Peer Review File Article Information: https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/acr-22-30

Round 1

<mark>Reviewer A</mark>

This manuscript presents a case report of solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas (SPN). SPNs are rare low-grade malignant neoplasms characterized by poorly cohesive monomorphic cells forming solid and pseudopapillary structures. They have an excellent prognosis and should be distinguished from other pancreatic tumours.

1. Whilst the overall content of this manuscript is clear, the standard of English needs to be improved.

Line 93 - "...voluminous neoformation...." – not clear what that means

Line 103 "...necrotic-haemorrhagic phenomena, such as to assume a pseudocystic appearance." Can you explain in simple terms what that means?

Line 128 - SPNs have no apparent ethnic predilection [Carneiro F, Chan JKC, Cheung NYA. (Eds): WHO Classification of Tumours of the Digestive System. 5th ed. IARC: Lyon 2019: 333-352]

Line 239 – "...with a protein center.." – not clear what that means

RESPONSE 1. We carefully reviewed the manuscript and send it to a professional editing service.

2. I would like to see the authors touch briefly on the differential diagnosis of SPNs such as pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, pancreatoblastoma, acinar cell carcinoma, and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumour (PanNET).

RESPONSE: We agree and added the information in the discussion section. See the changes highlighted in yellow.

Would be interesting to see the authors briefly mention the molecular mutation found in SPNs - mutation in exon 3 of CTNNB1.

RESPONSE: We agree and added this information in the discussion section. The changes are highlighted in yellow.





The standard of English needs significant improvement.

RESPONSE: We send the manuscript to a professional English editing service.

<mark>Reviewer B</mark>

In January 2018, a female of 34 years]; the authors should not be stated because

it leads to identification of the patient.

RESPONSE: We agree and deleted this information and change the sentence.

• Usually, SPNP is CD10 immunoreactive tumor. Therefere, I think that it is necessary to discuss the fact that the tumor of this patient was CD10-negative. Usually, I think the abbreviation of solid-pseudopapillary neoplasm should be SPN.

RESPONSE: We added the information about diagnostic performance of CD10 in this neoplasm. The abbreviation of this neoplasm is variegated, and this is not the only solid and papillary neoplasm in the human body, for that reason we think that SPNP is more precise.

Round 2

Reviewer A

This case report highlights the need to distinguish solid pseudopapillary neoplasm of the pancreas from morphological mimics.

Reviewer B

The authors have made qualified corrections to the reviewers' remarks. This paper is worthy of being ACCEPTED.

