Risk models			Mortality		
	variables used for classification	Grade of score	Reported	Our cohort	
Augoustides <i>et al.</i> (Penn classification)	Localized ischemia and generalized ischemia	Penn class Aa	3%	6%	
		Penn class Ab	26%	11%	
		Penn class Ac	18%	24%	
		Penn class Abc	40%	63%	
Ghoreishi <i>et al.</i>	Lactate, creatinine, liver malperfusion	Risk score <7	4%	0%	
		Risk score 7–20	14%	10%	
		Risk score >20	37%	21%	
Mejare-Berggren <i>et al.</i> (Leipzig-Halifax Scorecard)	Critical preoperative state, Penn class non- Aa, coronary artery disease	Risk score 0–5	12%	6%	
		Risk score 10–15	23%	16%	
		Risk score 20–25	43%	56%	
Leontyev <i>et al.</i>	Age <50, age 50–70, age >70, critical preoperative state, coronary malperfusion syndrome, extremity malperfusion syndrome, visceral malperfusion syndrome, coronary artery disease	Risk score 0–3	7%	6%	
		Risk score 4–6	13%	8%	
		Risk score 7–10	39%	18%	
		Risk score >10	75%	64%	
Santini <i>et al.</i>	Older age, cardiac tamponade, hypotension, acute myocardial ischemia, mesenteric	Risk score 10–14	<15%	7%	
		Risk score 15–27	15–30%	18%	
		Risk score 28–36	30–45%	9%	
		Risk score 37–115	>45%	52%	

Table S3 The mortalities of each grade of published scores in their original populations and our cohort

Table S4	Comparison of	f pre-operative	variables among	patients	with different	clinical	outcomes in	derivation	population
----------	---------------	-----------------	-----------------	----------	----------------	----------	-------------	------------	------------

Table 54 Comparison of pre-op	erative variables amo	ng patients with dif	terent clinical outco	omes in derivation p	population	
Variables	Derivation population (n=159)	Died before surgery (n=10)	Operative patients (n=149)	Survived (n=128)	Died after surgery (n=21)	P value
Characteristics and medical his	story					
Age, years	54 [45–64]	60 [54–65]	53 [44–64]	52 [44–64]	54 [46–63]	0.680
Male gender	129 (81%)	7 (70%)	122 (82%)	102 (80%)	20 (95%)	0.125
Hypertension	106 (67%)	9 (90%)	97 (65%)	82 (64%)	15 (71%)	0.625
Coronary artery disease	16 (10%)	0 (0%)	16 (11%)	13 (10%)	3 (14%)	0.702
Remote myocardial infarction	n 6 (4%)	0 (0%)	6 (4%)	3 (2%)	3 (14%)	0.037
History of stroke	10 (6%)	1 (10%)	9 (6%)	8 (6%)	1 (5%)	1.000
latrogenic dissection	6 (4%)	0 (0%)	6 (4%)	5 (4%)	1 (5%)	1.000
Onset symptoms						
Time of onset, hours	19 [10–41]	12 [10–29]	19 [10–41]	19 [10–41]	17 [10–31]	0.677
Chest or back pain	150 (94%)	10 (100%)	140 (94%)	120 (94%)	20 (95%)	1.000
Abdominal pain	21 (13%)	1 (10%)	20 (13%)	15 (12%)	5 (24%)	0.163
Neurological abnormalities	31 (19%)	2 (20%)	29 (19%)	23 (18%)	6 (29%)	0.248
Dyspnea	17 (11%)	1 (10%)	16 (11%)	13 (10%)	3 (14%)	0.702
Nausea and vomiting	14 (9%)	0 (0%)	14 (9%)	12 (9%)	2 (10%)	1.000
Painless AD	8 (5%)	0 (0%)	8 (5%)	7 (5%)	1 (5%)	1.000
Involvement of vessels						
Supra-aortic arteries involved	110 (69%)	8 (80%)	102 (68%)	84 (66%)	18 (86%)	0.079
Mesenteric arteries involved	31 (19%)	4 (40%)	27 (18%)	20 (16%)	7 (33%)	0.066
Renal arteries involved	65 (41%)	5 (50%)	60 (40%)	51 (40%)	9 (43%)	0.814
lliac arteries involved	44 (28%)	7 (70%)	37 (25%)	27 (21%)	10 (48%)	0.014
Circulation variables						
SBP, mmHg	152 [134–168]	133 [103–145]	154 [136–169]	155 [136–169]	151 [124–165]	0.365
DBP, mmHg	88 [71–96]	81 [50–93]	88 [73–96]	88 [73–97]	85 [65–91]	0.195
MAP, mmHg	108 [95–118]	95 [70–107]	108 [95–118]	109 [96–120]	108 [76–116]	0.336
Difference in SBP, mmHg	10 [5–18]	7 [6–13]	10 [4–18]	10 [4–17]	15 [7–20]	0.155
Difference in PP, mmHg	10 [5–18]	4 [1–20]	11 [5–17]	11 [6–17]	9 [3–13]	0.142
Pulse deficit	8 (5%)	3 (30%)	5 (3%)	3 (2%)	2 (10%)	0.146
Lactate, mmol/L	1.6 [1.2–2.6]	2.9 [2.1–6.3]	1.5 [1.1–2.3]	1.4 [1.1–2.2]	1.8 [1.2–4.3]	0.050
Hyperlactacidemia	51 (32%)	7 (70%)	44 (30%)	35 (27%)	9 (43%)	0.196
Shock	1 (1%)	1 (10%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.000
Cardiac and coronary artery va	ariables					
LVEF, %	62 [60–66]	54 [37–59]	62 [60–66]	62 [60–66]	63 [60–66]	0.983
LVEF <50%	10 (6%)	4 (40%)	6 (4%)	4 (3%)	2 (10%)	0.200
Aortic root diameter, mm	40 [37–45]	48 [42–52]	40 [37–45]	40 [37–45]	42 [39–44]	0.121
Massive pericardial effusion	12 (8%)	3 (30%)	9 (6%)	6 (5%)	2 (14%)	0.116
cTnT, ng/mL	0.02 [0.01–0.07]	0.29 [0.16–1.09]	0.02 [0.01–0.05]	0.02 [0.01–0.05]	0.04 [0.02–0.93]	0.012
Abnormal ECG	39 (25%)	8 (80%)	31 (21%)	21 (16%)	10 (48%)	0.003
Acute myocardial ischemia	29 (18%)	8 (80%)	21 (14%)	12 (9%)	9 (43%)	<0.001
Renal function						
Creatinine mg/dL	1.01 [0.80–1.30]	1.68 [1.46–1.97]	1.01 [0.79–1.27]	0.95 [0.79–1.16]	1.44 [1.22–1.89]	<0.001
UO, ml/kg/h	0.9 [0.7–1.3]	0.8 [0.5–1.0]	0.9 [0.7–1.3]	1.0 [0.7–1.3]	0.9 [0.4–1.1]	0.144
Oliguria	15 (9%)	3 (30%)	12 (8%)	6 (5%)	6 (29%)	0.002
Acute kidney injury	55 (35%)	8 (80%)	47 (32%)	33 (26%)	14 (67%)	<0.001
Acute renal failure	11 (7%)	4 (40%)	7 (5%)	4 (3%)	3 (14%)	0.059
Liver function						
ALT, U/L	29 [19–48]	66 [35–130]	28 [18–46]	26 [18–44]	33 [23–75]	0.038

