Supplementary




Figure S1 Risk of bias across studies: funnel plot of studies included in our meta-analysis of prevalence of Charles Bonnet syndrome in low vision patients.

Table S1 Sensitivity analysis on the estimate of the prevalence of Charles Bonnet syndrome in low vision patients

Excluded study	Pooled prevalence	LCI 95%	HCI 95%	Cochran Q	l ²
Shiraishi et al. 2004	22.5%	16.8%	28.8%	134.3	93.3
Elflein <i>et al.</i> 2016	21.3%	15.0%	28.3%	178.1	94.9
Teunisse <i>et al.</i> 1995	20.5%	14.0%	27.9%	184.5	95.1
Marmamula et al. 2019	20.5%	13.3%	28.8%	175.3	94.9
Tatlipinar et al. 2001	20.2%	13.9%	27.3%	188.4	95.2
Vikucevic & Fitzmaurice 2008	20.0%	13.5%	27.4%	188.8	95.2
Gordon 2016	19.9%	11.8%	29.4%	187.9	95.2
Crumbliss et al. 2008	19.4%	13.2%	26.3%	187.7	95.2
Gilmour et al. 2009	18.4%	12.6%	24.9%	154.0	94.2
Jackson <i>et al.</i> 2007	17.8%	12.3%	24.2%	155.6	94.2
Menon 2005	16.9%	11.7%	22.7%	146.1	93.8

LCI 95%, lower 95% confidence interval; HCI, higher/upper 95% confidence interval.