

Peer Review File

Article information: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/acr-23-164>

Reviewer A

Comment 1: The authors should briefly explain in the discussion and Key Findings sections of the paper their own observational and imaging findings derived from their present case.

Response 1: We have briefly explained in the discussion and Key Findings sections of the paper their own observational and imaging findings derived from their present case.

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 3, line 46; Page 6, line 119-120).

Comment 2: In the Introduction, no recent literature review is presented for this case as well as no differential diagnoses (Anwari, 2020)

Response 2: We have added several reference for this case and differential diagnoses.

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 3-4, line 60-62).

Comment 3: Line 81: In addition, if necessary, hysterosalpingogram, hysteroscopy. Please delete this sentence. In practical medicine we never use these methods for pregnant women.

Response 3: We have deleted the term “hysterosalpingogram” and “hysteroscopy” in the discussion part.

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 6, line 129-130).

Comment 4: Add this sentence and its reference after (1):

Furthermore, ultrasound diagnostic features of the RHP include visualization of uterus with an empty cavity along with a gestational sack containing a fetus (Anwari, 2020):

Anwari, L. 2021. Prerupture diagnosis of a pregnant rudimentary uterine horn, Radiology Case Reports, v. 16, p. 764-768.

Response 4: We have added this sentence and its reference after (1).

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 5, line 114-115).

Reviewer B

Comment 1: The English language in this manuscript needs major revision. I recommend that authors use a professional editing services from a reputed company before re-submitting their work.

Response 1: We have polished our manuscript and improved our English expression.

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 3-6, line 48-133).

Comment 2: Secondly, the literature search is not extensive enough to be part of the title. I think it will help the readers more if the authors remove the term “literature search” from the title, especially when the reference list is less than 7.

Response 2: We have changed the title and removed the term “literature review”.

Change in the text: We have modified our text as advised (see Page 1, line 2).

Reviewer C

Comment 1: The abstract needs to be written - it currently is far to short and offers no particular details of the case.

Response 1: We have revised the abstract and added some particular details of the case.

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 2)

Comment 2: I am sorry but the English translation here is very poor. It needs to have someone who is trained to rewrite this. Some examples below

Line 39 - 'calculate' is wrong word - diagnose

Line 39 'figures' should be 'incidence'

Line 46 - this sentence makes no grammatical sense

Line 53 - 'menopause' wrong word....'amenorrhoea'

Line 57 'bud'

Response 2: Thanks for your advice. We have polished our manuscript and improved our English expression.

Change in the text:

We have modified our text as advised (see Page 3-6, line 48-133)

Comment 3: Is there a photo of the rudimentary horn connecting to the left fallopian tube and ovary

Response 3: Yes, we have found a photo of the rudimentary horn connecting to the left fallopian tube and ovary and added in the figure.

Change in the text: Please see the Figure 1D.

Reviewer D

Comment 1: In the Discussion part, you mentioned about the importance of MRI when diagnosing this disease. However, you do not show some citations.

Response 1: We have added some citations.

Change in the text: We have modified our text as advised (see Page 6, line 129-130)