AST, U/L	27 [18–44]	63 [42–213]	26 [18–39]	25 [17–35]	59 [29–110]	<0.001
Transaminase elevation	51 (32%)	7 (70%)	44 (30%)	32 (25%)	12 (57%)	0.005
Bilirubin, µmol/L	17 [12–24]	21 [12–40]	17 [12–24]	17 [12–24]	12 [9–21]	0.133
Liver malperfusion	86 (54%)	8 (80%)	78 (52%)	62 (48%)	16 (76%)	0.020
Others						
WBC, 10 ⁹ /L	11.8 [9.5–15.3]	12.7 [11.0–15.1]	11.8 [9.2–15.3]	11.6 [9.0–15.1]	12.9 [10.7–18.6]	0.069
PLT, 10 ⁹ /L	165 [127–202]	114 [95–145]	166 [129–205]	166 [129–201]	179 [124–210]	0.787
Pre-operative CPR	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1 (1%)	1 (1%)	0 (0%)	1.000

Data are presented as median [interquartile range] or number (%). Difference in SBP or PP means the difference between measurements taken from both arms. AD, aortic dissection; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; cTnT, cardiac troponin T; ECG, electrocardiography; UO, urinary output; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; WBC, white blood cell; PLT, platelet; CPR, cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

© Cardiovascular Diagnosis and Therapy. All rights reserved.

http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/cdt-20-730



Figure S1 Collinearity diagnosis and variables selection. (A) Correlation matrix among post-operative mortality and candidate variables. Collinearities existed among same kinds of variables, such as renal function, liver function and cardiac parameters. In addition, the cTnT had strong correlation with aminotransferases. (B) Best subset selection based on BIC also suggested a subset comprising of 4 variables. (C) The best subset with the highest BIC was consisted of lactate, creatinine, acute myocardial ischemia and involvement of Iliac arteries.



Figure S2 Calibration plots and Brier score for post-operative mortalities among derivation, internal validation and external validation cohorts.



Figure S3 Study flowchart. The original prospective cohort was divided into two parts in a ratio of 7:3 for derivation and internal validation. A 50-patient retrospective cohort was used for external validation.