in Patients Receiving Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Support for Cardiac and Respiratory Failure-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2021;35:1192-202.
19. Martucci G, Grasselli G, Tanaka K, et al. Hemoglobin trigger and approach to red blood cell transfusions during veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: the international TRAIN-ECMO survey. *Perfusion* 2019;34:39-48.
20. Kanji HD, Schulze CJ, Oreopoulos A, et al. Peripheral versus central cannulation for extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a comparison of limb ischemia and transfusion requirements. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2010;58:459-62.
21. Agerstrand CL, Burkart KM, Abrams DC, et al. Blood conservation in extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2015;99:590-5.
22. Voelker MT, Busch T, Bercker S, et al. Restrictive transfusion practice during extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Artif Organs* 2015;39:374-8.
23. Buscher H, Vukomanovic A, Benzimra M, et al. Blood and Anticoagulation Management in Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for Surgical and Nonsurgical Patients: A Single-Center Retrospective Review. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2017;31:869-75.
24. Cahill CM, Blumberg N, Schmidt AE, et al. Implementation of a Standardized Transfusion Protocol for Cardiac Patients Treated With Venoarterial Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Is Associated With Decreased

- Blood Component Utilization and May Improve Clinical Outcome. *Anesth Analg* 2018;126:1262-7.
25. Swol J, Marschall C, Strauch JT, et al. Hematocrit and impact of transfusion in patients receiving extracorporeal life support. *Perfusion* 2018;33:546-52.
 26. Esper SA, Wallisch WJ 4th, Ryan J, et al. Platelet transfusion is associated with 90-day and 1-year mortality for adult patients requiring veno-arterial extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. *Vox Sang* 2021;116:440-50.
 27. Raffa GM, Kowalewski M, Brodie D, et al. Meta-Analysis of Peripheral or Central Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in Postcardiotomy and Non-Postcardiotomy Shock. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2019;107:311-21.
 28. Lorusso R, Whitman G, Milojevic M, et al. 2020 EACTS/ELSO/STS/AATS Expert Consensus on Post-cardiotomy Extracorporeal Life Support in Adult Patients. *ASAIO J* 2021;67:e1-e43.
 29. Murphy DA, Hockings LE, Andrews RK, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation-hemostatic complications. *Transfus Med Rev* 2015;29:90-101.
 30. Muellenbach RM, Kredel M, Kunze E, et al. Prolonged heparin-free extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in multiple injured acute respiratory distress syndrome patients with traumatic brain injury. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg* 2012;72:1444-7.
 31. Kreyer S, Muders T, Theuerkauf N, et al. Hemorrhage under veno-venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients: a retrospective data analysis. *J Thorac Dis* 2017;9:5017-29.
 32. Fina D, Matteucci M, Jiritano F, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation without therapeutic anticoagulation in adults: A systematic review of the current literature. *Int J Artif Organs* 2020;43:570-8.
 33. Jiritano F, Serraino GF, Ten Cate H, et al. Platelets and extra-corporeal membrane oxygenation in adult patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med* 2020;46:1154-69.
 34. Singh G, Nahirniak S, Arora R, et al. Transfusion Thresholds for Adult Respiratory Extracorporeal Life Support: An Expert Consensus Document. *Can J Cardiol* 2020;36:1550-3.
 35. Lukito P, Wong A, Jing J, et al. Mechanical circulatory support is associated with loss of platelet receptors glycoprotein Ibalpha and glycoprotein VI. *J Thromb Haemost* 2016;14:2253-60.
 36. Kalbhenn J, Schlagenhaut A, Rosenfelder S, et al. Acquired von Willebrand syndrome and impaired platelet function during venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: Rapid onset and fast recovery. *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2018;37:985-91.
 37. Balle CM, Jeppesen AN, Christensen S, et al. Platelet Function During Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in Adult Patients. *Front Cardiovasc Med* 2019;6:114.
 38. Abrams D, Baldwin MR, Champion M, et al. Thrombocytopenia and extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in adults with acute respiratory failure: a cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 2016;42:844-52.
 39. Dzierba AL, Roberts R, Muir J, et al. Severe Thrombocytopenia in Adults with Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Impact of Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation Use. *ASAIO J* 2016;62:710-4.
 40. ELSO Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Extracorporeal Life Support. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization, Version 1.4 August 2017 Ann Arbor, MI, USA.
 41. Extracorporeal Life Support Organization COVID-19 Interim Guidelines. A consensus document from an international group of interdisciplinary ECMO providers, page 13. Available online: <https://www.else.org/Portals/0/Files/pdf/ELSO%20covid%20guidelines%20final.pdf>
 42. Baldauf C, Schneppenheim R, Stacklies W, et al. Shear-induced unfolding activates von Willebrand factor A2 domain for proteolysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009;7:2096-105.

doi: 10.21037/aob-21-30

Cite this article as: Olek E, Pasierski M, Słomka A, Raffa GM, Lebowitz SE, Pilato M, Szufdrzyński K, Suwalski P, Lorusso R, Kowalewski M. Blood product transfusions on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: a narrative review. *Ann Blood* 2023;8:16.